



Add Friend



Poundie, I recall that on a visit to FSU some years ago (gosh, perhaps it was many years ago... I don't know any more), you commented that you didn't think of yourself as doing "Schenkerian analysis," but rather "Poundian analysis" since so little of what you do can be traced back to Schenker's ideology/methodology. Ever since that time, I dialed back my use of the term "Schenkerian analysis." Thankfully, Chris offers even more reasons for lessening our use of that term in his essay.

Like · Reply · 1d



Write a reply...

**Philip Ewell**

Poundie Burstein and **Michael Buchler**, with respect, there is a lot of rearranging the deck chairs on the Titanic going on here. Poundie, this post is a short version of the long email you sent me back in December when I was pushing to make Schenkerian Analysis optional, and not required, at the Grad Center (you, and others, decided to keep it required). But I digress. Much of the discussions surrounding the JSS debacle focus on minimal action--renaming of things and what not. We need much more bold action. As a past president, and incoming president, I wonder if you agree? Other younger scholars, like **Mitch Ohriner**, **Chris Segall**, **Jasen Yust**, and **Sarah Marlowe**, among



1