

From: **Bakulina, Ellen** <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>  
Date: Fri, Nov 29, 2019 at 8:18 PM  
Subject: Re: Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper  
To: Walls, Levi <[LeviWalls@my.unt.edu](mailto:LeviWalls@my.unt.edu)>, Slottow, Stephen <[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>, Graf, Benjamin <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>, Cubero, Diego <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>  
Cc: Chung, Andrew <[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>, Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>

Looks good to me. I think it should definitely be sent through SMT-announce.

Best,  
-Ellen

**From:** Walls, Levi <[LeviWalls@my.unt.edu](mailto:LeviWalls@my.unt.edu)>  
**Sent:** Friday, November 29, 2019 12:55 PM  
**To:** Slottow, Stephen <[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>; Graf, Benjamin <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>; Cubero, Diego <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>; Bakulina, Ellen <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>  
**Cc:** Chung, Andrew <[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>; Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper

Hi all,

Here is a new copy of the call with "Schenkerian community" changed to "theory community" and the January 13 deadline. How/where should we send it out? We previously discussed using the SMT list and possible other places (Estonian and Sibelius academies).

Regards,

Levi Walls

**From:** Slottow, Stephen <[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 27, 2019 10:56 AM

**To:** Graf, Benjamin <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>; Cubero, Diego <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>; Bakulina, Ellen <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>  
**Cc:** Walls, Levi <[LeviWalls@my.unt.edu](mailto:LeviWalls@my.unt.edu)>; Chung, Andrew <[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>; Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper

In that case, it may be well to backdate submissions to—say, November 1<sup>st</sup>, 2019. That way we'll have them before the call is sent out, which would be convenient for all concerned, I should think. This would create an alternative time line, which we could utilize as needed.

-sps

Stephen Slottow  
Associate Professor of Music Theory  
University of North Texas

**From:** "Graf, Benjamin" <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 27, 2019 at 9:44 AM  
**To:** "Cubero, Diego" <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>, "Bakulina, Ellen" <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>  
**Cc:** "Walls, Levi" <[LeviWalls@my.unt.edu](mailto:LeviWalls@my.unt.edu)>, "Slottow, Stephen" <[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>, "Chung, Andrew" <[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>, Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper

Dear Diego and all,

I completely agree with point #2, which is now changed to "theory community" (thank you Levi).

As to the deadline-- from an editor's perspective, we really cannot delay the submissions further. There is quite a bit of work that must be done after the submissions come in. For example, the following timeline would be a fair estimate:

Feb 1: collect submissions  
Feb 15: editing submissions  
Feb 27: revisions complete

March 8: add front/back matter, ads, sign and collect contributor agreement forms

March 15: Karen at UNT Press reads final PDF

March 25: document sent to printers

April/May: we get print copies

Again, from an editor's perspective, it would be best to not delay further. The responses should not be very long, so I hope that we can stick to January 13th.

Best,  
Ben

***Benjamin Graf, Ph.D.***

**University of North Texas**

Music History, Theory and Ethnomusicology

Office: MU215

**From:** Cubero, Diego <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 26, 2019 2:00 PM

**To:** Bakulina, Ellen <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>

**Cc:** Walls, Levi <[LeviWalls@my.unt.edu](mailto:LeviWalls@my.unt.edu)>; Slottow, Stephen <[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>; Chung, Andrew <[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>; Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>; Graf, Benjamin <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>

**Subject:** Re: Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper

Dear Levi and all,

The call looks good. I would make the two following suggestions:

1. There is a passage that reads: "We invite interested parties to submit essay responses to Ewell's paper." I would change it to: "We invite responses to Ewell's paper."
2. I do not like the phrase "Schenkerian community." It is quite exclusive.

Think of a way to reword this sentence. I will, too.

2. Extend the deadline at least to February 1st. A month and a half is a pretty short turn around, especially considering that it is the holidays.

Best,

Diego

### *Journal of Schenkerian Studies* vol. 12 (2019) Call for Papers

The SMT plenary presentation given by Philip Ewell, "Music Theory's White Racial Frame," has inspired a good deal of debate within the Schenkerian community. As a journal dedicated to Schenkerian studies, we find it important to foster these discussions. As part of volume 12, we invite interested parties to submit essay responses to Ewell's paper. The *Journal of Schenkerian Studies* takes no official stance on the issues addressed by Ewell, and we hope to publish a variety of thoughts and perspectives. Submissions must adhere to the following guidelines:

1. Essays should be 1,000 to 3,000 words in length.
2. In order to leave sufficient time for editorial work, submissions must observe a strict deadline of January 13, 2020.

Any questions or concerns regarding submissions may be directed at the editors ([Schenker@unt.edu](mailto:Schenker@unt.edu)).

Please refer to Ewell's abstract, as well as links to the presentation slides and video recording (listed below):

On Nov 26, 2019, at 12:39 PM, Bakulina, Ellen <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)> wrote:

Looks good to me. Thanks for asking for our opinions!

-EB

**From:** Walls, Levi <[LeviWalls@my.unt.edu](mailto:LeviWalls@my.unt.edu)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 26, 2019 12:24 PM  
**To:** Bakulina, Ellen <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>; Slottow, Stephen <[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>; Chung, Andrew <[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>; Cubero, Diego <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>  
**Cc:** Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>; Graf, Benjamin <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>  
**Subject:** Re: Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper

Dear Drs. Jackson, Slottow, Graf, et al.,

I've attached a new version of the call. Let me know if it looks okay, or if there are any other issues that come to mind.

Dr. Bakulina, I believe that was the plan. Dr. Jackson also mentioned sending it to the Sibelius and Estonian academies. We'll have to confirm exactly how/where to send it out.

Regards,

Levi Walls

**From:** Walls, Levi <[LeviWalls@my.unt.edu](mailto:LeviWalls@my.unt.edu)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 26, 2019 6:21 AM  
**To:** Bakulina, Ellen <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>; Slottow, Stephen <[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>; Chung, Andrew <[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>; Cubero, Diego <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>  
**Cc:** Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>; Graf, Benjamin <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>  
**Subject:** Re: Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper

Hi all,

Thank you all very much for the input. Drs. Jackson, Slottow, and Graf, I'll draft a final version of the call and get it back to you around midday, then we can discuss how to proceed. We should be able to send it out today.

Regards,

Levi Walls

**From:** Bakulina, Ellen <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 25, 2019 11:32:30 AM  
**To:** Slottow, Stephen <[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>; Chung, Andrew <[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>; Walls, Levi <[LeviWalls@my.unt.edu](mailto:LeviWalls@my.unt.edu)>; Cubero, Diego <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>  
**Cc:** Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>; Graf, Benjamin <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>  
**Subject:** Re: Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper

Oh, and change January 13, 2019 to 2020.

-EB

**From:** Bakulina, Ellen <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 25, 2019 1:22 PM  
**To:** Slottow, Stephen <[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>; Chung, Andrew <[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>; Walls, Levi <[LeviWalls@my.unt.edu](mailto:LeviWalls@my.unt.edu)>; Cubero, Diego <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>  
**Cc:** Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>; Graf, Benjamin <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>  
**Subject:** Re: Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper

Yes, good idea to provide link to the recording of Ewell's talk. As far as I know, it will be available on the SMT website until January 15, which is after your January 13 deadline, so there is no problem here.

Could you specify that the paper was part of the plenary session? Right now, it looks like it was a regular SMT paper (which would probably produce less reverberation than a plenary one).

As for encouraging different kinds of responses, the CFP already says "variety of thoughts and perspectives." I think this is quite clear.

All best,  
-EB

**From:** Slottow, Stephen <[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 25, 2019 1:15 PM  
**To:** Chung, Andrew <[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>; Walls, Levi <[LeviWalls@my.unt.edu](mailto:LeviWalls@my.unt.edu)>; Bakulina, Ellen <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>; Cubero,

Diego <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>

**Cc:** Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>; Graf, Benjamin <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>

**Subject:** Re: Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper

Good idea. One way this could be done is to reproduce Ewell's SMT abstract and link to the SMT reproduction of his slides and video of his talk, which is on both the SMT and his Hunter website. I'm not sure how long it'll stay on the SMT website.

-sps

Stephen Slottow  
Associate Professor of Music Theory  
University of North Texas

**From:** "Chung, Andrew" <[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>

**Date:** Monday, November 25, 2019 at 1:07 PM

**To:** "Walls, Levi" <[LeviWalls@my.unt.edu](mailto:LeviWalls@my.unt.edu)>, "Bakulina, Ellen" <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>, "Cubero, Diego" <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>

**Cc:** Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>, "Slottow, Stephen" <[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>, "Graf, Benjamin" <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>

**Subject:** RE: Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper

Dear Levi + others,

I think it's great that JSS is looking to engage Ewell's SMT talk. What do you think about mentioning very briefly some of the content and context of Ewell's remarks vis-à-vis Schenker? As the CFP stands, it seems to presume that everyone knows what Ewell said, and what tendencies of Schenker's Ewell chose to talk about (most readers probably do understand both of these things). The thing to be careful about, of course, is not to implicitly encourage responses of one kind and discourage responses of another kind.

Cheers,  
Dr. Chung

**From:** Walls, Levi

**Sent:** Monday, November 25, 2019 1:00 PM

**To:** Bakulina, Ellen <[Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu](mailto:Ellen.Bakulina@unt.edu)>; Chung, Andrew

<[Andrew.Chung@unt.edu](mailto:Andrew.Chung@unt.edu)>; Cubero, Diego <[Diego.Cubero@unt.edu](mailto:Diego.Cubero@unt.edu)>  
**Cc:** Timothy Jackson <[shermanzelechin@gmail.com](mailto:shermanzelechin@gmail.com)>; Slottow, Stephen  
<[Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu](mailto:Stephen.Slottow@unt.edu)>; Graf, Benjamin <[Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Graf@unt.edu)>  
**Subject:** Framing for call for responses to Ewell paper

Dear Drs. Bakulina, Chung, and Cubero,

The JSS is preparing to send out a call for responses to the Ewell paper at SMT. We all thought it would be prudent to get input from other faculty members regarding the specific framing of the call. Please let us know if you have any thoughts on improving the language of the call, especially in regards to inclusiveness and impartiality:

The SMT paper given by Philip Ewell, "Music Theory's White Racial Frame," has inspired a good deal of debate within the Schenkerian community. As a journal dedicated to Schenkerian studies, we find it important to foster these discussions. As part of volume 12, we invite interested parties to submit essay responses to Ewell's paper. The *Journal of Schenkerian Studies* takes no official stance on the issues addressed by Ewell, and we hope to publish a variety of thoughts and perspectives. Submissions must adhere to the following guidelines:

1. Essays should be 1,000 to 3,000 words in length.
2. In order to leave sufficient time for editorial work, submissions must observe a strict deadline of January 13, 2019.

Any questions or concerns regarding submissions may be directed at the editors ([Schenker@unt.edu](mailto:Schenker@unt.edu)).

Regards,

Levi Walls (with Drs. Jackson, Slottow, and Graf in copy)