Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24 Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF SHERMAN DIVISION INDEX 2 2 PAGE 3 TIMOTHY JACKSON. 3 2 Plaintiff, Exhibit index.... 5 vs. CASE NO. 4:21-CV-00033-ALM 5 Stipulations..... 5 6 REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. 6 LAURA WRIGHT, et al., Defendants. Direct Examination by Mr. Allen..... 6 ************* VIDEOTAPED ZOOM ORAL DEPOSITION OF REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. 10 10 Changes and Signature Pages..... 11 September 27, 2024 Reporter's Certificate..... 12 (Reported Remotely) 13 13 VIDEOTAPED ORAL DEPOSITION OF REBECCA GEOFFROY-14 14 15 SCHWINDEN, Ph.D., produced as a witness at the instance 15 16 of the plaintiff and duly sworn, was taken in the 16 17 above-styled and -numbered cause on the 27th day of 17 18 September, 2024, from 1:33 p.m. to 4:38 p.m., before 18 19 Kim D. Carrell. Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for 19 20 the State of Texas, reported remotely by computerized 20 stenotype machine at the University of North Texas 21 22 System, 801 North Texas Boulevard, Gateway Suite #308, 22 23 23 Denton, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil 24 Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or 24 25 attached hereto. 25 Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24 4 **EXHIBITS** 1 Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24 2 NUMBER DESCRIPTION **MARKED** APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Exhibit 1 Re-Notice of Taking Deposition...... 7 3 Michael Thad Allen ALLEN LAW, LLC P.O. Box 404 Quaker Hill, CT 06375 Telephone: 860.772.4738 - Fax: 860.469.2783 E-mail: M.allen@allen-lawfirm.com Emails Re: Grad Student Statement on 4 Exhibit 2 JSS (UNT 000355 - 000356)..... 53 5 5 Exhibit 3 Ad Hoc Review Panel Report (Exhibit D) 6 6 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 7 Ms. Mary Quimby
Assistant Attorney General
General Litigation Division
P.O. Box 12548, Capital Station
Austin, Texas 78711
Telephone: 512.463.2120 - Fax: 512.320.0667
E-mail: Mary.Quimby@oag.texas.gov 8 Exhibit 4 Email Chain Ending 7-30-20, Ragland to Geoffroy-Schwinden, et al. 8 10 (UNT 000276 - 000288)...... 72 9 11 Exhibit 5 Email Re: Faculty Statement on Journal - and -12 of Schenkerian Studies, 7-30-20 10 Mr. Renaldo Stowers (Appearing Live)
University of North Texas System
Office of General Counsel
801 North Texas Boulevard
Denton, Texas 76201
Telephone: 940.565.2717 - Fax: 940.369.7026
E-mail: Renaldo.Stowers@untsystem.edu 13 (UNT 000425)...... 78 14 11 Exhibit 6 Emails Re: Journal of Schenkerian 15 Studies, 7-29-20 12 16 (UNT 000377 - 000378)..... 82 17 13 ALSO PRESENT: 18 Exhibit 7 Email, 7-30-20, Geoffroy-Schwinden to Brand (UNT 000417)..... 14 19 Mr. Timothy Jackson, Plaintiff Exhibit 8 Drafts of the Faculty Statement 15 20 VIDEOGRAPHER: (UNT 000427 - 000431)..... 90 21 16 Mr. Jason Warner Legal Video Group lvg.dallas@gmail.com 214-598-5229 22 Exhibit 9 Students' Statement Linked to Draft 23 17 Faculty Statement..... 97 18 24 19 25 20 21 22 23 24

- No, there's nothing.
- **Q.** You are not on any medications that would
- 3 affect your memory?
- A. No.
- **Q.** You are not suffering from an illness or a
- 6 mental condition that would affect your ability to
- **7** testify?

- 8 A. No.
- **Q.** Thank you. As I ask you questions, your
- 10 attorney may object from time to time. In fact, it's
- 11 almost inevitable that that will happen. This is part
- 12 of building the record for the Court. As you probably
- 13 already understand, this is a very formal conversation
- 14 meant to create a record for the Court and meant to
- **15** discover what you would say when you testify at trial.
- 16 The objections are part of building that record. It
- 17 does not relieve you of the obligation to answer the
- 18 question, however. Is that clear?
- **19 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** There are some few exceptions, like
- 21 attorney-client privilege, and they will be very clear
- 22 if they come up, which they usually don't, because your
- 23 attorney will almost certainly instruct you not to answer
- 24 the question. But for the most part, unless that comes
- 25 up, you will have to answer questions that are asked

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

9/27/24

10

- despite objections. Okay?
- 2 A. Okay

1

- **Q.** Likewise, because it's a formal record for
- 4 the Court, there are many things we do in ordinary
- 5 conversations that are obviously normal. We nod our
- 6 heads, we say um-hum and uh-huh. But for the purposes
- 7 of the court reporter's ability to keep a clean record,
- 8 I need to ask you to answer audibly to my questions
- 9 today; is that clear?
- 10 A. Yes.
- **Q.** Likewise, I'll try to answer audibly and ask
- 12 questions clearly. However, you may want clarification
- 13 of questions from time to time, and that is perfectly
- 14 normal and acceptable. So if, at any time, you want
- 15 clarification of what I've asked you, please interrupt
- **16** me. It's not anything that is taken against the
- 17 witness. I'd much rather have you answering a clear
- 18 stated question than one that you don't understand
- 19 obviously. Is that clear?
- A. Yes.
- **Q.** By the same token, if you do not ask me for
- 22 a clarification, I will assume that you understand the
- 23 question as asked. Is that also clear?
- **24 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** Thank you. Now, I'll just ask you -- and I'll

- 1 try to abide by the same rules, that we not talk over
- 2 each other. That's probably the last rule of the road.
- 3 Is that clear?

7

- A. Yes
- **Q.** All right. Can you explain for the record what
- 6 you have done to prepare for today's deposition?
 - A. I met with my attorneys.
- **Q.** I'm not going to ask you what you discussed
- 9 with them, but I am entitled to know approximately how
- 10 long you met with your attorneys.
- **11 A.** Maybe -- I think it was maybe three hours.
- **Q.** Uh-huh. Did you review any documents in
- **13** preparation for this testimony?
- **14 A.** Yes
- **Q.** What documents did you review in preparation
- 16 for your testimony today?
- **17 A.** I reviewed the faculty statement on the Journal
- 18 of Schenkerian Studies and the grad students' statement
- 19 that that faculty statement linked to.
- **Q.** Any other documents that you reviewed in
- **21** preparation for testimony today?
- **22 A.** No.
- **Q.** Okay. Did you talk to anyone else in addition
- 24 to your attorneys?
- **A.** About what?

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9.

9/27/24

12

11

- Q. About this deposition.
- 2 Δ. No.

1

6

- **Q.** So I assume there was no one else in the room
- 4 with you and your attorneys when you were speaking with
- 5 them, correct?
 - A. There was no one else in the room.
- **7 Q.** Okay. All right. I just briefly want to ask
- 8 you some questions about your career, your education,
- 9 background, things that you would likely have put on
- 10 your curriculum vitae and things like that.
- 11 Can I just ask you to state for the record
- 12 your educational career or the milestones in your
- 13 educational career starting with your undergraduate
- 14 degree up through whatever the last degree you have
- 15 received, including the school, the year, and the
- **16** nature of the degree?
- 17 A. Okay. I graduated from Penn State University -
- 18 Schreyer Honors College in 2007 with degrees with
- 19 distinction in history and international studies and
- 20 minors in French and music.
- 21 I earned a Master of Arts degree in Musicology
- 22 from Duke University in 2011 and a Ph.D. in Musicology
- 23 from Duke University in 2015, with a graduate certificate
- 24 in history and anthropology.
 - Q. So the Duke degrees were primarily in

- 1 musicology. Did I understand that correctly?
- **A.** Not quite, because I also did -- the degrees
- 3 were in musicology, but I also did the graduate
- 4 certificate in history and anthropology. So I did take
- **5** history and anthropology coursework, as well.
- **Q.** Okay. Are there any other degrees,
- 7 certificates, anything of that nature, that you've
- 8 earned up to the present?
- **9 A.** I did get a certificate in college -- oh, wait,
- 10 no, I didn't. No, that's it.
- **Q.** Okay. So you graduated in 2011 with your
- **12** master's in musicology. Was that part of a continuous
- 13 program that you continued through right up to the end
- 14 of your Ph.D.?
- **15 A.** Yes. So I started -- I started at Duke in 2009
- **16** and got the master's in 2011, along the way to the Ph.D.
- 17 that I got in 2015.
- **18 Q.** So it sounds like there was two years between
- 19 your degree at Penn State in history and international
- 20 studies with -- did you say a minor in French and music?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- **Q.** And in 2009, you started the Duke graduate
- 23 program. What did you do in those intervening two
- 24 vears?
- **25 A.** I worked as a legal assistant.

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

14

- 1 Q. Why didn't you become a lawyer is the obvious
- 2 question.
- **A.** I mean, look what I'm missing out on.
- **Q.** Okay. And so I assume that was for a law firm
- 5 of some sort?
- 6 A. It was.
- **7 Q.** Okay. So in the same way that you've just
- 8 described your educational career, can you describe the
- 9 course of your professional career in academia, starting
- 10 with your graduation in 2015 from Duke?
- **11 A.** Sure. So I graduated in May of 2015 from Duke,
- and I drove to Texas in July, and I started working as an
- assistant professor in music history at the University of
- 14 North Texas in Denton. And I was in that position until
- **15** I was promoted to associate professor with tenure in
- 16 2021, June 2021, I think.
- 17 Q. Um-hum. And have you been promoted to full
- 18 professor?
- **19 A.** Nope.
- **Q.** And you remain an associate professor at this
- 21 time, right?
- **22 A.** Yes
- **Q.** And it's only been, it sounds like, three years
- 24 since you were granted tenure?
- **25 A.** Yes, that's correct.

- 1 Q. Okay, great. Do you have any administrative
- 2 duties at the University of North Texas?
- **A.** Since the -- well, in the fall of '22, school
- 4 year '22-'23, and now '24-'25, I'm the program
- 5 coordinator of an undergraduate degree.
- **Q.** What's the undergraduate degree that you're
- 7 coordinating or working with?
- **8 A.** It's called -- I am coordinating it now.
- **9 Q.** Okay
- **10 A.** But it didn't seem clear, because last year,
- 11 I was on leave, so I wasn't doing that work last year.
- 12 It's called Critical Studies in Music and Society.
- **Q.** And you said you went on leave. Was that a
- 14 sabbatical?

15

18

21

3

12

- A. It was -- well, it was -- I was on a hundred
- 16 percent research, because I had a research fellowship for
- **17** the year, so...
 - Q. Who granted the fellowship?
- **19 A.** The National Endowment for the Humanities and
- 20 the Hagley Museum and Library.
 - Q. Well, congratulations.
- 22 A. Thank you.
- **Q.** Have you been granted any other awards,
- 24 fellowships, scholarships, things of that nature, not
- 25 including scholarships you might have had as a graduate

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

16

15

- student, but as a professor?
- 2 A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. Can you state them for the record, please?
- 4 A. How -- how down in the weeds do you want me to
- 5 get? Because it could be like an annoying list of like
- 6 small EBT grants. I may not remember them all.
- **Q.** No, not the travel --
- **8** A. Not that I'm so prolific, but...
- **9 Q.** -- the travel to the music history conference
- 10 in Odessa or something like that.
- **11 A.** Yea
 - Q. Let's say anything that would have granted you
- 13 time off or travel visiting professorships, something of
- 14 that nature. A Fulbright -- a significant grant, if we
- 15 can understand one.
- **16** A. Anything that gave me time off. The only thing
- 17 is the NEH fellowship that I had last year that gave me
- 18 time off. I've had some funding to do like summer
- 19 research that was -- some of it was internal from UNT. I
- 20 had another NEH summer stipends to do research abroad.
- 21 But those, they didn't grant me time off.
- **Q.** I understand. In that leave, that time you had
 - leave on the NEH grant, did you leave the University of
 - North Texas and go somewhere else to do your studies and
- **25** research?

23

3

6

- 1 A. Um-hum, yes. I was away.
- **Q.** Was that when you were affiliated with the
- 3 Hagley Museum?
- A. Yes.

7

- **5 Q.** And I believe that's also affiliated with the
- 6 University of Delaware. Am I wrong?
 - **A.** I think they maybe have some programming with
- 8 them. They have some programming with them, but I didn't
- 9 do anything with the University of Delaware.
- 10 Q. Okay. So your primary affiliation was the
- 11 Hagley Museum?
- **12 A.** Yes. Well, it's an NEH Hagley fellowship.
- **Q.** Oh, wow.
- **A.** So it's an NEH site-specific fellowship.
- **15 Q.** Yeah
- **16 A.** So you know, yeah. I was doing my research
- 17 at Hagley. I lived there.
- **18 Q.** Um-hum. And just because the jury and the
- 19 Court may not know what the Hagley Museum is, can you
- 20 just briefly describe in two or three sentences what
- 21 the Hagley Museum is?
- **22 A.** Sure. It is the location of the DuPont
- 23 family's original gunpowder mill that opened in 1802.
- 24 And it's now a museum and library. And the museum is
- 25 dedicated to American technological innovation. And

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

18

- the library is mostly about the history of business.
- **Q.** Um-hum. Thank you.
- 3 A. Sure.
- **Q.** Approx -- can you just state for the record
- 5 your publication record? Articles, books, articles in
- 6 edited books, anything of that nature?
- **7 A.** I will do -- yeah, I'll try my best.
- **Q.** Please.
- **9 A.** So my book is -- what would you like? Like the
- 10 titles? The --
- 11 Q. Well, let's start. Let's start -- that's
- 12 probably too much of a mouthful, so let me strike that
- 13 question, and I'll ask you in series.
- **14 A.** Okay.
- **Q.** How many articles have you published as a --
- 16 I guess you're a music historian. Is that what you would
- 17 describe yourself as?
- **18** A. Yes. I'm a music historian.
- 19 Q. And other witnesses have testified that the
- 20 division you are in is the Music History, Theory, and
- 21 Ethnomusicology division, and that is split up itself
- 22 into three different subparts, and you're in the history
- **23** one?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- **Q.** Okay. And so as a music historian, or as an

- 1 academic in general, can you explain how many articles
- 2 you've published, please?
 - A. Five in peer-reviewed journals.
 - Q. Um-hum. Have you published any articles that
- **5** were not peer reviewed?
 - A. Like in a -- in a journal, or like what kind of
- 7 publication do you mean?
- **8 Q.** Well, it's sort of a question for you. I don't
- **9** know because I'm not a music historian. I'm asking you
- 10 if you've published peer-reviewed articles or articles
- 11 that were not subject to peer review.
- **12 A.** Not that came out in a journal, not that came
- 13 out in a scholarly journal.
- **Q.** Okay. It sounds like you have published
- **15** articles that were not subjected to the peer review.
- **16** So where did they appear?
- **17 A.** Well, what I'm thinking of is a -- like a
- 18 newsletter from a society.
- **19 Q.** Okay.
- 20 A. That would be done under editorial review, so
- 21 not an academic journal.
- **Q.** By editorial review, do you mean that the
- 23 editors of that publication did work with you, reviewed
- 24 it, perhaps made suggestions, and it was eventually
- 25 published?

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

20

19

- **1 A.** For this newsletter, yeah. So it's kind of
- 2 more like a newspaper or a magazine. Like it doesn't
- 3 have like a review process because it's just -- you know,
- 4 it's a scholarly newsletter.
- **5 Q.** Sure. Is that something you would put in
- 6 your CV?
- **7 A.** I put it under scholarly. I put it under
- 8 like a separate category, because it's not peer reviewed,
- 9 yeah.
- **10 Q.** Right, right. Have you published articles in
- 11 scholarly books?
- **12 A.** Yes. Like do you mean like book chapters in an
- 13 edited volume?
- **Q.** An edited volume, book chapters of any sort.
- **15** And I'm going to ask you if you've published a book in a
- 16 second. I just want to know if you've published portions
- 17 of a book, a chapter, article, however you want to
- 18 characterize it.
- 19 A. Yeah, I have.
- **Q.** Uh-huh. And was that -- well, let me back up.
- 21 When you say peer review, what do you mean by
- 22 that?

- A. So when I say peer review, I mean that -- I
- 24 just mean to say that it got sent out to people who
 - wrote reports on it and give a review -- recommendation

- about whether it should be published or not, and then 1
- 2 send it back.
- 3 Right. And was that process double-blind?
 - For which publication?
- 5 For the peer-reviewed publications that you
- 6 listed. I believe, five. Well, you haven't list them,
- 7 but you named the number five. Were those blind peer
- review? 8
- Yes, they were all -- those were blind peer 9 A.
- reviews 10
- And by blind peer review, you understand 11
- 12 that we mean where neither the reviewer, nor you as the
- 13 author, are supposed to know each other's identity,
- 14 riaht?
- 15 That's usually what we mean by blind peer A.
- review. 16
- 17 Q. Okav.
- 18 Yeah, you don't know the writer or the
- 19 reviewer
- 20 Okay. So I should have asked that at the
- beginning, but now fast-forward to the articles you've 21
- published in a book. What review process were those 22
- 23 subjected to?
- 24 It was different for different books.
- 25 Q. Um-hum. Well, let's back up and ask, how

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

- many of those have you published? Not books. I mean,
- articles in books. 2

1

- 3 A. Sorry. I'm kind of drawing a blank. I --
- books in circulation. Okay, I remember. I knew I was 4
- forgetting one. I think -- I'm sorry. I would have to 5
- look at my CV. I think I've published three. 6
- 7 And were those subjected to any kind of review?
- 8 Α. They definitely went through review.
- 9 Were they reviewed by the editor of the volume?
- 10 A. I'd have to take them each individually --
- 11 Q.
- Α. -- and try to remember. 12
- 13 So let's -- yeah. Let's do that then. Q.
- 14 Okav.
- 15 What was the first in time? That's probably
- 16 the hardest to remember because it was longer ago. But
- 17 we'll start with that for lack of a better system.
- 18 Okay. So that one was about digital approaches
- to historical acoustemologies. Roughly, that was the 19
- 20 title.
- 21 Cosmologies? Q.
- 22 A. No. That would be funny. Acoustemologies.
- 23 Acoustemologies, sorry. And how was that
- one reviewed before publication? 24
- 25 You know, I don't totally remember. I

- wrote -- like I was writing that when I was graduating 1
- from grad school, and it's all a little fuzzy. But I
- 3 will say that I remember going back and forth several,
- several times with the editors of the volume.
- 5 Um-hum. Ο.
 - A. And I know that that whole volume was peer-
- 7 reviewed, but I do not remember. I don't remember the
- 8

6

9

18

22

- Yeah, that's fine. Q.
- 10 Okay. A.
- And again, incidentally, if you don't know 11
- 12 something, you don't know. So you can just say you don't
- 13 know.
- Oh, okay. Well, I feel bad. You are asking 14 A.
- 15 me. so...
- Q. No. Well, I might feel bad, too, but we can 16
- 17 only ask for what you know.
 - So the second article in time, what was that?
- 19 Okay. I think the second one was in a volume
- 20 called like Musical and Theatrical Circulations in
- Eighteenth-Century Europe. 21
- 22 Q. Um-hum.
- 23 A. And it was about the building of the Paris
- Conservatory's first music library. 24
- Um-hum. And about what year did that come out, 25

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

24

- 1 give or take, if you know?
- 2 A. I think it came out in 2018.
- 3 Okay. And do you recall the process of review
- that that article was subjected to? 4
- 5 A. I don't recall much about it. I do -- I do
- believe I remember getting like a reader's report that
- 7 was specific to my chapter, like a blind reader's report
- 8 that was very specific to my chapter. And I don't know
- 9 about the process that the full book went through
- 10 because, you know, that's the editor's thing.
- Q. Um-hum, right. Sure, sure. And the last 11
- article you published in a scholarly volume or book? 12
- 13 That was more recent, in a Bloomsbury Handbook of Art and Music. 14
- 15 Q. And was that subjected to peer review?
- 16 That was -- all of my back and forth was
- 17 peer-reviewed by the editors. And then they managed --
- 18 I don't know what they were doing with the review process
- because, again, it's the editor's thing. So they managed 19
- 20 all of that peer review and funneled the -- I guess the
- 21 information to me.
- 22 Q. So they may have subjected it to some review
- 23 process that you weren't privy to. But as far as you
- 24 knew, you were communicating with the editor, or editors,
- if there's more than one directly, right?

2

9

18

3

9/27/24

- 1 A. Well, Bloomsbury Handbooks go through peer
- 2 review, I mean --
- **Q.** No, I know, I know. I'm not suggesting they
- **4** don't.
- **5 A.** -- but I'm the one communicating with the
- 6 editors.
- **7 Q.** Okay. And you don't remember getting sort of a
- 8 blind report on that one?
- **9 A.** No.
- **Q.** Okay. Have you ever published a monograph?
- **11 A.** Yes
- **Q.** And what's that book?
- **A.** It's called From Servant to Savant. And it was
- 14 published with Oxford in 2022.
- **Q.** And was that accepted for publication at the
- 16 time you were going up for tenure?
- **17 A.** It was. I'm trying -- it was. I'm trying to
- 18 remember if I had the final -- I had a contract. I'm
- 19 trying to remember if they had gone through that last
- 20 level where they talk to the board at the publisher.
- **21 O.** Right.
- **A.** But I had -- it was under contract when I went
- 23 up for tenure.
- **Q.** Sure. And have you published a scholarly
- 25 book since that time?

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

26

- **A.** No, I wish I were that prolific.
- **Q.** Okay, good. So as you probably have gathered,
- 3 this lawsuit is about what I will call the Schenker
- 4 controversy surrounding the Journal of Schenkerian
- **5** Studies that used to be published by the University of
- 6 North Texas Press. If I say the Schenker controversy,
- 7 do you know what I'm talking about?
- **8 A.** No, because -- well, could you be more specific
- **9** about what that comprises?
- **Q.** Sure. You know the Journal of Schenkerian
- 11 Studies, correct?
- **12 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** Now, I know you're a music historian and
- 14 not a music theorist. But I'll ask you, what is your
- 15 understanding of what the Journal of Schenkerian Studies
- 16 published?
- **17 A.** Articles to do with Schenkerian analysis.
- **Q.** And were you aware that the -- excuse me.
- 19 Strike that, please.
- **20** Were you aware that the Journal of Schenkerian
- 21 Studies published a Volume 12 in July of 2020 -- 2020,
- **22** yeah?
- **23 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** Did you -- are you a member of the Society
- **25** for Music Theory?

- A. No.
- Q. Was it in any way part of your academic
- activities to -- let me strike that.
- 4 In the course of your academic studies in
- 5 2019, 2020, were you aware of a plenary talk by the
- 6 New York Hunter College, the City University of New
- 7 York Hunter College, Professor Philip Ewell, who gave
- 8 a plenary address in February of 2019?
 - A. I had heard people say that he had.
- **Q.** Did you ever read a version of that plenary
- 11 address that was published in Spectrum? I believe the
- 12 journal is called Spectrum maintained by the Society for
- 13 Music Theory.
- **14 A.** No, I never did.
- **Q.** Did you listen to Professor Ewell's talk when
- **16** it was posted online?
- **17 A.** No.
 - Q. Did you understand that the Journal of
- 19 Schenkerian Studies published the Symposium in response
- 20 to Philip Ewell's, let's say, for lack of a better word,
- 21 scholarship?
- **A.** Do you mean their response to the -- to this
- talk you were asking me about?
- **Q.** That's what I'm trying to find out, what you
- 25 know about it. Right? So the Volume 12 publishes the

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

28

9/27/24

- 1 Symposium, right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
 - Q. And as you understood it at the time, what was
- 4 that Symposium about?
- **5 A.** It was about Professor Ewell's talk, I guess,
- 6 yeah. Or just about his work, yeah.
- **Q.** And his talk at the Society for Music Theory
- 8 conference in 2019?
- **9 A.** Yeah. I think so, yeah.
- 10 Q. Okay. How did you learn about -- well, let
- 11 me strike that.
- At some point, did you come to learn that
- 13 there was a controversy surrounding Volume 12 of the
- 14 Journal of Schenkerian Studies?
 - A. I learned that a lot of people were talking
- 16 about it, yes.

15

18

- **Q.** Um-hum. When?
 - A. I don't remember the date.
- **Q.** Do you remember how you learned?
- **20 A.** I do.
- **Q.** Can you explain for the record how you learned
- **22** about this controversy?
 - A. I got a text message about it.
- **Q.** From whom?
- **A.** From April Prince.

9/27/24

1

2

3

9

18

- **Q.** Who is April Prince?
- **A.** She's a -- at the time, was a senior lecturer.
- 3 Now, she's a principal lecturer of music history at the
- 4 University of North Texas.
- **Q.** Is she a colleague then?
- A. Yes.
- **7 Q.** Okay. What did she say in these text message
- 8 exchanges with you?
- **9 A.** I don't remember the details of what she said
- **10** about it. She just forwarded a picture of like people's
- 11 Twitter posts.
- **Q.** So it was -- was it your understanding from
- 13 your communications with -- did you say April Prince?
- 14 Did I get her name right?
- **15 A.** Um-hum.
- **Q.** So you understood from April Prince that, for
- 17 lack of a better word, it was blowing up on Twitter?
- **18 A.** Well, I didn't know exactly what was going
- 19 on. I just knew people were talking about UNT on
- 20 Twitter, like a couple of people. You know what I mean?
- 21 I mean, I did not know the extent of it or anything.
- **Q.** Okay.
- 23 A. So at that time, I didn't know if it was like
- 24 one person or not.
- **Q.** Sure. And if I said this was July 2020, late

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

30

- 1 July 2020, does that sound accurate to you? Does that
- 2 help refresh your memory of when this was?
- **A.** Yeah, late July 2020 sounds correct. I just do
- 4 not remember the dates. I mean, I was -- yeah.
- **Q.** And backing -- oh, sorry. Were you finished?
- **A.** No, that's good.
- **Q.** I was breaking that rule of interrupting you.
- 8 See? I apologize.
- **9** So backing up to the Journal of Schenkerian
- 10 Studies, please tell the Court how you understood the
- 11 Journal of Schenkerian Studies was organized.
- **A.** I did not know.
- **0.** Okay.
- 14 A. I didn't know. I knew it was published out
- 15 of our department, and it was published out of the music
- 16 theory area, but I didn't know about its organization
- 17 honestly.
- **Q.** Okay. Are you aware that there's another music
- 19 theory journal published by the University of North Texas
- 20 Press that's also published out of the MHTE?
- 21 A. Yes, I think Theoria. Is that what you're
- referring to? Yes, I've heard of it.
- **Q.** That's a nice guess.
- **24 A.** Yeah.
- **Q.** And who edits that?

- A. I don't know. Do you mean now or then?
- Either one.
- Who edited in 2020, if you know?
 - A. I don't know. I don't know.
- **Q.** Okay. All right. Do I understand by your
- **6** answers that you don't really read Theoria either for
- your work?
- **8** A. Yeah, you do. Yes, that's correct. I do not.
 - Q. And of course, I'm not imputing some sort of
- 10 ignorance to you. It's not in your field, right?
- **11 A.** Correct, yeah. I read musicology, music
- 12 history journals. I do not regularly read music theory
- 13 journals, no.
- **Q.** Okay. And since you didn't know who the editor
- 15 was in 2020, I suppose it would be accurate to say that
- 16 you don't know how Theoria was organized either?
- **17 A.** I have no idea. No, I don't know.
 - Q. Okay. All right. So let's go then to the time
- 19 you first learned that there was something being said on
- 20 Twitter about UNT and the Journal of Schenkerian Studies
- 21 when you were corresponding with your friend, April
- 22 Prince, or I'll say colleague, let's say. What happened
- 23 after that?
- 24 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **A.** Do you mean that day or --

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

32

- 1 Q. Well, in as much detail as you can remember
- 2 now, what happened next? You know, what happened next
- **3** with regard to this issue that was brewing over the
- 4 Journal of Schenkerian Studies?
- **5** MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **6 A.** I mean, I don't know over all. Do you want
- 7 like a timeline of the day or --
- **Q.** Not so much the day. Just you know, your
- 9 experience of what was happening. I mean, I understand
- 10 it's now what, four years later, so you're unlikely to
- say, oh, my gosh, at 2:00 p.m. on July 27th or something.
- 12 It's not about that. Trust me. I just am trying to find
- 13 out what you remember about how this controversy
- 14 developed.15 A. I
- **A.** I might need some prompting if you could help
- **16** me.
- **Q.** Sure. Let's ask a few more questions, and
- 18 maybe that will help jog your memory.
- 19 A. Yeah, yeah.
- **Q.** Do you remember what the Journal was accused of
- 21 doing that made people so, I don't know, exercised on
- 22 Twitter?
- MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **A.** Well, I didn't read -- you know, I didn't read
 - 5 a lot of it. So I'm not on social media, so I didn't

- read many -- I know, I'm like my husband says 1
- But I didn't read a lot of those tweets or anything; just 2
- 3 the ones, the couple that were sent to me. And they know
- they were upset about, you know, the response to Ewell,
- 5 I auess.
- 6 Q. Un-hum. And I understood from what you said
- earlier that you had never read the version of Philip 7
- Ewell's plenary address that was published in Spectrum, 8
- 9 correct?
- 10 That's correct. A.
- And you never viewed his talk at the SMT 2019 11 Q.
- 12 plenary session?
- 13 That's correct.
- Did you read Volume 12 of the Journal of 14
- 15 Schenkerian Studies?
- A. I skimmed parts of it and read parts of it. 16
- Can you describe in as much detail as you can 17
- 18 remember what parts you skimmed and what parts you read?
- 19 Yeah, inasmuch as I can remember. I looked
- at Nick Stoia's article. He actually ended up as a 20
- professor at Duke after I left there, and so I looked at 21
- Nick Stoia's article. That wasn't part of the Symposium, 22
- 23 but I had opened the journal, so I looked at Nick's
- article. 24

25 Ο. Did he publish one of the mainline articles?

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

I'll say -- I'll just represent for the record, there

- were three articles that were more or less ordinary,
- 2
- 3 vanilla, peer-reviewed articles, and then there was the
- Symposium, which was separate. Does that correspond to 4
- your memory of the journal? 5
- MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. 6
- 7 Volume 12, I mean.
- 8 I don't remember the number But I know --
- 9 like I know there were articles that didn't have to do
- 10 with the Symposium.
- Okay. And Nick Stoia's article was one of 11 Q.
- those? 12
- 13 I believe so, yeah.
- Okay. What was your impression of that 14 Q.
- 15 article?
- 16 I thought it was good. I thought it was good.
- 17 Nick does good work.
- 18 Q. Do you know him otherwise?
- No, I don't. No. I mean, I met him in passing 19
- 20 at Duke when he was interviewing, I think, maybe.
- 21 Uh-huh.
- 22 Α. But that's all. So anyway, I remember Nick's
- 23 article being in it.
- And did it strike you that Nick Stoia's article 24 25 was below the standards you would expect of an academic

- journal in the music field? 1
- 2 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- 3 A. I mean, I don't remember. Are you asking me to
- like go into detail about my evaluation of Nick's
- 5 article? I mean, I looked at Nick's article.
 - Q. No. Just in general terms, in reviewing Nick
- 7 Stoia's article --

6

16

18

34

- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- -- did you have any concerns for the quality of
- scholarship that was appearing to the Journal of 10
- Schenkerian Studies? 11
- 12 A. Okay. I didn't -- okay. Let's not overstate
- how much I read the journal. I didn't really review it, 13
- so I don't feel comfortable, on record, talking about 14
- Nick Stoia's work from four years ago. 15
 - Q. Yeah, that's fine.
- 17 Yeah. A.
 - Nothing alarmed you that this piece by Nick
- 19 Stoia was somehow egregiously poor quality or something
- 20 of that nature, did it?
- MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. 21
- 22 I just don't remember.
- 23 Okay. What else did you read or skim in the Ο.
- journal --24
- 25 The Symposium.

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

36

35

- 1 The Symposium? Did you read all of the Q.
- Symposium? 2
- 3 A. I read some of it. I skimmed some of it.
- Okay. What did you read? Let's start with 4
- 5 that. Do you remember which articles you read in
- particular? 6
- 7 I read an article by my colleague, Tim Jackson. A.
- 8 Ο. Um-hum.
- 9 I remember reading a piece that was anonymous.
- 10 I know I looked at a piece that referenced things about
- Abraham Lincoln. 11
- Q. Um-hum. 12
- 13 A. I don't -- I don't really remember the other
- 14 details.

- 15 Q. Okay. And do you remember anything about the
- articles you skimmed? 16
 - What about them?
- 18 Which ones they were, who the authors were.
- Like I said, I remember that I looked at Tim's. 19
- 20 I remember that there was an anonymous one
- 21 Okay. Q.
- 22 Α. I haven't gone back to it since 2020.
- 23 And I understand.
- 24 Yeah. A.
- 25 I'm just trying to find out what you know. Q.

- **1 A.** Yeah, yeah. No, no, I know.
- **Q.** So did you form an impression in that, you
- 3 know, late summer, early fall of 2020, as to what this
- 4 controversy was about?
- **5** MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **6 A.** Which controversy?
- **7 Q.** Sorry. The controversy over Volume 12 of the
- 8 Journal of Schenkerian Studies.
- **9 A.** Do you mean like before, like when it came out,
- **10** or --
- **Q.** Well, sure. Let's start with July.
- **12** July 2020.
- **13 A.** Okay.
- **Q.** Before the end of July, did you form an
- 15 understanding of what the controversy surrounding
- 16 Volume 12 of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies was
- 17 about?
- **18** A. Yeah. I mean, I knew people were talking about
- 19 it, yeah.
- **Q.** And what were the specific criticisms they
- 21 had of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies by the end of
- **22** July?
- MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **A.** Whose criticisms?
- **Q.** Well, that's what I'm trying to find out.

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

- ${f 1}$ Were there criticisms being leveled at the Journal of
- 2 Schenkerian Studies in July of 2020?
- **A.** I believe so.
- **Q.** And do you remember in any detail what they
- **5** were?
- **6 A.** I remember that there was concern about its
- 7 contents.
- **Q.** And what were the concerns about its contents?
- **9** A. The way that it went after Ewell and the way
- 10 that it dealt with race.
- 11 Q. Um-hum. And when you read the articles that
- 12 you read -- I understood -- I understand you didn't read
- 13 everything carefully, so it's not about that. But in
- 14 what you did read, did you personally form an opinion
- **15** about the way it went after Ewell?
- **16 A.** Yeah.
- **Q.** And what was your impression and understanding,
- 18 as an academic music historian, of the way in which
- 19 Volume 12 of Schenkerian Studies -- or of the Journal of
- 20 Schenkerian Studies went after Ewell?
- **A.** I guess it was more just that he wasn't there.
- **Q.** Can you explain what you mean by that? He
- 23 wasn't there?
- **24 A.** That there wasn't -- he wasn't part of the
- 25 issue.

- Q. The volume part?
- A. Yes.

1

7

- **Q.** And how about the second thing you mentioned,
- 4 dealing with race? Did you form an understanding as an
- 5 academic music historian about how the Volume 12 of the
- 6 Journal of Schenkerian Studies dealt with race?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And what was your understanding of how the
- 9 Journal of Schenkerian Studies in July of 2020 had dealt
- 10 with race?
- MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **12 A.** Sorry. Can you repeat the question?
- **Q.** Sure. What was your understanding at that time
- 14 by the end of -- say, July 2020, of how the Journal of
- 15 Schenkerian Studies had dealt with race?
 - MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **17 A.** Dealt with it? Would you be able to rephrase
- **18** that?

16

- **Q.** Well, sure. I was trying to use the words you
- 20 used when you were explaining what about the contents of
- 21 Volume 12 seemed to be creating controversy in July of
- 22 2020. And I believe you said the way it went after
- 23 Ewell was one thing, and the way it dealt with race was
- 24 another.
- **A.** Well, those were -- so that was my response

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

40

39

- 1 to what -- like what people were talking about, about the
- 2 issue.

- **Q.** Okay, sure. And then I still want to know what
- 4 your understanding of those issues was from your own
- **5** personal engagement with Volume 12 of the Journal of
- **6** Schenkerian Studies, and so that's why I'm asking.
- **7** Did you form an understanding of how Volume 12
- 8 dealt with race, in your words?
- **9 A.** Well, it wasn't -- it didn't seem like it was
- 10 situated in the scholarly literature about race.
- **Q.** All of Volume 12 or specific articles?
- **12 A.** Just some of them.
- **Q.** Uh-huh. And was the article you reviewed by
- 14 Timothy Jackson one of those?
- **15 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** Okay. So was there anything else that you
- 17 remember? I want to come back to that in a second. But
- 18 is there anything else, besides the way the volume went
- 19 after Ewell and the way the volume dealt with race, that
- 20 you remember being controversial in that July of 2020
- 21 time frame?
- **A.** Well, also, the having the anonymity of
- 23 authors.
- **Q.** How many authors were published anonymously?
- **25 A.** I think one.

1

5

6

9

15

21

Q. Um-hum. And what were -- what, to your
 knowledge, were people saying in the field about

3 publishing an anonymous author?

4 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. I might be conflating what I was thinking andwhat other people were thinking, because I don't remember

specifically what people said. I think it was just...

Q. That's fine.

9 A. Yeah.

10 Q. Yeah. So what did you think about an anonymous

11 piece being published in the Journal of Schenkerian

12 Studies?

7

13 A. I was surprised

Q. And why were you surprised?

15 A. You don't see that in peer-reviewed journals.

Q. So were you aware that the Society for Music

17 Theory circulated, or at least people within the Society

18 for Music Theory circulated a petition condemning

19 Volume 12 of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies?

20 A. I was aware of that, yes.

Q. And it was signed by the vast majority of

22 members of the society?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

24 A. I don't know how many people signed it.

Q. Do you remember it being a lot?

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

42

1 A. Like compared to what? Our societies are

2 really small.

3

7

17

Q. I think Philip Ewell testified that it was

4 something like 900 people signed this.

5 A. I have no idea about the number.

Q. Well, I'm representing that to you, that it was

somewhere in the neighborhood of 900.

8 A. Well, you can represent anything, but I don't

9 remember that.

10 Q. I'm not saying that you do, and I'm not saying

11 it's from your personal experience. But what I am going

to ask you is, does that sound like a lot of scholars?

13 A. I don't know. It depends if you're in a field

14 of 50,000 or two.

Q. Well, you just said that your fields are very

16 small, right?

A. Well, they feel that way.

Q. Is music theory a pretty small field in your

19 experience?

20 A. I mean, you know what? I'm going to step

21 back from what I said because I genuinely do not know --

Q. Okay.

A. -- how many people are in the Society for Music

24 Theory. I don't know how many people are music theorists

25 who identify that way. I really just don't know.

Q. Okay. No, that's fine.

2 Was there any similar initiative in any kind

3 of professional society for music history that you had

4 more personal experience with?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. There was nothing circulated about -- I'm

7 trying -- there was nothing like a petition or anything

8 in the American Musicological Society, no.

Q. Okay. But it surprised you that there was

10 anonymous -- that some author had sought to publish

11 anonymously. You said that, right?

12 A. Yes

13 Q. And you did know that the Society for Music

14 Theory was condemning the volume, correct?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

16 A. Yeah.

17 Q. So in that light, were you truly surprised that

18 someone would not want to be known to have published a

19 controversial piece in the Journal of Schenkerian

20 Studies?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

22 A. Well, people didn't sign a petition before they

23 did that, so you're kind of reversing the order of what

24 happened. You are not understanding, so it was --

Q. Okay. Please help me.

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

44

43

1 A. Yeah. No, it was published anonymously, and

2 then the petition came out. So it doesn't -- it was

3 just the order of events is not making sense to me here.

Q. Oh, I understand. But you -- so you think that

5 someone who suspected that this would be controversial

6 and then turned out to be correct about that --

7 A. I have no idea what they suspected.

Q. -- that's somehow surprising?

9 A. I don't even know who they are. I don't know

10 what it --

Q. Of course, they're anonymous. It's just

surprising that an anonymous author suspecting something

13 is going to be controversial didn't want to be known,

14 that that surprises you.

15 A. No. I just -- you are really speculating.

16 Like --

12

Q. I'm speculating?

18 A. -- the deposition. We're talking -- I don't

19 know what the anonymous author was concerned about. I

20 don't know who they are.

Q. But you found it strange. Did you find it

22 unacceptable?

A. Yes.

Q. Why?

25 A. It's unusual in a peer-reviewed journal to have

4		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	mh.l	ications
1	anon	/IIIOUS	DUDI	ications.

- **Q.** What would -- what do you think would happen if
- **3** that person was known?
- 4 A. I have no idea.
- **Q.** You have absolutely no idea?
- 6 A. No, I don't.
- **7 Q.** Well, you know what happened to Timothy
- 8 Jackson, right?
- 9 A. No, I don't.
- **Q.** You don't? You were in his department.
- **11 A.** What do you mean?
- **Q.** Did you -- you don't have any idea what
- 13 happened to Timothy Jackson?
- **14 A.** When? About what?
- **Q.** Like for his publication in Volume 12 of the
- 16 Journal of Schenkerian Studies.
- 17 A. Tim doesn't talk to me. Please, walk me
- 18 through it. Ask me.
- **19 Q.** Sure.
- 20 A. What do you mean?
- **Q.** You have no knowledge of what happened to
- 22 Timothy Jackson because of his publication in Volume 12
- 23 of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies. Is that your
- 24 testimony today?
- **25 A.** What do you mean, what happened to him? I

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

46

9/27/24

- 1 don't know what happened to him.
- **Q.** Do you have -- do you have any conception
- 3 of the reaction focused on Timothy Jackson after he
- 4 published in Volume 12 of the Journal of Schenkerian
- 5 Studies?
- **6** MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- 7 A. Like the reaction? I just need you to break
- 8 this down, because I don't know general things about Tim.
- **9 Q.** Sure. I'm not asking for general things
- 10 about Tim. I'm asking for specific things about Tim.
- **11 A.** No, you're not. You're asking me what happened
- **12** to him.
- **Q.** How did -- how did people -- how did people in
- 14 the Society for Music Theory react to Timothy Jackson's
- 15 publication?
- **16 A.** They sent out a petition.
- **Q.** Did the petition praise him?
- 18 A. I didn't read it.
- 19 Q. You don't have any knowledge whether the
- 20 petition praised him or condemned him?
- **21 A.** You told me that it condemned the journal
- 22 issue.
- **Q.** Did graduate students in the Music History,
- 24 Theory, and Ethnomusicology division write anything about
- 25 Timothy Jackson's publication in Volume 12 of the Journal

- 1 of Schenkerian Studies?
- **A.** They put out a statement in response to the
- 3 journal.

6

7

- 4 Q. Is that the statement that you reviewed before
- 5 you sat for this deposition today?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you have any understanding of whether they
- 8 were praising or condemning Timothy Jackson?
- **9** A. Can I look at it? Just because I want to
- 10 talk about the specific parts you're referring to.
- **Q.** You've already testified that you reviewed it.
- 12 Is your testimony today that despite preparing for this
- 13 deposition and reviewing that document, you cannot
- 14 testify right now about whether it is positive or
- 21 cost., right non about motion it is positive o
- **15** negatively disposed towards Timothy Jackson?
- MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **17 A.** I believe I have a right to look at the
- 18 document you're asking me about.
- **19 Q.** No, you must answer the question as asked.
- 20 Now, if you don't know, that's a perfectly acceptable
- 21 answer.
- **A.** I mean, so can you repeat your question?
- **Q.** Sure. As you sit here, having testified that
- you reviewed the graduate students' statement, do you
- have any understanding of whether it was negative or

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

48

- positively disposed towards Timothy Jackson for his
- 2 publication in Volume 12 of the Journal of Schenkerian
- 3 Studies?
- **4** MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **5** A. Negligently or positively disposed. Like do
- 6 you mean did it condemn the journal issue?
- **Q.** Let's -- let's start with that. In your words,
- 8 did the graduate student statement condemn the journal?
- 9 A. Yes, it expressed concerns about the journal10 issue.
 - **Q.** Just concerns, or did it condemn the journal?
- MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.A. I mean, it raised -- it outlined concerns about
- 14 the journal issue.
- **Q.** Did it call for Timothy Jackson personally to
- 16 be disciplined?

- 17 A. I really want to look. I want you to show me
- 18 that. I don't want to say something that's wrong, you
- **19** know. You put me under a lot of pressure. And now, I'm
- 20 like feeling anxious. So can I look at the document?
- **Q.** Well, we'll get to the document, but I want you to answer the question as asked.
- 23 Is it your testimony right now that you are
- 24 refusing to answer that question, that despite reviewing
- the document --

13 Sure. Let's start with that. 14 15 Α. The way it went after Dr. Ewell.

Um-hum. Q. 16

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

The way that it dealt with race, yeah. 17 Α.

18 Q. Anything else?

19 Α. They were the same.

20 Anything else, as you sit here today, that

you remember it going after Timothy Jackson or the 21

journal for? The student statement? 22

23 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

Do I remember anything going after Timothy 24

Jackson. No, I just -- I'm sorry. I remember that they 25

> Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

50

mentioned him in relation to the journal. 1

2 Um-hum.

3 Α. And that they wanted the journal like, yeah.

Wanted the journal like what? 4 Q.

5 Investigated.

All right. And do you remember the faculty 6

7 submitting a statement about Timothy Jackson and the

8 journal?

9 Α. Not about Timothy Jackson, no.

10 Q. Okay. About the journal?

Yes, about the journal. 11 Α.

And who drafted that statement? 12 Ο.

13 Α. It was a group effort.

Um-hum. Were you part of that group? 14 Q.

15 A. I was

16 What was your role in formulating the faculty

17 statement that you just testified about?

18 We all went back and forth on drafts. So I had

worked on one, but I guess typically, most of that 19

20 is not there. And so --

21 Q. Sure.

22 But yeah, we went back and forth on like

23 several drafts, like kind of a collaborative, yes.

Q. Did you have different drafts saved on your 24

25 work computer? 15 something by him wasn't published with these other

papers in the Symposium? 16

17 Α. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Was it your understanding that Ewell was

19 never invited to participate in the Journal?

20 I had no -- I have no idea.

Okay. Ο.

22 THE WITNESS: Do you mind if we take a

23 quick break?

21

1

2

MR. ALLEN: Of course.

25 THE WITNESS: Just a restroom break.

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

9/27/24

MR. ALLEN: Of course. I think I should

52

have said, but maybe I didn't. But you can ask for a

4 break at any time.

Thank you.

5 THE WITNESS: Okay.

6 MR. ALLEN: You just have to answer

7 whatever question is in front of you. So we can go --

8 we can go off the record.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 9

10 2:33 p.m.

(Recess taken) 11

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:44. 12

13 We're on the record.

Q. Thank you. Just a couple of brief questions, 14

and then we'll move on from that issue of Ewell not being

16 there.

15

18

24

25

17 Were you aware there was a call for papers

that went out to the entire Society for Music Theory

19 soliciting papers for Volume 12 of the Journal of

20 Schenkerian Studies?

21 A. No.

Q. So you don't have any reason to believe that 22

23 a call for papers was not received by Philip Ewell?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. I have no idea of Philip Ewell's email.

- 1 Q. Okay. Did you read any of the local press
- 2 about the controversy surrounding the Volume 12 of the
- 3 Journal of Schenkerian Studies in the Denton Record
- 4 Chronicle?
- **A.** No.
- 6 MR. ALLEN: Okay. I'm getting an exhibit
- 7 ready, and I want to mark as Exhibit 2 for the record,
- 8 which I'm going to also drop in the chat for your
- 9 attorney.
- 10 (Deposition Exhibit Number 2 marked.)
- 11 Q. This is an email from Dani Oort, it appears, to
- 12 Peter Kohanski. And you are on the cc line. Do you see
- 13 that?
- **14 A.** Yes
- **15 Q.** It says -- and it starts off, "Dear Rebecca,
- 16 thank you for your supportive words."
- A. Yep.
- **Q.** And just so -- this is a two-paged document.
- 19 It has the UNT Bates number 0355. And you'll see the
- 20 last page has no content to speak of except for this --
- 21 what appears to be your -- forwarded to your email; is
- 22 that right?

- **A.** Yeah. It looks like my signature line.
- **Q.** Yeah. So if I hold it here, it cuts off some
- 25 of your signature block, but this is the entire body of
 - Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

the email thread that I have in Exhibit Number 2. Do

- 2 you want a chance to look at that?
- **A.** I do, yeah, because I don't --
- 4 Q. Go ahead.
- 5 A. Okay.
- **Q.** So it's the nature of emails that they always
- 7 start at the bottom and go towards the top, so I'm going
- 8 to start with the last email in the thread. It's an
- 9 email from you on July 27th, 2020, to Dani and Peter.
- 10 It doesn't have who those individuals are. Do you know
- 11 who Dani and Peter are?
- **12 A.** I do.
- **Q.** Can you state for the record who they are?
- 14 A. The Dani was a graduate student in the
- 15 department and Peter continues to be.
- **Q.** And is this Peter Kohanski whose email is in
- 17 the -- next in the thread?
- **18 A.** It looks like it.
- **Q.** And Dani Van Oort is the other individual?
- 20 A. Yes.
- **Q.** Okay. So you write to Dani and Peter, "As
- 22 GAMuT's advisor, I want to thank you for your leadership
- **23** and for the MHTE graduate students' professionalism
- 24 in responding to the recent issue of the Journal of
- 25 Schenkerian Studies. Indeed, as we learned in the

- 1 diversity and inclusion training last fall, the
- 2 department has much work ahead of it, work in which
- 3 I hope to take an active role."
- Did I read that accurately?
- A. Yes.
- **Q.** What is -- just for the record, what is the
- 7 acronym GAMuT, G-A-M-u-T?
- 8 A. It's the Graduate Association of Musicologists
- 9 and Theorists.
- 10 Q. So is that a loose -- loosely -- can I loosely
- 11 describe that as a UNT organization of graduate students
- 12 in the College of Music?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** Okay. What leadership were you thanking them
- **15** for?

13

21

54

- 16 A. I don't remember. I mean, obviously, I'm
- 17 referring to the journal. I do not remember.
- **Q.** Do you remember what you were talking about
- 19 when they were responding to the recent issue of the
- 20 Journal of Schenkerian Studies here?
 - A. I don't.
- **Q.** And what did you learn in the diversity and
- 23 inclusion training of that last fall that you hoped to
- 24 take an active role in?
- **25 A.** That the department had much work ahead of it.

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

56

- 1 Q. Like what? What kind of work?
- 2 A. You know, the grad students had a lot of
- 3 complaints.
- 4 Q. Like what?
- **A.** Well, I'm embarrassed to say, I don't remember
 - the details of their complaints. They were unhappy
- 7 with -- I don't remember. I just know that they had
- 8 complaints, for sure.
- **9 Q.** And they were complaints about diversity and
- 10 inclusion?
- 11 A. Yeah. I mean, I guess.
- **Q.** What is it -- what does that mean? What is
- 13 diversity at the University of North Texas in 2020?
- **14 A.** Well, I don't know about UNT's official
- 15 stance at that time.
- **Q.** Well, this was department -- your department
- 17 having much work ahead of it, right?
- **18** A. That's what that sentence says.
- 19 Q. In its diversity and inclusion training last
- 20 fall at the University of North Texas?
 - MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- 22 A. UNT didn't have -- well, you know, I didn't
- 23 participate in like university-level training. What is
- 24 this? Sorry. What did you want me to answer?
 - Q. Well, I'm trying to figure out what you were

25

4

6

7

21

9

12

57

saying in July of 2020, right?

You say, "Indeed, as we learned in the

3 diversity and inclusion training last fall."

4 And I'm wondering, what does diversity mean in

5 this diversity and inclusion training last fall at the

6 University of North Texas?

7 A. Oh. It was just the title of the training that

8 the department had hosted.

9 Q. Um-hum. And what was it about?

A. Diversity and inclusion.

Q. What does that mean, diversity and inclusion,

12 in the training?

A. We talked about people feeling not included

14 at work.

10

15 Q. Um-hum.

A. People feeling not represented.

17 Q. Um-hum.

18 A. Yeah, like it was -- it was kind of like a

19 typical like diversity and inclusion training.

20 Q. All right. What does -- what does diversity

21 typically mean then in that training that you experienced

22 back in the fall of what I assume was 2019, right?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

24 A. So you want to know what diversity meant in --

25 like in the training that we had?

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

9/2//24

58

Q. Yes.

A. Like a variety of people.

Q. A variety of any people?

4 A. I guess. I mean, diversity. Different kinds,

5 you know, purple, green, little.

Q. Um-hum. And so if we found that diversity

7 and inclusion training, it would be about purple and

8 little people? Is that what your testimony is?

9 A. No, no. But I'm telling you that -- you are

10 asking me in the abstract what the word diversity means.

11 Is there something more specific you want me to explain

12 about the word?

Q. Well, you're getting at the heart of the

14 question. To me, diversity and inclusion aren't very

15 specific. So I'm asking you what -- if it was specific

16 enough to have training on it, what was being trained?

17 What were you trained to do?

18 A. Making people feel welcome in a workplace

19 environment.

Q. Um-hum. Does writing, you know, statements

21 condemning Timothy Jackson and the Journal of Schenkerian

22 Studies, do you think that was calculated to make him

23 feel included?

24 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. I don't -- I don't know what you mean.

Q. You really don't? That's your testimony?

2 A. Yeah, I really don't.

Q. Okay.

A. I'm sorry.

Q. All right.

A. It's Friday afternoon.

Q. You don't remember -- you don't remember what

8 the graduate students' response was at this time that

9 you're writing and thanking them for?

10 A. No, I don't -- I do not remember what I meant

11 by responding in that -- like with the date and stuff.

12 I just don't. I don't.

Q. And as an advisor to GAMuT, did you work with

14 them in any capacity to develop a response?

15 A. No, I did not. No, I did not. Not that I

16 remember, no.

Q. Okay. And then again, this diversity and

18 inclusion training was so memorable, that you really

19 can't remember anything about it, right?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. Well, I told you. I didn't say I don't

22 remember anything. I'm just saying like it was just

23 regular diversity and inclusion training. So we like, I

4 don't know, wrote on Post-It notes on the wall about --

25 like I wrote about a time when someone asked me in the

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

60

59

elevator if I was a student, and that made me feel not

2 like a professor, you know, or like things like that.

3 Like I mean, it was like a solid five years ago.

Q. Um-hum.

5 A. So --

Q. Did they teach you about anything called

7 microaggressions?

8 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. Actually, I'm trying to think. I don't

10 remember if it was part of that training --

11 Q. Um-hum.

A. -- day

Q. So to tie this up, this responding, do you

14 remember at least this much? That this responding to the

15 recent issue of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies, that

16 involved these same issues that we discussed at the

17 beginning of your deposition: The Symposium, the way

18 it went after Ewell, the way it dealt with race, the

19 anonymous author, the idea that Ewell was not there,

20 those things were what they were responding to as best

21 as you can remember today?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. I don't remember if it was all of those things

24 that they responded to. So that -- but I -- obviously,

25 I said the issue, so...

22

Okay. Do you remember any unique issues that 1 2 the students were concerned with which weren't part of 3 other people's concerns that you were hearing about either through friends, colleagues, through social media, 4 5 to the extent it was circulated to you, email, and so forth? 6 7 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. 8 Like unique, like they were the only ones? 9 Q. 10 Like concerns that were particular to them? A. Yes 11 Q. 12 Α. No. I mean, I -- from what I remember, it 13 was guite similar to the other conversations. Okay. Do you remember in those conversations 14 15 my client, Timothy Jackson, being accused of being a racist? 16 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. 17 18 I don't remember that specifically. Like 19 someone -- like which conversations? Like who are you 20 asking said that Tim was a racist? Well, you know, because you had the 21 conversations apparently. You have testified to being 22 23 in communication with the graduate students, as we've seen in Exhibit 2, as their advisor through GAMuT. 24 You've testified to getting messages in text form from 25 Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24 62 colleagues of yours and other conversations that you 1 were privy to, even if circulated to you secondhand, for 2 3 instance, Twitter posts that might have been circulated to you by a colleague. 4 So my question is, in the stream of those 5 communications about the Journal of Schenkerian Studies 6 7 at the end or -- of July, beginning of August, 2020, do 8 you recall Timothy Jackson being accused of being a 9 racist? 10 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. I would just need you to tell me who you're 11 talking about, what conversation, like what exchange. 12 Again, like we're talking four years, so it would be 13 helpful. 14 15 Sure. Okay. Well, I'm sure it would be. 16 But the problem is I wasn't there and I don't know, so 17 I'm asking you. Do you remember anyone specifically 18 telling you that?

I don't recall specific people calling Tim a

Not the overall journal. I mean, do you mean

Okay. Do you recall anyone specifically

like the whole journal? Do you mean like that issue?

Well, that would be a question for you. If

calling the Journal of Schenkerian Studies racist?

A.

racist.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

63 there was such an allegation, accusation being made, I'd 1 2 be interested to know who said it, what they were talking 3 about. I don't remember specific --4 5 Q. Okay. 6 I do not remember specific people saying that 7 the Journal of Schenkerian Studies was racist. I don't 8 remember specific people. Q. Uh-huh. And again, if you don't know, then that's all -- that's all I want to know. That's fine. 10 Oh, okay. Thank you. 11 12 Now, I believe you testified that you're a 13 historian of music. Are you a historian of American forms of music, as well as European forms of music? 14 15 A. Well, that's a tricky question, but I do -- I'm a historian of French music. 16 17 Uh-huh. Ο. 18 And so sometimes, that French music is in the Caribbean and like the mid-Atlantic region. But I'm a 20 historian of French music. 21 Q. Do you have any general knowledge, as a music 22 historian, of hip-hop, rap, and American jazz? 23 A. I'm not in that scholarly conversation. I mean, I know it exists. 24 25 Oh, I know. I'm not saying you're a Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24 64 1 specialist. 2 Α. Okav. 3 But have you read any -- any literature about the history of rap, hip-hop, or American jazz? 5 A. Maybe like generally, like maybe in some grad courses, stuff like that. 6 7 Q. Sure. 8 Like I read recently Daphne Brooks' Liner Notes for the Revolution. So that's definitely situated in 10 that literature, although it's not -- it's about how Black women were foundational to discourses about rock criticism. So it doesn't get as much into like rap and 12 13 hip-hop. Q. Okay. I have a -- I have a question, just 14 if you know, again. Is it controversial in music history 15 16 that American jazz originated as an African American 17 musical art form? 18 A. I have no idea. I'm not going to comment on that as someone who's not in a jazz conversation. 19 20 Okay. And if I asked you the same about 21 hip-hop or rap, you'd answer the same? MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. 22 23 A. Do you mean if they're foundational to American 24 music? 25 No, no. I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that. Q.

24

25

longer there. **Q.** Okay.

A.

Yeah.

23

24 25 Ο.

Α.

Okay.

Yes. There's a little bit of confusion.

And you're right to point this out.

Right, right. Good to point that out.

2 Now, did you publish this statement as a

3 private citizen?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. 4

5 A. What do you mean?

Did you -- let me ask a different question

7 then.

1

6

14

16

8 Did you publish this statement in your

official capacity as a professor of the University of 9

10 North Texas?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. 11

A. Well, it's not an official statement from 12 13 the university.

Okay. And is it then a statement of you and

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

15 your colleagues speaking as private citizens?

17 We're speaking as colleagues, as colleagues.

18 Um-hum. Well, colleagues implies to me that

19 it's in your professional capacity bound together by your

20 common work. Is that what you mean?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. 21

22 I mean, we wrote the statement together --

23 Q. Okay.

-- because we share a concern. We shared a 24 Α.

25 concern

1

2

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

Um-hum. But it wasn't like UNT's announcement about

3 anything to do with the journal or the College of Music.

Q. Right. And I think you stated before that 4

you weren't making it as a statement on behalf of the 5

University of North Texas, right? 6

7 Right. Α.

8 So my follow-up question, was it your

9 understanding that you were making this statement as

10 a private individual?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. 11

No, that wasn't -- I did not understand 12

this to be like Rebecca Geoffroy's personal views on 13

something. 14

Okay. Did you do anything to make clear within 15

16 the university that you were not publishing this

17 statement as an employee of the State of Texas?

18 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. Did I do anything to make clear that I was 19

20 not publishing this in the -- that I -- sorry. The

double negative tripped me up. 21

Yeah. Let me strike that question. 22

23 A. That I was not publishing this as a public

employee? 24

25 Yes. Let me strike that question. I'll try to

formulate it in a less confusing way. And thank you for 1

2 pointing that out.

3 Do you recall doing anything at the

University of North Texas to make clear that this

5 statement was being made by you and others in such

a way that it was not a statement made by you as an 6

7 employee of the State of Texas, as a public employee,

8 as you just said?

15

21

24

70

9 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

10 A. Did I do anything to make clear that this was

not -- did I do anything to make clear to the University 11

12 of North Texas that this was not a statement made -- I'm

13 sorry. So you are asking if I communicated with like

the General Counsel's Office or like the Dean or --14

Q. Anything of that nature, yes.

So I mean, I didn't talk to the General 16

17 Counsel's Office before I sent -- before we were --

18 when we were working on this.

19 Q. And did you talk to anyone else, like the Dean,

20 the division head, anyone like that?

Oh, I mean, during that week --

22 Q. Um-hum.

23 -- I spoke to the division head and the Dean.

About the nature of this statement, right?

25 Not about the nature of this statement, no. I

> Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

72

9/27/24

71

mean, not about the nature of the statement.

2 (Deposition Exhibit Number 4 marked.)

3 MR. ALLEN: I'm going to introduce into

the record Exhibit 4. This is the e-mail chain with

UNT Bates stamp 0276. This is an email from Catherine 5

Ragland to, among others, the witness, Rebecca

7 Geoffroy-Schwinden.

8 Q. Do you see this email?

I do, yeah. 9

10 Do you remember getting this email?

11 I don't remember, no.

12 Okay.

13 I'd need to -- I'd need to look at the whole

thing because I don't remember it. 14

Q. I understand. And it's a rather long email, so

16 I don't want to -- I don't have questions about all

17

15

18

A. Okay.

But I am going to skip down to later in the 19 Q.

20 thread.

21 On the other hand, if you or your attorney

wants to see any other aspect of the thread, you're 22

23 welcome to, and I'm sure your attorney will have a

24 chance to ask you questions later in our deposition.

I'm going to -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

A. No, okay. Go ahead.

Q. I'm going to skip down to page 7 of 13 here,

3 which is right where we are.

This is Ellen Bakulina writing to you and

5 others. And she seems to be addressing you personally.

6 Do you see that?

1

4

A. Yes.

Q. Do you remember this email from that time?

9 A. I don't remember it.

10 Q. So Ellen Bakulina writes to you, "Do you know

11 if there are any guidelines regarding the 'Open Letter

12 On Anti-Racist Actions' that a group of theorists have

13 posted through SMT announced. I'm trying to understand

14 if any of those who are associated with JSS (I am no

15 longer -- I have resigned from the editorial board) can

16 sign. If one wants to sign, is it better to wait until

17 our faculty letter is published?"

Did I read that correctly?

19 A. Yep

Q. Is she referring to the faculty letter that

21 we just reviewed which was attached to the Ad Hoc Panel

22 Report, the one that I introduced into the record as

23 Exhibit 3?

24 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. So when she says, "If one wants to sign, is

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

74

73

1

2

15

21

24

25

1 it better to wait until our faculty letter is published?"

Q. Yes.

A. Probably.

Q. Okay. You don't have any firm memory if that's

5 the specific faculty letter that was being generated at

6 this time that wound up as the attachment to the Ad Hoc

7 Panel Report?

A. I don't have a specific memory of the email.

9 Q. Okay. And then it looks like Frank

10 Heidlberger, in an email that spans the page -- do you

11 see this?

8

12 A. Um-hum.

Q. And again, I believe you are in here, right

14 here.

15 A. Yep.

Q. He responds, "Dear Ellen, you can sign anything

17 as an individual as long as it does not reflect any

18 official opinion of the institution. This also applies

19 to the soon to be published faculty letter."

20 Did I read that correctly?

21 A. You did.

Q. And then he says, "We, as faculty, cannot

23 represent UNT in this regard. Any official UNT

24 statement needs to be approved by the Provost office."

25 Right?

A. That's what Frank said. Frank wrote that.

Q. Do you have any reason to believe that's wrong,

3 that's incorrect?

A. I have no idea. You'd have to ask Frank.

Q. Okay. But based on your knowledge of the

6 University of North Texas and its policies, and as an

7 employee there, you don't have any reason to believe

8 he's wrong about that, right?

A. I don't know.

Q. Okay. And does this help refresh your memory,

11 that the people who signed that statement were talking

12 about whether they were signing as individuals or as

13 official employees of a state institution, the University

14 of North Texas?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. I mean, it doesn't really refresh my memory.

17 I do see that Ellen and Frank have sent these emails.

18 They did send these emails.

Q. So then you weigh in, in this email right here.

20 This is you, correct?

A. Yep.

Q. And this is the official email that you used as

a professor at the University of North Texas?

A. Yep. That's my work address.

Q. Uh-huh. And this is an email of July 30th,

Dahasaa Caaffray Cahyuladan Dh D

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

76

1 2020, that starts on UNT 280 here, and goes over onto

2 the next page.

And you say, "I apologize I don't have any

4 institutional authority on these issues. I spoke with

5 Warren and John yesterday who said we have a right to

6 speak. The Dean has not responded to my specific,

7 written email request asking whether our letter breach

8 this policy. I have added a sentence that you will see

9 momentarily that unequivocally states that we write as

10 individuals and do not represent the university."

Did I read that correctly?

12 A. Yep.

Q. And you included some policy language here,

14 right?

11

15 A. Yeah.

Q. Referred to as policy language.

17 A. I guess, yeah.

18 O. Who is Warren?

A. Warren Henry is like an Associate Dean in the

20 College of Music.

Q. Associate Dean of what?

A. I'm a little embarrassed, but I don't remember

his exact title. He's an Assistant Dean of like Academic

24 something.

Q. That's okay.

21

	Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24		,	Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24
	77			79
1	A. Yeah, like he's the person we go to with	1	A.	Can you go back to the previous?
2	issues, so we don't bother the Dean. So he's just below	2	Q.	Yep. Here is Exhibit 4.
3	the Dean.	3	A.	It looks the same. It looks similar, yeah.
4	Q. If you would make that a title, I would think	4	Q.	And again, I'm not if there's a typo or
5	it should be entered into national law.	5	something	g, I'm not asking about that. But its origin
6	A. Yeah.	6	is from th	at same policy; is that accurate?
7	Q. But we'll have to go with that.	7	A.	I'm not sure, because the policy number isn't
8	And John, does this refer to John Richmond?	8	in the	I don't know where Gillian and Andrew pulled
9	A. Yeah. I believe so, yeah.	9	the polic	y language.
10	Q. Okay. And do you have any memory of what	10	Q.	Okay.
11	policy of the University of North Texas this comes from?	11	A.	And they didn't the policy number wasn't
12	A. I don't. And so I guess I said Andrew and	12	in that pro	evious email. So like I don't know if it's
13	Gillian provided it, so I don't know if they would	13	from like	two different handbooks or I'm not sure on
14	remember which like handbook or something it was pulled	14	the policy	number.
15	from.	15	Q.	Okay. And do you know what Dean Richmond's
16	Q. Sure, um-hum. But it was definitely, as you	16	response	was to this?
17	sit here today in your memory, as you remember things	17	A.	I don't. I don't even know if he responded.
18	today, an official policy of the University that you	18	Q.	Okay. You remember that there were no
19	were relying on there, right?	19	conseque	nces to you personally for publishing the
20	A. I can't say that I remember that today.	20	faculty sta	atement, right? Is that accurate?
21	Q. Okay.	21	A.	I you're saying that there were not personal
22	A. But I can read the email that's up here and	22	conseque	ences to this statement?
23	that it was from me.	23	Q.	Yes.
24	MR. ALLEN: I'm going to introduce for the	24	A.	I feel like there's a lot of personal
25	record as Exhibit 5 one additional email, which I'm also	25	conseque	ences. I'm talking to you right now.
	Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24		/	Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24
	78			80
1	78 going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned	1	Q.	•
1 2		1 2	Q. A.	80
	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned		-	80 Well, you understand
2	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies.	2	Α.	80 Well, you understand I do understand.
2 3	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep.	2	A. Q.	Well, you understand I do understand. the faculty statement attacked my client
2 3 4	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly?	2 3 4	A. Q. A.	Well, you understand I do understand. the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies
2 3 4 5	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep.	2 3 4 5	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Well, you understand I do understand the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal.
2 3 4 5 6	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on	2 3 4 5 6	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Well, you understand I do understand. the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal around the journal. You are
2 3 4 5 6 7	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes. Q. And you write to Dean Richmond, "Thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q. A. Q. A. mischara	Well, you understand I do understand. the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal. around the journal. You are seterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q. A. mischara	Well, you understand I do understand the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal around the journal. You are acterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson. I am not, ma'am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes. Q. And you write to Dean Richmond, "Thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q. A. mischara	Well, you understand I do understand. the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal. around the journal. You are seterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes. Q. And you write to Dean Richmond, "Thank you for taking the time to speak with me yesterday and for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q. A. mischara Q. A.	Well, you understand I do understand. the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal. around the journal. You are seterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson. I am not, ma'am. Show me Tim Jackson's name. You make the statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes. Q. And you write to Dean Richmond, "Thank you for taking the time to speak with me yesterday and for understanding our need to speak as individual faculty members to our respective disciplines. I want to ask explicitly whether our statement will violate UNT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. mischara Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Well, you understand I do understand the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal around the journal. You are acterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson. I am not, ma'am. Show me Tim Jackson's name. You make the statement Show me his name.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes. Q. And you write to Dean Richmond, "Thank you for taking the time to speak with me yesterday and for understanding our need to speak as individual faculty members to our respective disciplines. I want to ask explicitly whether our statement will violate UNT Policy 06.035."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. mischara Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Well, you understand I do understand. the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal. around the journal. You are seterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson. I am not, ma'am. Show me Tim Jackson's name. You make the statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes. Q. And you write to Dean Richmond, "Thank you for taking the time to speak with me yesterday and for understanding our need to speak as individual faculty members to our respective disciplines. I want to ask explicitly whether our statement will violate UNT Policy 06.035." Did I read that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. mischara Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. upset?	Well, you understand I do understand. the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal. around the journal. You are acterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson. I am not, ma'am. Show me Tim Jackson's name. You make the statement Show me his name. All right. You make the statement are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes. Q. And you write to Dean Richmond, "Thank you for taking the time to speak with me yesterday and for understanding our need to speak as individual faculty members to our respective disciplines. I want to ask explicitly whether our statement will violate UNT Policy 06.035." Did I read that right? A. Yep.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. mischara Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. A.	Well, you understand I do understand the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal around the journal. You are acterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson. I am not, ma'am. Show me Tim Jackson's name. You make the statement Show me his name. All right. You make the statement are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes. Q. And you write to Dean Richmond, "Thank you for taking the time to speak with me yesterday and for understanding our need to speak as individual faculty members to our respective disciplines. I want to ask explicitly whether our statement will violate UNT Policy 06.035." Did I read that right? A. Yep. Q. And what is UNT Policy 06.035?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. mischara Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. personal	Well, you understand I do understand. the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal. around the journal. You are acterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson. I am not, ma'am. Show me Tim Jackson's name. You make the statement Show me his name. All right. You make the statement are you You asked me something personal, so I responded by. I was offended.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes. Q. And you write to Dean Richmond, "Thank you for taking the time to speak with me yesterday and for understanding our need to speak as individual faculty members to our respective disciplines. I want to ask explicitly whether our statement will violate UNT Policy 06.035." Did I read that right? A. Yep. Q. And what is UNT Policy 06.035? A. I don't remember. I don't know the title of it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. mischara Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. personal	Well, you understand I do understand the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal around the journal. You are acterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson. I am not, ma'am. Show me Tim Jackson's name. You make the statement Show me his name. All right. You make the statement are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes. Q. And you write to Dean Richmond, "Thank you for taking the time to speak with me yesterday and for understanding our need to speak as individual faculty members to our respective disciplines. I want to ask explicitly whether our statement will violate UNT Policy 06.035." Did I read that right? A. Yep. Q. And what is UNT Policy 06.035? A. I don't remember. I don't know the title of it off the top of my head.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. mischara Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. upset? A. personal	Well, you understand I do understand the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal around the journal. You are acterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson. I am not, ma'am. Show me Tim Jackson's name. You make the statement Show me his name. All right. You make the statement are you You asked me something personal, so I responded by. I was offended. Do you think the attacks on my client were very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going to drop in the chat. Exhibit 5 is captioned Faculty Statement on Journal of Schenkerian Studies. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked.) Q. Did I read that correctly? A. Yep. Q. And this is sent from you to Dean Richmond on July 30th, 2020? A. Yes. Q. And you write to Dean Richmond, "Thank you for taking the time to speak with me yesterday and for understanding our need to speak as individual faculty members to our respective disciplines. I want to ask explicitly whether our statement will violate UNT Policy 06.035." Did I read that right? A. Yep. Q. And what is UNT Policy 06.035? A. I don't remember. I don't know the title of it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. mischara Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. personal	Well, you understand I do understand. the faculty statement attacked my client No, it didn't. It questioned the policies that resulted in the closure of the journal. around the journal. You are acterizing that statement. No, I am not. It doesn't mention Tim Jackson. I am not, ma'am. Show me Tim Jackson's name. You make the statement Show me his name. All right. You make the statement are you You asked me something personal, so I responded by. I was offended.

Page 77 to 80 of 127

22

23

24

personally attacked?

speak to you?

A. He won't -- he doesn't speak to me.Q. After you signed this statement, he doesn't

Exhibit 4?

Q. Oh, absolutely.

here.

A. Could you toggle back? Let me just read this

22

23

24

- **A.** He's never spoken to me since then.
- **Q.** Does that surprise you?
- **A.** I don't know.
- **Q.** You signed a statement incorporating the
- 5 students' statement which called for him to be fired,
- 6 right?
- **7 A.** It did not incorporate the students' statement
- 8 into our statement.
- **Q.** Did Timothy Jackson ever call for you to be
- 10 fired, Dr. Geoffroy-Schwinden?
- **11 A.** No.
- **Q.** Did he ever call for you to be investigated?
- **A.** Yes. I mean, right here, right now, yes. I am
- 14 being investigated by you with Tim right there.
- **Q.** Just to be clear, you're being sued for
- 16 defamation. Is that clear with you?
- **17 A.** Yes. Is that what?
- **Q.** For making false statements about my client.
- 19 That's what you're being sued for.
- 20 A. I realize that that's what I'm being sued for,
- 21 but it didn't happen.
- **Q.** What didn't happen?
- 23 A. I did not make false statements about your
- 24 client.
- **Q.** Were there consequences for you at the

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

82

9/27/24

- 1 University of North Texas from the administration for
- 2 signing the faculty statement?
- 3 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- 4 A. Like what kind of consequence?
- **Q.** Were you placed under investigation, like my
- 6 client was?
- **7** MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **8 A.** I wasn't investigated for anything --
- **9 Q.** Thank you.
- **10 A.** -- that I'm aware of.
- **Q.** Good point.
- MR. ALLEN: All right. I want to
- 13 introduce -- Madam Court Reporter, am I up to Exhibit 6?
- 14 THE REPORTER: That's right.
- **15** (Deposition Exhibit Number 6 marked.)
- **16** MR. ALLEN: I'm going to introduce as
- 17 Exhibit 6 into the record and also -- sorry about that --
- drop into the chat an email of July 29th, 2020, captioned
- 19 Journal of Schenkerian Studies, Geoffroy-Schwinden to
- 20 Dean John Richmond.
- **Q.** Did I get the caption of the first email right?
- **A.** Sorry. Re: Journal of Schenkerian Studies,
- **23** yes.
- Q. Um-hum. Here's the -- so just so you know,there's nothing on the second page, just like that other

- 1 email we looked at. This is the entirety of the message.
- 2 All right. I'm just going to ask you to look at it.
- 3 It's relatively brief. Let me know when you've had a
- 4 chance to look at it.
- 5 A. Okay.
 - Q. So you're arranging a meeting with Dean
- 7 Richmond on July 29th of 2020, right?
- **8 A.** A phone call, it looks like, maybe.
 - Q. And did that -- did that phone meeting take
- **10** place?

6

9

12

13

18

- **11 A.** I did talk to him on the phone.
 - Q. What did you guys talk about?
 - MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **14 A.** I asked -- I wanted to talk to him, I think,
- 15 about the statement that we were working on.
- **Q.** Uh-huh. And what did you tell him about the
- 17 statement you were working on?
 - MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- 19 A. I don't remember -- I don't remember what
- 20 I told him. I don't remember telling him anything
- 21 specific about it.
- **Q.** Um-hum.
- 23 A. To be honest, I remember one -- I don't
 - remember what I said to him. I guess I was calling
- 25 him because I just -- I don't know. I was trying to --

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

84

9/27/24

9/27/24

- **Q.** Do you remember -- sorry, go ahead.
- 2 A. No, I just -- I don't -- I don't remember
- 3 what I said to him.
- **Q.** Do you remember what he said to you?
- **5** MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **A.** I remember -- I think I remember one thing he
- 7 said.
- **Q.** What did -- what did Dean John Richmond say
- 9 to you on July 29th in this meeting?
- MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **11 A.** I remember him saying, "Don't proscribe."
- **Q.** Don't proscribe what? What did he mean by
- **13** that?
- **14 A.** I don't know, because that's what he said.
- 15 And you know how deans talk. They usually say some
- 16 things, so that you don't know what they're saying, or
- 17 maybe you don't know.
- **Q.** Maybe that could be a title of a dean, as
- **19** well.
- **20 A.** Yeah.
- **Q.** Okay. So as you sit here today, you have no
- 22 specific memory of what John Richmond said to you other
- than he said, "Don't proscribe"?
- A. That's my only memory, like specific memoryfrom that conversation.

	Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24		Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24
	85		87
1	(Zoom audio distortion)	1	Q. Is there something unclear about my question?
2	Q. Is that right? I'm sorry. Did you hear my	2	MR. ALLEN: I don't know if I don't
3	question?	3	know if I can hear her. Can other people hear the
4	A. Oh, sorry. No.	4	witness?
5	Q. Yeah, I think the I think the internet	5	MS. QUIMBY: I think she's just thinking.
6	cut us out there.	6	MR. ALLEN: Oh, okay. I don't know what's
7	I said you remember him saying, "Don't	7	going on. Should we just take a break, Mary?
8	proscribe." But as you sit here today, you don't	8	MS. QUIMBY: Can you okay. Yeah, I
9	remember what he specifically meant by that. Is that	9	think we may just need to take a break. I'm sorry.
10	your testimony?	10	MR. ALLEN: It seems like another
11	A. I'm not I'm not sure exactly what he	11	technical difficulty. So could we go off the record?
12	meant by that.	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3:32.
13	Q. Do you remember being not sure at the time in	13	(Recess taken)
14	July when he said that?	14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record the 3:33.
15	A. Yeah. I mean, like I said, I took it like	15	MR. ALLEN: Sorry. Madam Court
16	a kind of typical dean comment, where I'm like that	16	Reporter, can you read the last question to the
17	probably means like a lot of things.	17	witness?
18	Q. Okay.	18	Q. And you may have answered, but we couldn't hear
19	THE WITNESS: Do you think we could take	19	your answer.
20	another brief break? Because it's been another hour	20	A. That's okay.
21	about.	21	Q. BY THE REPORTER:
22	MR. ALLEN: Oh, sure. I was I was just	22	QUESTION: So my question for you is,
23	going to go I'll tell you what. Can I introduce one	23	is this the finalized Individual MHTE Faculty
24	more exhibit? And then I think this will bring this	24	Response addressing the Journal of Schenkerian
25	chapter to a close.	25	Studies that was sent to your division head,
	Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24		Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24
_	THE WITNESS. Olevi		88
1 2	THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. ALLEN: And I don't think it will take	1 2	Benjamin Brand, on this day? MS. QUIMBY: I renew my objection.
3	long. Obviously, Professor Geoffroy-Schwinden, if it	3	A. And I said I didn't know if it was I don't
4	gets too long in the tooth, I'll just call a break.	4	know if it's the finalized version.
5	Okay?	5	Q. You don't remember the document name you gave
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.	6	to the final version?
7	MR. ALLEN: But I think we can get through	7	MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
8	this real quickly.	8	A. I don't remember the document name.
9	I'm going to mark for the record Exhibit 7,	9	Q. Okay.
10	which is very short.	10	A. Like the file name. I don't remember.
11	(Deposition Exhibit Number 7 marked.)	11	Q. Yes. If there was a file in your papers named
12	Q. It's an MHTE individual faculty statement.	12	Individual MHTE Faculty Response that was the last in
13	Geoffroy-Schwinden to Benjamin Brand on July 30th, 2020.	13	time of four drafts, would that be the final faculty
14	Did I read that correctly?	14	statement?
15	A. Yes, you did.	15	MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
16	Q. And it has the UNT Bates stamp number UNT 417.	16	A. I don't know. I don't remember how many
17	And it has an attachment, which is not part of this	17	versions I had. I don't remember how many versions, how
18	exhibit, Individual MHTE Faculty Response.	18	many I had, how many like other people had, how many
19	Did I read that correctly?	19	Steve Friedson had. I'm not I just don't know based
20	A. Yes.	20	on this email.
21	Q. So my question for you is, is this the	21	Q. So I'm just trying to authenticate when this
22	finalized Individual MHTE Faculty Response addressing	22	statement was sent to your department or division head,
23	the Journal of Schenkerian Studies that was sent to your	23	I suppose. And I'm talking about in the form that we've
24	division head, Benjamin Brand, on this day?	24	discussed embedded in Exhibit 3, which was the Ad Hoc
25	MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.	25	Panel Report. So do you remember sending this to your

7

15

18

21

90

89

- department chair, this, meaning the statement of UNT 1
- faculty that is embedded in the Exhibit 3, November 25th, 2
- 3 2020 Ad Hoc Panel Report. Do you remember sending that
 - to your division head at any point?
- 5 I don't remember it. I don't remember it in
- particular. 6
- 7 Do you have any reason to believe that this was
- not at least some version of the faculty statement that 8
- you'd been working on with your colleagues? 9
- 10 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- A. It's titled Individual MHTE Faculty 11
- 12 Response.pdf.
- 13 Q. So that would have been at least some version
- of the final that ended up in the Ad Hoc Panel Report? 14
- 15 I'm not sure because I don't know anything --
- well, I mean, you showed me the Ad Hoc Panel Report, but 16
- I don't if this version -- like I don't know what this 17
- 18 attachment is, because it's not open.
- 19 That's kind of why I'm asking.
- 20 Α. And then I don't know if it's the same as the
- ad hoc. 21

1

- 22 Okay. And that's why -- that's why I'm asking
- 23 you, because I don't know either. I'm trying to find it.
- 24 A. Okay. That makes two of us.
- MR. ALLEN: Yeah, that's fine. I think 25

it's time for a break. Went a little longer than I

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

- thought because of the technical interlude. And how long 2
- 3 would you like, Mary and Professor Geoffroy-Schwinden?
- THE WITNESS: Could I have, like, 15 4
- minutes? Would that be okay? 5
- MR. ALLEN: Yeah. Sure. Absolutely. 6
- 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. Great.
- 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3:36.
- 9 (Recess taken)
- 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:45.
- We're back on the record. 11
- (Deposition Exhibit Number 8 marked.) 12
- MR. ALLEN: I'm going to mark for the 13
- record Exhibit 8. 14
- 15 Q. I'm going to represent to you, Professor
- 16 Geoffroy-Schwinden, that these are going to be four
- 17 drafts. You'll see that they begin with Bates number
- 18 UNT 0427, and they're numbered sequentially 0428, 0429,
- and 0430, in that order. 19
- 20 Now, the file names, as they were disclosed
- 21 to us, were draft 1, 2, 3, and I believe 4, something of
- that nature, in series. So this explains perhaps the 22
- 23 nature of my questions about the email that we examined
- as Exhibit 7. I'm trying to identify what was 24
- specifically sent to Benjamin Brand, because these 25

- documents weren't produced in series with that email. 1
- 2 Does that make sense?
 - A. Yeah, um-hum.
 - And it will also allow us to explore how this
- 5 draft evolved in -- you know, as it was being formulated
- by you and your colleagues. 6
 - A. Okay.
- 8 Q. So let me ask if you -- I'm just going to
- look at the first page of Exhibit 8 for the time being,
- 10 because we're going to go through each of them. Do you
- 11 recognize this?
- 12 THE WITNESS: I don't have it on my
- 13 screen.
- MR. ALLEN: I'm sorry. 14
 - THE WITNESS: That's okay.
- MR. ALLEN: I took them down, and thank 16
- you for pointing that out. 17
 - THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 19 Okay. So can you -- would you just point
- 20 out with --
 - Q. Sure. This is Exhibit 8 for the record,
- 22 which I've introduced. It's four drafts of a statement
- 23 that was associated with your file in discovery. These
 - documents are produced with Bates stamps. These are
- page numbers that attorneys assign to all of the
 - Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

92

91

- 1 documents in a series that they produce. So this was
- 2 produced as UNT 427 through UNT 431.
- 3 A. Okav.
- Q. And so, like I said, these were produced as
- 5 draft 1, draft 2, draft 3, and draft 4. And it may be
- that the last one would have the title of the document
- that it has in this email, but I want to be able to
- 8 confirm that since you seem to be the one that was
- q sending these and they were produced in your file.
- 10 A. Not all of them, though. So like I don't
- know -- yeah, I don't know about the file names. But 11
- okay. Yeah, let's go through it together. 12
- 13 Q. Well, that's fine. And I don't know either.
- That's why I want to ask you questions about it. 14
 - A. Okay.
- 16 Q. All right. So do you recognize this first
- 17 statement in the series?
 - A. To be honest, I don't recog -- I see it. I
- don't like recognize it. But you know, you're showing 19
- 20 it to me, and it was produced in discovery, and I --
- 21 you know, I get that.
- Q. Sure. And so it says the "Statement by the 22
- 23 below-signed faculty."
 - Right?
- 25 A. It says that, yeah.

24

15

Do you remember seeing this document, which I'm

going to go ahead and mark as Exhibit 9 for the record?

22

23

24

25

the document.

Α.

Okav.

And the name associated with these bubbles is

22

23

24

25

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden.

Do you see that?

Yes.

Α.

Q.

1

7

15

- 1 I'm going to download a copy of this and mark it in the
- 2 record as Exhibit 9. Okay?
- 3 (Deposition Exhibit Number 9 marked.)
- **Q.** Do you recognize Exhibit 9?
- **5 A.** I just want to read it to make sure that I --
- 6 to make sure that I do.
- **7 Q.** I think it just disappeared.
- 8 A. It did. Oh, there it is. Okay.
- **9 Q.** Some magical powers that Chrome has, I guess,
- 10 is to make things disappear and reappear.
- **11 A.** No, I get it. I get it.
- So it looks -- it looks like the grad
- 13 students' statement, this does.
- **14 Q.** Um-hum. And --
- **15 A.** Can I see the second page just to --
- **Q.** Yes, absolutely.
- 17 A. Thanks. Okay.
- **18 Q.** And I'm just going to represent to you, see how
- 19 it says page 2 of 2? So there are no other pages, right?
- **20 A.** Not here on this document, no.
- **Q.** Right. So we confirmed that that's the
- 22 document that was linked in this draft marked UNT 427,
- 23 right?
- **24 A.** You said you clicked it and opened that, so...
- **25 Q.** Yes.

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

98

- **1 A.** Okay.
- **Q.** And again, if I'm trying to pull a fast one on
- **3** you, your attorney, Mary Quimby, is very competent and
- 4 she'll catch me, I'm sure.
- 5 A. Okay, okay. I trust her.
- **Q.** And let me scroll up. This is another
- 7 exhibit that was attached to the Ad Hoc Panel Report
- $oldsymbol{8}$ embedded in Exhibit 3. Confusingly enough, it is also
- 9 called Exhibit 3, but it's Exhibit 3 to the Ad Hoc Panel
- 10 Report.
- **11 A.** Okay
- **Q.** Is this that same statement that we just
- 13 clicked on and was embedded in the draft faculty
- 14 statement?
- MS. QUIMBY: I don't -- you haven't
- 16 changed the exhibit.
- MR. ALLEN: Oh, yes, yes. My mistake.
- **Q.** See here, the header up here is Exhibit 3,
- 19 November 25, 2020. And I can scroll to the top just to
- 20 confirm that this the Ad Hoc Panel Report that we had
- 21 discussed earlier as Exhibit 3.
- **22 A.** Okay.
- **Q.** And then we're going down to -- it's Exhibit
- 24 Pack. And one of the exhibits is this document. And I'm
- 25 just going to ask you to review it in as much detail as

- you need to, to confirm or not whether it is the document
- 2 that was linked to that URL that we clicked and was
- embedded in the Exhibit 9 -- or excuse me, embedded in
- 4 Exhibit 8, in which we pulled up online as Exhibit 9.
- **5** A. Could you just scroll down a little, so I could
- 6 keep looking at it?
 - Q. Yeah, absolutely.
- **8** A. Thank you. Okay. So yeah, they look similar,
- 9 the linked one and this one.
- 10 Q. Okay. And I'll just briefly pull up Exhibit 9,
- 11 which should also be visible. And, again, I'm just going
- 12 to scroll through it slowly, but it should be enough for
- 13 you to at least -- is that the same -- to the best of
- your knowledge, is that the same text?
 - A. They look similar, yeah.
- **Q.** Okay. Thank you. Now, I'm going to direct
- 17 your attention back to Exhibit 8.
- **18 A.** Okay.
- **Q.** So this -- this -- in linking the graduate
- 20 students' statement, it says, "We support and we believe
- 21 our graduate students. Read their statement and demands
- 22 here."
- 23 Right?
- **24 A.** Uh-huh.
- **Q.** Now, there's something here about systemic

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

100

- 1 racism and so forth. This is where you've dropped one
- 2 comment in.
- 3 "On the one hand, it seems important to note
- 4 that 'due process' takes time. On the other hand, the
- 5 system affords this opportunity to people who already
- 6 hold power. This seems like a special call against Tim
- 7 that I think we probably should not make in this kind of
- 8 statement. Thoughts?"
- 9 Do you remember making that comment on this
- 10 draft?
- 11 A. I don't remember making that comment. But it's
- 12 -- obviously, I did.
- **Q.** What do you mean by, "The system that affords
- 14 opportunity to people who already hold power"?
- **15 A.** Well, like the opportunity like I'm having
- **16** right now to talk to you.
- **Q.** So you think being called to account before the
- 18 Courts of the United States is a system that affords this
- 19 opportunity to people who already hold power?
- A. Well, I think I'm lucky that I'm able to have aconversation about this.
- **Q.** How is this an opportunity for people who
- already hold power?A. Well, I've -- because I'm able to actually have
- a chance to talk in front of the legal system.

	Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24		Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24
	101		103
1	Q. Do you consider yourself someone who already	1	Q. Okay.
2	has power?	2	A. But I think I mean this issue, like the one
3	A. I do.	3	that I'm commenting on.
4	Q. Do you think due process actually, let's	4	Q. And again, if you don't know or you don't
5	back up.	5	remember, then you don't, so you can say that.
6	What do you understand by, quote, due process?	6	A. Okay, okay.
7	A. Like having an opportunity to have an	7	Q. Is that your testimony? You just don't know
8	investigation into something.	8	what was your thinking at the time when you wrote that?
9	Q. Do you know what as you understand it, do	9	A. Well, it means what it says in the sense that
10	you know what the elements of due process rights are?	10	(as read) There's deeply entrenched boundaries among
11	A. Didn't didn't make it to law school.	11	research into music cultural culture, history, and
12	Q. That's fine.	12	theory that's complicit here.
13	And you say here, "This seems like a specific	13	"This issue is born of these divisions."
14	call against Tim."	14	So I guess maybe the issue it looks like
15	Right?	15	it's referencing back maybe to the paragraph before.
16	A. That's what it says.	16	Q. Where you are talking about practices that
17	Q. You also make another bubble comment on the	17	protect the systemic racism? Is that it?
18	paragraph that follows that.	18	A. I don't know. I don't know what I mean by this
19	"This issue is born of these divisions where	19	issue. I'm sorry.
20	theory is separated from historical and cultural work.	20	Q. Do you know what you mean by practices that
21	Not sure if this is too much, though."	21	protect the systemic racism?
22	MS. QUIMBY: I'm sorry	22	MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
23	A. Could you open it on the side? Because it's	23	Q. This was highlighted here, right?
24	like cut off on my screen, so I can't see the first part.	24	(As read) Practices that protect the
25	There we go. Thank you.	25	systemic racism (discrimination? Inequality?) Built into
	Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24		Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24
	102		104
1	Q. Can you see and if it's	1	institutional walls, which impedes equally excuse me,
2	A. Yep.	2	which impedes equally swift official responses to these
3	Q. I'm not quite sure how to make this bigger	3	kinds of crises.
4	if	4	A. Okay. So what did you want me to answer about
5	A. That's okay. I can I can see it the way	5	that?
6	it is. It's totally fine.	6	Q. Is that what that's referring to when you said
7	Q. Okay, great.	7	this double bubble?
8	A. It was just cut off before.	8	A. You know, it doesn't seem that way actually.
9	Q. Let me read it again into the record.	9	Q. Okay.
10	"This issue is born of these divisions where	10	A. Those seem unrelated now that I'm I mean,
11	theory is separated from historical and cultural work.	11	but this is me interpreting like kind of on the spot,
12	Not sure if this is too much, though."	12	trying to walk us through it.
13	Did I read that right?	13	Q. And when you say "complicit" here, what do
14	A. You read that right.	14	you mean, complicit? Complicit in what?
15	Q. What did you mean by that?	15	A. That's that's actually what I'm wondering
16	A. I need to go back to the paragraph it's	16	what time I wrote it, and if I did, because if I'm
17	commenting on. (Muffled reading) Okay.	17	what time I wrote it, and if I did, because if I'm
18	Q. I'm just asking what that meant to you.	18	commenting, maybe someone else did.
19	A. I'm not trying to be annoying.	19	Q. Sure. Well, let me let me move on and ask

Page 101 to 104 of 127

Are all of the eventual signatories, were they

 ${\sf MS.\ QUIMBY:\ Objection,\ form.}$

all working on this draft, commenting on the drafts that

A. I don't know. I don't know.

20

21

22

23

24

25

this question.

were circulating?

20

21 22

23

24 wha **25** I --

Q.

No, no, not at all.

That's okay.

Could you read on my comment? I'm sorry.

I genuinely am not trying -- I don't know

what I mean by this issue here. Like I don't know if

Q. Okay. All right. Let me move to the next

2 draft. Okay?

1

4

Now, just to avoid clicking each time and

introducing all sorts of repetitive exhibits, can we

5 agree that this remains the same embedded link?

A. Am I allowed to ask my attorneys about

7 that? Because I just don't know if it's okay to assume

z mac. Because I just don't know in it's okay to assume

8 something like that. I'm not sure.

Q. Sure, sure.

10 A. And I know you are not trying to like --

Q. No, no, I'm not. Well, let's -- I'm going to

12 state for the record that the document, to this extent,

13 speaks for itself. Anyone can open the link, and that

14 will confirm what is linked there. All right? We've

15 already introduced Exhibit 9 into the record, which was

16 the first link. And that can be confirmed independently

17 of the witness's testimony.

18 But I do want to ask you, Professor

19 Geoffroy-Schwinden, in all drafts of the faculty

20 statement such as you remember them, you understood

21 that they linked to a students' statement, correct?

A. I don't know that all of the drafts did.

Q. Okay. We will go through them then.

24 A. Well, I just don't know if these are all of the

25 drafts like from -- yeah.

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

106

 ${f Q.}$ This says again, "We write in support of our

2 graduate students and the concerns they have expressed

3 here."

22

23

4 A. Okay.

Q. Doesn't that indicate that this is also a

6 student statement, this link?

7 A. That this is a student statement?

Q. No, that the link -- sorry, my unclarity, and

9 you're right to point it out.

10 "We write in support of our graduate students

11 and the concerns that they have expressed here: And

12 then there's a URL.

13 Is it your understanding of this draft, that

14 that also is a link embedding the students' statement, or

15 at least a reference that's embedding it by reference to

16 the students' statement?

17

20

A. This link in this draft clicks out to the

18 students' statement, per -- yeah, like per the record,

19 like we've been talking about.

Q. Okay, thanks. And here, it just says, "We

21 write in support," right?

A. It does say that.

Q. And here, it says, "The undersigned faculty

24 members are dismayed," right?

25 A. Yep.

Q. There's some things moved around. That's

2 normal, as you said before, in a draft. And if you

3 have -- do you have anything else to point out about

4 this draft?

1

105

5 A. No.

Q. I don't believe there are any comments to

7 this draft. These are just the ones that link on page 1.

8 See?

12

15

19

24

1

13

14

15

16

23

9 A. Okay

Q. So I'll go to the third draft, for some reason

in a different font, but that happens.

A. Okay.

Q. "Professor Philip Ewell and his work in the

14 recent issue of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies."

Right?

16 A. That's what that says, yes.

17 Q. So do you consider denouncing a bit of a

18 stronger form of feeling than dismay?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

20 A. I don't -- I don't know. They're just

21 different verbs.

Q. Sure. Is denounce stronger than expressing

23 dismay?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. It depends on the scenario

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

108

Q. In this scenario, in this document, do you

2 understand denounce to be stronger than expressing

3 dismay?

4 A. I'm not sure. I'm sure it's a point --

Q. Okay

6 A. -- that my colleagues thought about because

7 they fight over commas. But I don't know the intention

8 between the change between those. I don't remember the

9 intention between that change in verbiage.

10 Q. Um-hum. And there's a statement that

11 "The Journal of Schenkerian Studies contained several

12 unresearched statements about Black Americans."

Right?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. If that's what that -- what you're highlighting says in the document.

17 Q. Okay. And this looks like it's been pretty

18 stable, this paragraph.

19 And then here, once more, "We write in support

20 of the graduate students. You can find their statement

21 here."

And again, there's the URL link, right?

A. Yes.

Q. There's a reference to the "mandatory

25 administrative process to begin to address this problem."

Do you know what that refers to? 1

2 L don't. Α.

3 Now, this is the last one in the series.

And now, the last one is signed by everyone, right? 4

5 It has a list of signatures. I'd have to

6 look at the side by side, but it has a list of

7 signatures, yeah.

14

25

Q. Absolutely. And now, it says, "We, the 8

undersigned faculty members stand in solidarity with 9

10 our graduate students and their letter of condemnation

of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies." 11

12 Did I read that correctly?

13 That's what this draft says.

Do you understand standing in solidarity to be

15 a stronger statement than denounce?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. 16

Well, denounce -- like the way that -- where 17

18 the verb was situated in the previous draft was referring

19 to the issue. And solidarity here seems like the verb

is -- that this is referring to the graduate students. 20

So this is just a different sentence. 21

22 Okay, sure. Is it more strongly identifying

23 this statement with the letter of condemnation issued by

the graduate students? 24

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

110

9/27/24

109

1

4

9

13

15

17

18

21

24

25

I wouldn't say that it more strongly affiliates 1

it with them, no, not necessarily. 2

3 Well, this sentence doesn't even mention the

student statement, right? The student letter, as it's 4

referred to. This is the first sentence of the previous 5

draft. 6

7 Okav. Yeah, no.

8 It doesn't -- that doesn't incorporate anything Ο.

9 referring to the students, does it?

10 It does not.

Now, in this fourth version on UNT 430, "The 11

undersigned faculty members stand in solidarity with the 12

graduate students in their letter of condemnation of the 13

Journal of Schenkerian Studies." 14

Right? 15

16 That's what this version says, yes.

17 Does this version qualify that statement in any

18 way?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form. 19

20 So you are asking if this version of -- if it

21 qualifies the phrase "stand in solidarity"?

22 Q.

23 Α. Not in that sentence.

Okay. Thank you. Now, it says something about 24

the epi -- "Epistemic center of the journal lies in a 25

racist discourse that has no place in any publication."

2 What did you mean by the epistemic center?

3 How did you understand that?

A. I think I -- like the knowledge center, like

5 the idea that held it together.

6 Q. So the idea that held together the Journal of

7 Schenkerian Studies is inherently racist? Is that what

8 you're saying?

A. That's not what that sentence says.

10 Well, I'm just trying to figure out what it

means. If the epistemic center of the journal lies in a 11

12 racist discourse, again, what does that mean?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

Well, it means what it says, I guess. 14

What's an epi -- okay. What's an epistemic

center? Maybe you can explain that for the Court. 16

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

Well, like I just said, it's like the central

19 -- the central idea that it hangs together on, the

20 knowledge.

Q. Sure. Isn't the central idea of the Journal

22 for Schenkerian Studies, as I believe you testified

23 earlier, Schenkerian analysis?

MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

A. I don't know. I mean, so the journal, right,

9/27/24

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

112

that is dedicated to Schenkerian analysis. And it looks

like this sentence is talking about the journal issue, so

3 the specific issue.

Q. Okay. The journal issue of Volume 12? 4

5 It's not cited here.

So can you define the epistemic center of

7 the journal issue you are talking about here in this

8 statement?

12

9 MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

10 A. I don't -- are you asking like -- I don't --

you read the sentence --11

O. Right.

13 -- that the epistemic center of the journal

issue lies in a racist discourse, and it continues. And 14

you asked me what epistemic center meant, and I said my 15

understanding, at least from this perspective, is that it

17 means like the idea that it hung together on.

18 Is that clear for you?

Q. Okay. Yes, that is clear to me. 19

20 Okay.

Now, I'm asking you to tell me your

understanding of what was that idea that the Volume 12 22

23 hung together on, in your words?

24 This sentence says, "The epistemic center of the journal issue lies in a racist discourse that has no

- 1 place in any publication, especially in an academic
- 2 journal."
- **Q.** Okay, right. And so racist discourse, maybe we
 - can agree, that's relatively vague and general, right?
- **A.** What do you mean? How is racist discourse --
- **Q.** I want to know. What is the -- what is the
- 7 racist discourse that is the epistemic center of Volume
- 8 12 of the Journal of Schenkerian Studies? Can you
- 9 identify that for me, please?
- **A.** I can't. I don't have the journal issue in
- **11** front of me.
- **Q.** And you don't remember what you meant by that
- at the time when you signed this statement?
- **14 A.** I don't understand what you're asking me for
- 15 here. So -- but the epistemic center of the journal
- 16 issue lies in a racist discourse. Okay. So...
- **Q.** And then instead of saying as the one version
- 18 that preceded it had said, "We support our graduate
- 19 students," this statement now says, "We endorse the call
- 20 for action outlined in our student letter." Right?
- 21 A. That's what that clause says, yes.
- **Q.** And, again, there's the link to the students'
- 23 letter, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- **Q.** So the faculty moved from supporting to

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

114

9/27/24

- 1 endorsing, correct?
- **A.** Not the letter. I mean, what do you mean?
- 3 Like, yes, the word switched from support to endorse.
- **Q.** Okay.
- **5 A.** But there are other revisions in that
- 6 paragraph, it appears.
- **7 Q.** Then it says (as read) which asks the College
- ${\bf 8} \quad \mbox{ of Music publicly condemn -- ask that the public -- I'm}$
- 9 sorry.
- **10 A.** That's okay.
- 11 Q. I'm going to read it from the top, just so we
- 12 get it cleanly into the record.
- "We endorse the call for action outlined in
- 14 our students' letter" -- the URL follows -- "which asks
- 15 that the College of Music 'publicly condemn the issue and
- 16 release it freely online to the public' and 'provide a
- 17 full public account of the editorial and publication
- 18 process and its failures.' Responsible parties must" --
- 19 be appropriately -- "be held appropriately accountable."
- 20 Did I read that correctly?
- 21 A. Yeah, yeah.
- **Q.** On a third try?
- **A.** That's okay. It's late and it's Friday.
- 24 Q. Yes. Thank you.
- 25 So is it your testimony then, as I'm

- gathering, that you believe this limits the endorsement
- 2 of the letter?
 - A. Yes
 - Q. How does it limit the endorsement of the
- **5** letter?

3

6

15

18

21

24

- A. I believe that that statement specifies what
- 7 part of the letter is endorsed.
- **Q.** Does it say which -- only that part which asks?
- 9 It doesn't say that, right?
- **10 A.** It says, "We endorse the call for action which
- 11 asks the College of Music to publicly condemn the issue
- 12 and release it freely online to the public and provide
- 13 a" -- public -- "full public account of the editorial
- 14 and publication process and its failures."
 - Q. And it's your -- it's your testimony today that
- 16 this "which asks" limits the entire endorsement?
- 17 A. Grammatically, it would appear so.
 - Q. Well, grammatically, it would appear that it
- 19 doesn't say only that part which asks, right? It doesn't
- 20 say only that part.
 - A. "Only" does not appear in that paragraph.
- **Q.** Right. And it doesn't say we partially
- 23 endorse, right?
 - A. "Partially" does not appear in that paragraph.
- **Q.** Okay. Do you recall any discussion among the

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

116

- 1 group of professors generating this statement about how
- 2 the group should limit its endorsement of the call for
- **3** action of the students?
- 4 A. I don't remember.
- **Q.** I just want to go back now, and this will
- 6 probably be the last thing I need to talk about, the
- 7 famous Exhibit 3.
- 8 A. Okay.
- **9 Q.** I just want -- I just want to walk us through
- 10 the student statement here. So this is the student
- 11 statement, which we've discussed before. What is the
- call to action here?
 - MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **Q.** Is that question unclear to you?
 - If we -- if we skip down to this statement, it
- 16 says, "We endorse the call for action outlined in our
- 17 students' letter."
 - Correct?
- 19 A. Yep. That's what that says.
- **Q.** And this is the student letter that was linked
- 21 to that statement, correct?
 - A. Um-hum.
 - Q. So my question is, what is the call to action
- 24 that is referred to in the faculty statement?
 - MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.

13

15

18

22

23

- A. To publicly condemn the issue and release it
 freely online to the public.
- **Q.** Um-hum.
- **4** Provide a full public account of the editorial
- 5 and publication process and its failures."
- **6** That's -- those are the ones outlined in the
- 7 faculty statement.
- **Q.** This statement also calls on the University
- 9 of North Texas and UNT College of Music to take other
- 10 actions, right? It says so right here. And I'm
- 11 referring to this sentence under paragraph enumerated
- 12 number 2 on JACKSON 0226.
- 13 It says, "We also call on the University of
- 14 North Texas and the UNT College of Music to take the
- 15 following actions:"
- 16 Right?
- **17 A.** It does say that, yes.
- **Q.** And one of those is to dissolve the journal,
- **19** right?
- **20 A.** It says dissolve the journal, yep.
- **Q.** Do you know if that, in fact, took place?
- **22 A.** No, I don't.
- **Q.** Has the Journal of Schenkerian Studies appeared
- 24 since July of 2020?
- 25 A. I have no idea. Like I said, never read it,

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

118

9/27/24

- 1 still don't.
- **Q.** Okay. Here's something about critically
- 3 examining the culture of UNT and etc., etc.
- 4 And then under the paragraph number 3 on the
- **5** second page of the student statement, it says, "Hold
- **6** accountable every person responsible for the direction
- 7 of the publication. This will involve recognizing both
- 8 whistleblowers and those who failed to heed them in this
- **9** process. This should also extend to investigating past
- 10 bigoted behaviors by faculty and, by taking this into
- 11 account, the discipline and potential removal of
- 12 faculty who used the JSS platform to promote racism.
- ${f 13}$ Specifically, the actions of Dr. Jackson -- both past
- 14 and present -- are particularly racist and unacceptable."
- **15** Did I read that correctly?
- **16 A.** You did.
- **Q.** Now, it's your testimony today that you never
- **18** intended to endorse these statements?
- **19 A.** No, I didn't -- I didn't -- no, I didn't
- 20 endorse these statements.
- **Q.** Even though the faculty statement endorses
- 22 the students' statement, your testimony today is that --
- **A.** I'm sorry, no. We did not endorse this whole
- 24 statement, so you've just misstated my testimony today.
- **Q.** No, no, no. I'm saying even though this

- 1 statement endorses the call for action outlined in the
- 2 student letter, right?
- **A.** It does not endorse the entire call for action.
 - Q. All right. Okay, then good.
- **A.** It endorses the call to make it publicly
- 6 available and -- do you want to repeat that again into
- 7 the record, or are we good? Do you want me to finish
- 8 it?
- **9 Q.** No, no. I'm -- let me finish. And then if you
- 10 disagree, maybe you can enlighten me. All right?
- **11 A.** Okay. Sounds good.
- **Q.** This faculty statement endorses the call for
- 13 action outlined in the student letter.
- So far, so good. And you are arguing that
- 15 this subordinate clause, which asks that the College of
- 16 Music publicly condemn the issue and release it freely
- 17 online to the public and provide a full public account
- 18 of the editorial and publication process and its
- 19 failures, is only thing you endorse in that letter?
- MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **Q.** Is that your testimony today, that you don't
- 22 endorse the other things, only these two things that you
- 23 quoted?

24

6

12

20

22

25

- A. That's what that sentence grammatically says.
- **Q.** Even though it doesn't say exclusively or

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24

- 1 anything limiting it to only these things, that's your
- 2 testimony, right?
- **3** MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- **4 A.** I testified that the word "exclusively" does
- 5 not appear in that sentence.
 - Q. And you don't -- sorry about that. I just
- 7 clicked on it and made my Chrome browser blow up.
- **8** You agree that this embeds the letter by
- 9 reference to this URL link, correct?
- 10 A. I agree that that -- yes, the URL link is
- 11 there.
 - Q. So just the last couple of questions.
- **13 A.** Um-hum.
- **Q.** Can you identify any concrete actions, past
- **15** or present, of Timothy Jackson that are particularly
- 16 racist?
- **17 A.** I would just say maybe some of the writing in
- 18 the article
- **Q.** So his published speech basically, right?
 - MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.
- 21 A. Sorry, wait. No. Sorry, what do you mean?
 - **Q.** Well, I asked if you can identify concrete
- 23 specific actions of Dr. Jackson, either past or present,
- **24** that are particularly racist.
 - A. I would just say some of the writing in the

	Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24		Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24
	121		123
1	article.	1	(Recess taken)
2	Q. Okay. And besides writing, as you sit here	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:38.
3	today, can you identify any specific actions that Dr.	3	We're on the record.
4	Jackson has committed, either past or present, that are	4	MR. ALLEN: I'm going to pass the
5	particularly racist?	5	witness to Attorney Quimby.
6	A. Not in my experience, not that I've experienced	6	MS. QUIMBY: I'll reserve my questions for
7	personally.	7	trial.
8	Q. Okay, thanks. And if I asked you the same	8	MR. ALLEN: All right.
9	question about, quote, bigoted behaviors, would your	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:38.
10	answer be the same?	10	We're off the record.
11	A. I haven't experienced that personally.	11	(Proceedings concluded at 4:38 p.m.)
12	Q. Okay. Have you witnessed it experienced by	12	(Frocedings concluded at 4.50 p.m.)
13	others?	13	
14	A. I have no.	14	
15	Q. Okay. Now, about the discussions that led to	15	
16	the formulation of this final circulated and published	16	
	·	17	
17	UNT faculty statement, do you recall any expressed		
18	discussions about limiting the endorsement of the	18	
19	faculty of the students' statement?		
20	MS. QUIMBY: Objection, form.	20	
21	A. Do I recall specifically limiting like the	21	
22	length of the statement?	22	
23	Q. No, no, no. Sorry. I wasn't clear, and thanks	23	
24	for interjecting. And, again, I'm not trying to put		
23	words in your mouth, but it seems to me your testimony is	25	
	0.1		0.40
	Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24		Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D. 9/27/24
	122		124
1	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the	1	124 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE
1 2	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only	2	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D.
1 2 3	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things.	2	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024
1 2 3 4	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep.	2 3 4	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right?	2 3 4 5	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024
1 2 3 4 5	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done, but I want to look at my notes real quick. And if I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done, but I want to look at my notes real quick. And if I've missed an exhibit or some such thing, and come back on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done, but I want to look at my notes real quick. And if I've missed an exhibit or some such thing, and come back on the record. And we can pass the witness, or maybe I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done, but I want to look at my notes real quick. And if I've missed an exhibit or some such thing, and come back on the record. And we can pass the witness, or maybe I have one or two more questions. But I think we're almost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done, but I want to look at my notes real quick. And if I've missed an exhibit or some such thing, and come back on the record. And we can pass the witness, or maybe I have one or two more questions. But I think we're almost done, Professor Geoffroy-Schwinden.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done, but I want to look at my notes real quick. And if I've missed an exhibit or some such thing, and come back on the record. And we can pass the witness, or maybe I have one or two more questions. But I think we're almost done, Professor Geoffroy-Schwinden. MS. QUIMBY: Do you want to just take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done, but I want to look at my notes real quick. And if I've missed an exhibit or some such thing, and come back on the record. And we can pass the witness, or maybe I have one or two more questions. But I think we're almost done, Professor Geoffroy-Schwinden. MS. QUIMBY: Do you want to just take five? Do you mind?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done, but I want to look at my notes real quick. And if I've missed an exhibit or some such thing, and come back on the record. And we can pass the witness, or maybe I have one or two more questions. But I think we're almost done, Professor Geoffroy-Schwinden. MS. QUIMBY: Do you want to just take five? Do you mind? MR. ALLEN: Absolutely. Not more. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done, but I want to look at my notes real quick. And if I've missed an exhibit or some such thing, and come back on the record. And we can pass the witness, or maybe I have one or two more questions. But I think we're almost done, Professor Geoffroy-Schwinden. MS. QUIMBY: Do you want to just take five? Do you mind? MR. ALLEN: Absolutely. Not more. I'm going to stay here and just go on mute, but I'll be right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done, but I want to look at my notes real quick. And if I've missed an exhibit or some such thing, and come back on the record. And we can pass the witness, or maybe I have one or two more questions. But I think we're almost done, Professor Geoffroy-Schwinden. MS. QUIMBY: Do you want to just take five? Do you mind? MR. ALLEN: Absolutely. Not more. I'm going to stay here and just go on mute, but I'll be right here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this endorsement of the call to action outlined in the students' letter you're testifying is limited to only these things. A. Yep. Q. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And so my question for you is, were there documents that recorded discussions about the limitations the faculty who signed this letter wished to place on this endorsement for the call for action outlined in the students' letter? A. I don't remember. MR. ALLEN: Okay. Mary, I think I'm done, but I want to look at my notes real quick. And if I've missed an exhibit or some such thing, and come back on the record. And we can pass the witness, or maybe I have one or two more questions. But I think we're almost done, Professor Geoffroy-Schwinden. MS. QUIMBY: Do you want to just take five? Do you mind? MR. ALLEN: Absolutely. Not more. I'm going to stay here and just go on mute, but I'll be right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE WITNESS: REBECCA GEOFFROY-SCHWINDEN, Ph.D. DATE: SEPTEMBER 27, 2024 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON

23

24 25 witness:

That the deposition transcript was duly submitted on October 30, 2024, to Ms. Mary Quimby, the attorney for

the defendants, for examination, signature, and return to

-					
#	33:14, 34:7,	89:3, 98:19,	97:22	860.772.4738 [1] -	accurate [6] -
#	37:7, 37:16,	117:24	430 [1] - 110:11	2:5	8:15, 30:1,
#308 [1] - 1:22	38:19, 39:5,	2021 [2] - 14:16	431 [1] - 92:2		31:15, 79:6,
	39:21, 40:5,	2022 [1] - 25:14	436 [1] - 127:24	9	79:20, 93:19
•	40:7, 40:11,	2024 [9] - 1:11,	4:21-CV-00033-		accurately [1] -
	41:19, 45:15,	1:18, 5:3, 6:3,	ALM [3] - 1:5,	9 [9] - 4:16, 96:25,	55:4
'22 [1] - 15:3	45:22, 46:4,	124:3, 126:12,	5:4, 126:5	97:2, 97:3, 97:4,	accusation [1] -
'22-'23 [1] - 15:4	46:25, 48:2,	126:24, 127:1,	4:26 [1] - 122:25	99:3, 99:4,	63:1
'24-'25 [1] - 15:4	51:11, 52:19,	127:18	4:38 [4] - 1:18,	99:10, 105:15	accused [3] -
'due [1] - 100:4	53:2, 95:22,	214-598-5229 [1] -	123:2, 123:9,	90 [1] - 4:15	32:20, 61:15,
'Open [1] - 73:11	112:4, 112:22,	2:23	123:11	900 [2] - 42:4,	62:8
'provide [1] -	113:8	214-668-5578/		42:7	acknowledged
114:16	12-1-25 [1] -	Fax [1] - 127:23	5	940.369.7026 [1] -	[1] - 125:13
'publicly [1] -	127:25	25 [1] - 98:19		2:15	Acoustemologie
114:15	124 [1] - 3:10	25th [1] - 89:2	5 [5] - 3:5, 4:9,	940.565.2717 [1] -	s [2] - 22:22,
	12548 [1] - 2:9	27 [5] - 1:11, 5:3,	77:25, 78:1,	2:15	22:23
0	126 [1] - 3:11	6:2, 124:3,	78:3	97 [1] - 4:17	acoustemologie
	13 [1] - 73:2	126:12	50,000 [1] - 42:14	972-236-6666 [1] -	s [1] - 22:19
00 [2] - 127:9	15 [1] - 90:4	27th [3] - 1:17,	512.320.0667 [1] -	127:23	acronym [1] -
000233)	1700 [1] - 65:13	32:11, 54:9	2:10		55:7
[1] - 4:6	1802 [1] - 17:23	280 [1] - 76:1	512.463.2120 [1] -	Α	action [14] -
000276 [1] - 4:8	1804 [1] - 65:13	28th [1] - 95:2	2:10		113:20, 114:13,
000288)	1820 [1] - 65:14	29th [4] - 82:18,	53 [1] - 4:4	abide [1] - 11:1	115:10, 116:3,
. [1] - 4:8	1:33 [2] - 1:18, 6:3	83:7, 84:9,		ability [2] - 9:6,	116:12, 116:16,
000355 [1] - 4:4		127:17	6	10:7	116:23, 119:1,
000356)	2	2:00 [1] - 32:11	0 - 111	able [5] - 7:24,	119:3, 119:13,
[1] - 4:4		2:33 [1] - 52:10	6 [5] - 3:7, 4:11,	39:17, 92:7,	122:1, 122:10,
000377 [1] - 4:12	2 [13] - 3:3, 4:4,	2:44 [1] - 52:12	82:13, 82:15,	100:20, 100:24	127:14, 127:16
000378)	53:7, 53:10,		82:17	above-styled [1] -	actions [6] -
. [1] - 4:12	54:1, 61:24,	3	66 [1] - 4:6	1:17	117:10, 117:15,
000417)	67:16, 90:21,		_	Abraham [1] - 36:11	118:13, 120:14,
[1] - 4:14	92:5, 97:19,	3 [19] - 4:5, 65:23,	7	abroad [1] - 16:20	120:23, 121:3
000425)	117:12, 127:1	65:25, 66:21,	7 [6] - 4:3, 4:13,	absolutely [4] -	Actions' [1] -
[1] - 4:10	20 [1] - 5:14 2007 [1] - 12:18	67:7, 67:16,	73:2, 86:9,	45:5, 78:25,	73:12
000427 [1] - 4:15		73:23, 88:24,	86:11, 90:24	97:16, 99:7	active [2] - 55:3,
000431)	2009 [2] - 13:15, 13:22	89:2, 90:21, 92:5, 93:16,	7-29-20 [1] - 4:12	Absolutely [4] -	55:24 activities [1] -
.[1] - 4:15	2011 [3] - 12:22,	98:8, 98:9,	7-30-20 [3] - 4:7,	8:10, 90:6,	27:3
02 [1] - 127:7	13:11, 13:16	98:18, 98:21,	4:10, 4:13	109:8, 122:21	actual [1] - 66:8
0226 [1] - 117:12	2012 [1] - 127:22	116:7, 118:4	7-31-26 [1] -	abstract [1] -	ad [1] - 89:21
0276 [1] - 72:5	2015 [4] - 12:23,	30 [2] - 5:15,	127:20	58:10	Ad [16] - 4:5, 66:2,
0355 [1] - 53:19	13:17, 14:10,	126:24	72 [1] - 4:8	academia [1] -	66:9, 66:13,
0427 [1] - 90:18	14:11	30th [3] - 75:25,	75007-1640 [1] -	14:9	67:3, 67:17,
0428 [1] - 90:18	2018 [1] - 24:2	78:7, 86:13	127:23	academic [8] -	67:18, 73:21,
0429 [1] - 90:18	2019 [5] - 27:5,	34 [1] - 127:7	76201 [1] - 2:15	19:1, 19:21,	74:6, 88:24,
0430 [1] - 90:19	27:8, 28:8,	3:32 [1] - 87:12	78 [1] - 4:10	27:2, 27:4,	89:3, 89:14,
06.035 [2] - 78:14,	33:11, 57:22	3:33 [1] - 87:14	78711 [1] - 2:10	34:25, 38:18,	89:16, 98:7,
78:17	2020 [30] - 26:21,	3:36 [1] - 90:8		39:5, 113:1	98:9, 98:20
06375 [1] - 2:4	27:5, 29:25,	3:45 [1] - 90:10	8	Academic [1] -	added [1] - 76:8
4	30:1, 30:3, 31:3,			76:23	addition [1] -
1	31:15, 36:22,	4	8 [7] - 4:15, 90:12,	acceptable [2] -	11:23
1 [7] - 4:3, 7:5,	37:3, 37:12,	Т.	90:14, 91:9,	10:14, 47:20	additional [1] -
8:4, 67:16,	38:2, 39:9,	4 [10] - 3:4, 4:7,	91:21, 99:4,	accepted [1] -	77:25
90:21, 92:5,	39:14, 39:22,	66:22, 67:18,	99:17	25:15	address [5] -
107:7	40:20, 54:9,	72:2, 72:4,	801 [2] - 1:22,	account [6] -	27:8, 27:11,
10-31-26 [1] -	56:13, 57:1,	78:22, 79:2,	2:14	100:17, 114:17,	33:8, 75:24,
127:24	62:7, 66:2,	90:21, 92:5	82 [1] - 4:12	115:13, 117:4,	108:25
1184 [1] - 127:20	66:13, 76:1,	404 [1] - 2:4	86 [1] - 4:14	118:11, 119:17	addressing [3] -
12 [26] - 26:21,	78:7, 82:18,	417 [1] - 86:16	860.469.2783 [1] -	accountable [2] -	73:5, 86:22,
27:25, 28:13,	83:7, 86:13,	427 [2] - 92:2,	2:5	114:19, 118:6	87:24
-,,					

admini [1] - 93:23
administration
[5] - 82:1, 93:19,
93:24, 93:25,
94:7
administrative [2] - 15:1, 108:25
advisor [3] -
54:22, 59:13,
61:24
affect [2] - 9:3,
9:6
affiliated [2] - 17:2, 17:5
affiliates [1] -
110:1
affiliation [1] -
17:10
affix [1] - 125:3
affixed [1] - 67:23
affords [3] - 100:5, 100:13,
100:3, 100:13,
African [3] -
64:16, 65:2,
65:7
afternoon [1] -
59:6 ago [3] - 22:16,
35:15, 60:3
agree [4] - 105:5,
113:4, 120:8,
120:10
Agreement [1] -
5:6 ahead [9] - 6:17,
54:4, 55:2,
55:25, 56:17,
72:25, 73:1,
84:1, 96:25
al [3] - 1:6, 4:8,
126:6
alarmed [1] - 35:18
allegation [1] -
63:1
Allen [3] - 2:3,
6:21, 127:7
ALLEN [29] - 2:3,
6:7, 6:19, 51:24,
52:2, 52:6, 53:6, 65:24, 72:3,
77:24, 82:12,
82:16, 85:22,
86:2, 86:7, 87:2,
87:6, 87:10,
87:15, 89:25,
90:6, 90:13, 91:14, 91:16,
98:17, 122:13,
, ,

122:21, 123:4,
123:8
Allen[1] - 3:7
allow [1] - 91:4
allowed [1] -
105:6
almost [3] - 9:11, 9:23, 122:17
ALSO [1] - 2:18
American [10] -
17:25, 43:8,
63:13, 63:22, 64:4, 64:16,
64:23, 65:2,
65:7
Americans [1] -
108:12 amount [1] -
127:5
analysis [3] -
26:17, 111:23, 112:1
AND [2] - 124:1,
125:19
Andrew [2] -
77:12, 79:8 announced [1] -
73:13
announcement
[1] - 70:2
annoying [2] - 16:5, 102:19
anonymity [1] -
40:22
anonymous [10] -
36:9, 36:20, 41:3, 41:10,
43:10, 44:11,
44:12, 44:19,
45:1, 60:19 anonymously [3]
- 40:24, 43:11,
44:1
answer [16] -
7:24, 9:17, 9:23, 9:25, 10:8,
10:11, 47:19,
47:21, 48:22,
48:24, 52:6,
56:24, 64:21, 87:19, 104:4,
121:10
answered [1] -
87:18
answering 141
answering [1] - 10:17

anthropology [3]

- 12:24, 13:4,

```
13:5
Anti [1] - 73:12
anti [1] - 95:15
anti-Black [1] -
 95:15
Anti-Racist [1] -
 73:12
anxious [1] -
 48:20
anyway [1] -
 34:22
apologize [2] -
 30:8, 76:3
appear [6] -
 19:16, 115:17,
 115:18, 115:21,
 115:24, 120:5
APPEARANCES
 [1] - 2:1
appearances [1] -
 6:20
Appearances .....
 ... [1] - 3:3
appeared [3] -
 8:15, 117:23,
 125:10
appearing [1] -
 35:10
Appearing [1] -
 2:13
applies [1] - 74:18
approaches [1] -
 22:18
appropriately [2]
 - 114:19
approved [1] -
 74:24
Approx [1] - 18:4
April [5] - 28:25,
 29:1, 29:13,
 29:16, 31:21
arbitration [1] -
 5:20
area [1] - 30:16
arguing [1] -
 119:14
arranging [1] -
 83:6
art [4] - 64:17,
 65:3, 65:7,
 65:16
Art [1] - 24:14
article [18] -
 20:17, 23:18,
 24:4, 24:12,
 33:20, 33:22,
 33:24, 34:11,
```

```
35:7, 36:7,
 40:13, 120:18,
 121:1
Articles [2] - 18:5,
 26:17
articles [20] -
 18:5, 18:15,
 19:1, 19:4,
 19:10, 19:15,
 20:10, 21:21,
 22:2, 33:25,
 34:2, 34:3, 34:9,
 36:5, 36:16,
 38:11, 40:11,
 96:5
Arts [1] - 12:21
aspect [1] - 72:22
assign [1] - 91:25
Assistant [3] -
 2:8, 6:25, 76:23
assistant [2] -
 13:25, 14:13
Associate [2] -
 76:19, 76:21
associate [2] -
 14:15, 14:20
associated [3] -
 73:14, 91:23,
 94.22
ASSOCIATES [1]
 - 127:22
Association [1] -
 55.8
assume [5] -
 10:22, 12:3,
 14:4, 57:22,
 105:7
Atlantic [1] -
 63:19
attached [5] -
 1:25, 66:18,
 67:3, 73:21,
 98:7
attachment [4] -
 74:6, 86:17,
 89:18, 93:16
attachments [1] -
 66:16
attacked [2] -
 80:3, 80:22
attacks [1] - 80:18
attention [2] -
 66:18, 99:17
attorney [11] -
 5:11, 5:11, 7:20,
 9:10, 9:21, 9:23,
 53:9, 72:21,
 72:23, 98:3,
 126:24
```

6:25, 123:5, 127:8, 127:10 attorney-client [1] - 9:21 attorneys [8] -6:19, 11:7, 11:10, 11:24, 12:4, 91:25, 105:6, 127:14 **audibly** [2] - 10:8, 10:11 audio [1] - 85:1 August [1] - 62:7 Austin [1] - 2:10 authenticate [1] -88.21 author [6] - 21:13, 41:3, 43:10, 44:12, 44:19, 60:19 authority [1] -76:4 authors [3] -36:18, 40:23, 40:24 available [1] -119:6 avoid [1] - 105:3 awards [1] - 15:23 aware [8] - 26:18, 26:20, 27:5, 30:18, 41:16, 41:20, 52:17, 82:10 В

Bach [1] - 65:12 background [1] -12:9 backing [3] -30:5, 30:9, 51:9 bad [2] - 23:14, 23:16 Bakulina [2] -73:4, 73:10 based [2] - 75:5, 88:19 basic [1] - 94:4 Bates [5] - 53:19, 72:5, 86:16, 90:17, 91:24 **BE** [1] - 5:5 become [1] - 14:1 Beethoven [1] -65:13 begin [2] - 90:17, 108:25 beginning [3] -21:21, 60:17,

behalf [1] - 70:5 behaviors [2] -118:10, 121:9 below [3] - 34:25, 77:2, 92:23 below-signed [1] - 92:23 Benjamin [4] -86:13, 86:24, 88:1, 90:25 best [3] - 18:7, 60:20, 99:13 better [5] - 22:17, 27:20, 29:17, 73:16, 74:1 between [4] -13:18, 108:8, 108:9 bigger [1] - 102:3 bigoted [2] -118:10, 121:9 bit [2] - 66:23, 107:17 Black [3] - 64:11, 95:15, 108:12 blank [1] - 22:3 blind [7] - 21:3, 21:7, 21:9, 21:11, 21:15, 24:7, 25:8 block [1] - 53:25 Bloomsbury [2] -24:13, 25:1 blow [1] - 120:7 blowing [1] -29:17 board [2] - 25:20, 73:15 body [1] - 53:25 book [11] - 18:9, 20:12, 20:14, 20:15, 20:17, 21:22, 24:9, 24:12, 25:12, 25:25, 65:13 books [7] - 18:5, 18:6, 20:11, 21:24, 22:1, 22:2, 22:4 born [3] - 101:19, 102:10, 103:13 bother [1] - 77:2 bottom [2] - 8:4,

54:7

Boulevard [2] -

bound [1] - 69:19

boundaries [1] -

1:22, 2:14

103:10

Attorney [6] - 2:8,

34:15, 34:23,

34:24, 35:5,

Box [2] - 2:4, 2:9 Brand [5] - 4:14, 86:13. 86:24. 88:1, 90:25 breach [1] - 76:7 break [9] - 46:7, 51:23, 51:25, 52:4, 85:20, 86:4, 87:7, 87:9, 90:1 breaking [1] -30:7 brewing [1] - 32:3 brief [3] - 52:14, 83:3, 85:20 briefly [3] - 12:7, 17:20, 99:10 bring [1] - 85:24 Brooks' [1] - 64:8 browser [1] -120:7 bubble [2] -101:17, 104:7 bubbles [4] -94:15, 94:22, 95:6, 95:8 building [3] -9:12, 9:16, 23:23 built [1] - 103:25 business [1] -18:1 **but..** [1] - 16:8 BY [2] - 6:7, 87:21

C

calculated [1] -58:22 cannot [2] -47:13, 74:22 capacity [3] -59:14, 69:9, 69:19 Capital [1] - 2:9 caption [1] -82.21 captioned [3] -66:20, 78:1, 82:18 card [1] - 125:12 career [5] - 12:8, 12:12, 12:13, 14:8, 14:9 carefully [1] -38:13 Caribbean [1] -63:19 CARRELL [1] -

126:16

Carrell [2] - 1:19, 127:20 Carrollton [1] -127:23 CASE [2] - 1:5, 126:5 catch [1] - 98:4 category [1] -20:8 Catherine [1] -72:5 **CAUSE** [1] - 5:4 cc [1] - 53:12 center [11] -110:25, 111:2, 111:4, 111:11, 111:16, 112:6, 112:13, 112:15, 112:24, 113:7, 113:15 central [3] -111:18, 111:19, 111:21 Century [3] -23:21, 65:12, 65:16 certainly [1] -9:23 certificate [3] -12:23, 13:4, 13.9 Certificate.....[1] -3:11 certificates [1] -13:7 Certification [1] -127:24 **CERTIFICATION** [1] - 126:10

Certified [3] -1:19, 126:16, 127:17 certify [2] -126:17, 127:12 chain [1] - 72:4 Chain [1] - 4:7 chair [1] - 89:1 chance [5] - 8:7, 54:2, 72:24, 83:4, 100:25 CHANGE [1] -124:4 change [2] -108:8, 108:9 changed [1] -98:16

Changes [1] -

CHANGES [1] -

3.10

124:1 chapter [4] -20:17, 24:7, 24:8, 85:25 chapters [2] -20:12, 20:14 characterize [1] -20:18 chat [4] - 7:19, 53:8, 78:1, 82:18 Chrome [3] -96:20, 97:9, 120:7 Chronicle [1] -53:4 circulated [7] -41:17, 41:18, 43:6, 61:5, 62:2, 62:3, 121:16 circulating [1] -104:23 circulation [1] -22:4 Circulations [1] -23:20 cited [1] - 112:5 citizen [1] - 69:3 citizens [1] -69:15 City [1] - 27:6 Civil [2] - 1:23, 5:8 claim [1] - 65:9 clarification [3] -10:12, 10:15, 10:22 clarify [1] - 95:4 clause [2] -113:21, 119:15 clean [1] - 10:7 cleanly [1] -114:12 clear [19] - 8:1, 9:18, 9:21, 10:9, 10:17, 10:19, 10:23, 11:3, 15:10, 70:15, 70:19, 71:4, 71:10, 71:11, 81:15, 81:16, 112:18, 112:19, 121:23 Clearly [1] -104:16

120:7 clicking [1] -105:3 clicks [1] - 106:17 client [9] - 6:23, 9:21, 61:15, 80:3. 80:18. 80:21, 81:18, 81:24, 82:6 close [1] - 85:25 closure [1] - 80:5 co [2] - 68:7, 68:8 co-wrote [2] -68:7, 68:8 collaborative [1] -50:23 colleague [4] -29:5, 31:22, 36:7, 62:4 colleagues [13] -61:4, 62:1, 68:7, 68:8, 68:20, 69:15, 69:17, 69:18, 89:9, 91:6, 93:14, 108:6 College [12] -12:18, 27:6, 27:7, 55:12, 70:3, 76:20, 114:7, 114:15, 115:11, 117:9, 117:14, 119:15 college [1] - 13:9 comfortable [2] -35:14, 65:19 Comm [1] -127:25 commas [1] -108:7 comment [8] -64:18, 85:16, 95:6, 100:2, 100:9, 100:11, 101:17, 102:21 commented [1] -94:19 commenting [4] -102:17, 103:3, 104:18, 104:22 comments [1] -107:6 Commission [1] -125:21 committed [1] -121:4

communicating [2] - 24:24, 25:5 communication [1] - 61:23 communication s [2] - 29:13, 62:6 compared [1] -42.1 competent [1] -98:3 complaints [4] -56:3, 56:6, 56:8, 56:9 complicit [3] -103:12, 104:13, 104:14 Complicit [1] -104:14 comprises [1] -26:9 computer [5] -50:25, 51:1, 51:2, 51:7, 95:8 computerized [1] - 1:20 conception [1] -46.2 concern [3] -38:6, 69:24, 69:25 concerned [4] -44:19, 49:10, 49:12, 61:2 concerns [9] -35:9, 38:8, 48:9, 48:11, 48:13, 61:3, 61:10, 106:2, 106:11 concluded [1] -123:11 concrete [2] -120:14, 120:22 condemn [8] -48:6, 48:8, 48:11, 114:8, 114:15, 115:11, 117:1, 119:16 condemnation [3] - 109:10, 109:23, 110:13 condemned [2] -46:20, 46:21 condemning [4] -41:18, 43:14, 47:8, 58:21 condition [1] - 9:6 conducting [1] -

16:9, 28:8 confirm [4] - 92:8, 98:20, 99:1, 105:14 confirmed [2] -97:21, 105:16 conflating [1] -41:5 confusing [1] -71:1 Confusingly [1] -98:8 confusion [1] -66:23 congratulations [1] - 15:21 consequence [1] - 82.4 consequences [4] - 79:19, 79:22, 79:25, 81:25 Conservatory's [1] - 23:24 consider [2] -101:1, 107:17 consideration [1] - 125:14 consistent [1] -67:13 contained [1] -108:11 content [1] -53:20 contents [3] -38:7, 38:8, 39:20 continued [1] -13:13 continues [2] -54:15, 112:14 continuous [1] -13:12 contract [2] -25:18, 25:22 contributions [2] - 96:1, 96:4 controversial [7] - 40:20, 43:19, 44:5, 44:13, 64:15, 65:1, 65:5 controversy [11] -26:4, 26:6, 28:13, 28:22, 32:13, 37:4, 37:6, 37:7,

37:15, 39:21,

convenient [1] -

53:2

common [1] -

communicated

[1] - 71:13

7:13

conference [2] -

69:20

clearly [1] - 10:12

click [1] - 96:17

96:21, 97:24,

98:13, 99:2,

clicked [5] -

Court [10] - 5:7, 9:12, 9:14, 10:4, 17:19, 30:10, 66:6, 82:13,
87:15, 111:16
court [2] - 10:7, 66:8
COURT [2] - 1:1, 126:1
Courts [1] - 100:18
create [1] - 9:14 creating [1] -
39:21
Crest [1] - 127:22 crises [1] - 104:3
critical [1] - 96:6 Critical [1] - 15:12
critically [1] - 118:2
criticism [1] -
64:12 criticisms [3] -
37:20, 37:24, 38:1
CSR [1] - 127:20 CT [1] - 2:4
cultural [3] -
101:20, 102:11, 103:11
culture [2] - 103:11, 118:3
current [1] - 65:13
curriculum [1] - 12:10
cut [3] - 85:6,
101:24, 102:8 cuts [1] - 53:24
CV [2] - 20:6, 22:6
D

D

Dani [6] - 53:11,
54:9, 54:11,
54:14, 54:19,
54:21
Daphne [1] - 64:8
DATE [2] - 5:3,
124:3
Date [1] - 127:20
date [2] - 28:18,
59:11
dated [1] - 95:2
dates [1] - 30:4
DAYS [1] - 5:13
days [4] - 5:14,
5:15, 68:13,
68:16
dealing [1] - 39:4
dealt [9] - 38:10,

39:6, 39:9, 39:15, 39:23, 40:8, 40:19, 49:17, 60:18 Dealt [1] - 39:17 dean [2] - 84:18, 85:16 Dean [15] - 71:14, 71:19, 71:23, 76:6, 76:19, 76:21, 76:23, 77:2, 77:3, 78:6, 78:9, 79:15, 82:20. 83:6. 84.8 deans [1] - 84:15 Dear [2] - 53:15, 74:16 December [1] -127:1 dedicated [2] -17:25, 112:1 deeply [1] -103:10 defamation [1] -81:16 Defendants [4] -1:7, 7:1, 126:7, 127:10 **DEFENDANTS** [1] - 2:7 defendants [1] -126:25 define [1] - 112:6 definitely [3] -22:8, 64:9, 77:16 degree [7] -12:14, 12:16, 12:21, 13:19, 15:5, 15:6 degrees [4] -12:18, 12:25, 13:2, 13:6 Delaware [2] -17:6, 17:9 demands [1] -99:21 denounce [4] -107:22, 108:2, 109:15, 109:17 denouncing [1] -107:17 Denton [4] - 1:23, 2:15, 14:14, 53:3 department [10] -30:15, 45:10, 54:15, 55:2,

57:8, 88:22, deposition [18] -7:2, 7:8, 7:11, 7:13, 8:13, 8:16, 11:6, 12:1, 44:18, 47:5, 47:13, 60:17, 72:24, 125:3, 126:21, 126:23, 127:3, 127:6 **DEPOSITION** [5] -1:9, 1:14, 5:2, 5:5, 126:11 Deposition [9] -7:5, 53:10, 65:23, 72:2, 78:3, 82:15, 86:11, 90:12, 97:3 Deposition [1] - 4:3 depositions [1] -67:12 **Deputy** [1] - 7:3 describe [5] -14:8, 17:20, 18:17, 33:17, 55:11 described [1] -14.8 description [1] -125:12 **DESCRIPTION** [1] - 4:2 despite [3] - 10:1, 47:12, 48:24 detail [6] - 32:1, 33:17, 35:4, 38:4, 65:18, 98:25 details [3] - 29:9, 36:14, 56:6 develop [1] -59.14 developed [1] -32:14 different [9] -18:22, 21:24, 50:24, 69:6, 79:13, 107:11, 107:21, 109:21 Different [1] -58:4 difficulty [1] -87:11 digital [1] - 22:18 direct [1] - 99:16 Direct [1] - 3:7

118:6 directly [1] -24:25 disagree [1] -119:10 disappear [1] -97:10 disappeared [1] -97:7 discipline [1] -118:11 disciplined [2] -48:16, 49:5 disciplines [1] -78:12 disclosed [1] -90:20 discourse [8] -111:1, 111:12, 112:14, 112:25, 113:3, 113:5, 113:7, 113:16 discourses [1] -64:11 discover [1] -9:15 discovery [2] -91:23, 92:20 discrimination [1] - 103:25 discussed [5] -11:8, 60:16, 88:24, 98:21, 116:11 discussion [1] -115:25 discussions [3] -121:15, 121:18, 122:8 dismay [3] -107:18, 107:23, 108:3 dismayed [2] -95:11, 106:24 disposed [3] -47:15, 48:1, 48:5 dissolve [2] -117:18, 117:20 distinction [1] -12:19 distortion [1] -85:1 District [2] - 66:6 DISTRICT [4] -1:1, 1:1, 126:1, 126:1 diversity [18] -55:1, 55:22,

56:9, 56:13,

56:19, 57:3, 57:4, 57:5, 57:11, 57:19, 57:20, 57:24, 58:4, 58:6, 58:10, 58:14, 59:17, 59:23 Diversity [1] -57:10 division [9] -18:20, 18:21, 46:24, 71:20, 71:23, 86:24, 87:25, 88:22, 89:4 DIVISION [2] -1:2, 126:2 Division [1] - 2:9 divisions 131 -101:19, 102:10, 103:13 document [28] -7:25, 8:3, 8:7, 8:19, 47:13, 47:18, 48:20, 48:21, 48:25, 53:18, 68:18, 88:5, 88:8, 92:6, 93:11, 94:20, 95:5, 96:16, 96:22, 96:24, 97:20, 97:22, 98:24, 99:1, 105:12, 108:1, 108:16, 125:12 documents [9] -7:9, 11:12, 11:15, 11:20, 67:3, 91:1, 91:24, 92:1, 122:8 done [4] - 11:6, 19:20, 122:13, 122:18 double [3] - 21:3, 70:21, 104:7 double-blind [1] -21:3 Dowd [1] - 6:10 down [10] - 8:4, 8:11, 16:4, 46:8, 72:19, 73:2, 91:16, 98:23, 99:5, 116:15 download [1] -97:1 Dr [8] - 6:12, 7:2, 7:6, 49:15, 81:10, 118:13, 120:23, 121:3

direction [1] -

55:25, 56:16,

draft [26] - 90:21,
91:5, 92:5,
93:13, 94:1,
94:2, 94:5,
95:11, 97:22, 98:13, 100:10,
104:16, 104:22,
104:10, 104:22,
106:17, 107:2,
107:4, 107:7,
107:10, 109:13,
109:18, 110:6
Droft (4) 4:16
Draft [1] - 4:16
drafted [1] - 50:12
Drafts [1] - 4:15
drafts [13] - 50:18,
50:23, 50:24,
68:12, 88:13,
90:17, 91:22, 94:10, 94:12,
104:22, 105:19,
105:22, 105:25
drawing [1] - 22:3
Drive [1] - 127:22
drive [1] - 51:3
drop [3] - 53:8,
78:1, 82:18
dropped [1] -
100:1
drove [1] - 14:12
due [3] - 101:4,
101:6, 101:10
Duke [9] - 12:22,
12:23, 12:25,
13:15, 13:22,
14:10, 14:11,
33:21, 34:20
duly [4] - 1:16,
6:5, 126:20,
126:23
dump [1] - 7:19
DuPont [1] -
17:22
during [1] - 71:21
duties [1] - 15:2
_

Ε

E-mail [3] - 2:5, 2:11, 2:16 e-mail [1] - 72:4 early [1] - 37:3 earned [2] -12:21, 13:8 EASTERN [2] -1:1, 126:1 Eastern [1] - 66:6 **EBT** [1] - 16:6 edited [4] - 18:6, 20:13, 20:14,

editor [3] - 22:9, 24:24, 31:14 editor's [2] -24:10, 24:19 editorial [7] -19:20. 19:22. 73:15, 114:17, 115:13, 117:4, 119:18 editors [5] -19:23, 23:4, 24:17, 24:24, 25:6 edits [1] - 30:25 education [1] -12:8 educational [3] -12:12, 12:13, 14:8 effort [1] - 50:13 egregiously [1] -35:19 Eighteenth [2] -23:21, 65:12 Eighteenth -Century [2] -23:21, 65:12 Either [1] - 31:2 either [7] - 31:6, 31:16, 61:4, 89:23, 92:13, 120:23, 121:4 elements [1] -101:10 elevator [1] - 60:1 Ellen [4] - 73:4, 73:10, 74:16, 75:17 Email [3] - 4:7, 4:9, 4:13 email [30] - 52:25, 53:11, 53:21, 54:1, 54:8, 54:9, 54:16, 61:5, 72:5, 72:8, 72:10, 72:15, 73:8, 74:8, 74:10, 75:19, 75:22, 75:25, 76:7, 77:22, 77:25, 78:21, 79:12, 82:18, 82:21, 83:1, 88:20, 90:23, 91:1, 92:7 Emails [2] - 4:4, 4:11 emails [4] - 51:5,

54:6, 75:17,

75:18 embarrassed [2] -56:5, 76:22 embedded [8] -88:24, 89:2, 96:21, 98:8, 98:13, 99:3, 105:5 embedding [2] -106:14, 106:15 embeds [1] -120:8 employed [1] -127:13 employee [5] -70:17, 70:24, 71:7, 75:7 employees [1] -75:13 end [7] - 13:13, 37:14, 37:21, 39:14, 62:7, 66:17, 68:8 ended [2] - 33:20, 89:14 Ending [1] - 4:7 endorse [12] -113:19, 114:3, 114:13, 115:10, 115:23, 116:16, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23, 119:3, 119:19, 119:22 endorsed [1] -115:7 endorsement [7] - 115:1, 115:4, 115:16, 116:2, 121:18, 122:1, 122:10 endorses [4] -118:21, 119:1, 119:5, 119:12 endorsing [1] -114:1 Endowment [1] -15:19 endured [1] -95.16 engagement [1] -40:5 enlighten [1] -119:10 entered [1] - 77:5 entire [5] - 52:18, 53:25, 96:20, 115:16, 119:3 entirety [1] - 83:1

103:10 enumerated [1] -117:11 environment [1] -58:19 epi [2] - 110:25, 111:15 Epistemic [1] -110:25 epistemic [9] -111:2, 111:11, 111:15, 112:6, 112:13, 112:15, 112:24, 113:7, 113:15 equally [2] -104:1, 104:2 especially [1] -113:1 et [3] - 1:6, 4:8, 126:6 etc [2] - 118:3 **Ethnomusicolog y** [2] - 18:21, 46:24 Europe [1] - 23:21 European [1] -63:14 evaluation [1] -35.4 events [1] - 44:3 eventual [1] -104:21 eventually [3] -19:24, 93:15, 94.6 evolved [1] - 91:5 Ewell [16] - 27:7, 33:4, 38:9, 38:15, 38:20, 39:23, 40:19, 42:3, 49:15, 51:11, 51:18, 52:15, 52:23, 60:18, 60:19, 107:13 Ewell's [5] -27:15, 27:20, 28:5, 33:8, 52:25 exact [1] - 76:23 exactly [4] -29:18, 49:7, 49:9, 85:11 **EXAMINATION** [1] - 6:6 examination [1] -126:25

examined [2] -90:23. 93:16 examining [1] -118:3 except [2] - 53:20, 125:4 exceptions [1] -9.20 exchange [1] -62:12 exchanged [1] -51:6 exchanges [1] -29:8 exclusively [2] -119:25, 120:4 excuse [4] -26:18, 93:1, 99:3, 104:1 executed [1] -125:13 exercised [1] -32:21 exhibit [12] - 3:4, 7:7, 7:14, 7:18, 53:6, 67:6, 67:11, 85:24, 86:18, 98:7, 98:16, 122:15 Exhibit [61] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:5, 4:7, 4:9, 4:11, 4:13, 4:15, 4:16, 7:5, 53:7, 53:10, 54:1, 61:24, 65:23, 65:25, 66:4, 66:21, 66:22, 67:7, 67:16, 67:18, 72:2, 72:4, 73:23, 77:25, 78:1, 78:3, 78:22, 79:2, 82:13, 82:15, 82:17, 86:9, 86:11, 88:24, 89:2, 90:12, 90:14, 90:24, 91:9, 91:21, 93:16, 96:25, 97:2, 97:3, 97:4, 98:8, 98:9, 98:18, 98:21, 98:23, 99:3, 99:4, 99:10, 99:17, 105:15, 116:7 exhibits [5] -67:4, 67:15,

67:17, 98:24,

105:4 EXHIBITS [1] -4:1 exists [1] - 63:24 expect [1] - 34:25 experience [5] -32:9. 42:11. 42:19, 43:4, 121:6 experienced [4] -57:21, 121:6, 121:11, 121:12 expert [1] - 65:19 expertise [1] -65:22 Expiration [1] -127:20 Expires [3] -125:21, 127:24, 127:25 explain [7] - 7:12, 11:5, 19:1, 28:21, 38:22, 58:11, 111:16 Explain [1] - 68:4 explaining [1] -39:20 explains [1] -90:22 explicitly [1] -78:13 explore [1] - 91:4 expressed [5] -48:9, 106:2, 106:11, 121:17, 125:14 expressing [2] -107:22, 108:2 extend [1] - 118:9 extent [3] - 29:21, 61:5, 105:12

F

fact [2] - 9:10, 117:21 Faculty [10] - 4:9, 4:15, 4:17, 67:20, 78:2, 86:18, 86:22, 87:23, 88:12, 89:11 faculty [42] -11:17, 11:19, 50:6, 50:16, 66:19, 68:5, 73:17, 73:20, 74:1, 74:5, 74:19, 74:22, 78:11, 79:20,

Examination [1] -

entitled [1] - 11:9

entrenched [1] -

39:16, 40:7,

41:4, 41:23,

80:3, 82:2,
86:12, 88:13,
89:2, 89:8,
92:23, 93:4,
93:11, 93:15,
93:17, 94:6,
98:13, 105:19,
106:23, 109:9,
110:12, 113:25,
116:24, 117:7,
118:10, 118:12,
118:21, 119:12,
121:17, 121:19,
122:9
failed [1] - 118:8
failures [4] -
114:18, 115:14,
117:5, 119:19
fall [8] - 15:3,
37:3, 55:1,
55:23, 56:20,
57:3, 57:5,
57:22
false [2] - 81:18,
81:23
family's [1] -
17:23
famous [1] -
116:7
far [2] - 24:23,
119:14
fast [2] - 21:21,
98:2
fast-forward [1] -
21:21
Fax [3] - 2:5, 2:10,
2:15
February [1] -
27:8
Federal [2] - 1:23,
5:8
fellowship [5] -
15:16, 15:18,
16:17, 17:12,
17:14
fellowships [1] -
15:24
felt [1] - 80:21
few [2] - 9:20,
32:17
field [5] - 31:10,
35:1, 41:2,
42:13, 42:18
fields [1] - 42:15
fight [1] - 108:7
figure [2] - 56:25,
111:10
file [6] - 88:10,
88:11, 90:20,
91:23, 92:9,

92:11 final [6] - 25:18, 88:6, 88:13, 89:14, 93:3, 121:16 finalized [4] -86:22, 87:23, 88:4, 94:6 financially [1] -127:15 fine [10] - 6:15, 23:9, 35:16, 41:8, 43:1, 63:10, 89:25, 92:13, 101:12, 102:6 finish [2] - 119:7, 119:9 finished [2] -30:5, 68:12 fired [2] - 81:5, 81:10 firm [2] - 14:4, 74:4 Firm [1] - 127:24 first [13] - 6:5, 7:10, 22:15, 23:24, 31:19, 66:7, 82:21, 91:9, 92:16, 94:1, 101:24, 105:16, 110:5 five [4] - 21:6, 21:7, 60:3, 122:20 Five [1] - 19:3 focused [1] - 46:3 follow [1] - 70:8 follow-up [1] -70:8 following [3] -117:15, 126:18, 127.4 follows [3] - 6:5, 101:18, 114:14 font [1] - 107:11 FOR [6] - 1:1, 2:2, 2:7, 5:13, 125:19, 126:1 foregoing [2] -125:3, 125:13 forgetting [1] -22:5 form [85] - 31:24, 32:5, 32:23, 34:6, 35:2, 35:21, 37:2, 37:5, 37:14,

37:23, 38:14,

39:4, 39:11,

43:5, 43:15, 43:21, 46:6, 47:16, 48:4, 48:12, 49:11, 49:23, 52:24, 56:21, 57:23, 58:24, 59:20, 60:8, 60:22, 61:7, 61:17, 61:25, 62:10, 64:17, 64:22, 65:3, 65:7, 65:11, 68:6, 69:4, 69:11, 69:16, 69:21, 70:11, 70:18, 71:9, 73:24, 75:15, 82:3, 82:7, 83:13, 83:18, 84:5, 84:10, 86:25, 88:7, 88:15, 88:23, 89:10, 93:5, 93:20, 94:8, 103:22, 104:24, 107:18, 107:19, 107:24, 108:14, 109:16, 109:25, 110:19, 111:13, 111:17, 111:24, 112:9, 116:13, 116:25, 119:20, 120:3, 120:20, 121:20 formal [2] - 9:13, 10:3 forms [3] - 63:14, 65:16 formulate [1] -71:1 formulated [2] -91:5, 93:17 formulating [1] -50:16 formulation [1] -121:16 forth [6] - 23:3, 24:16, 50:18, 50:22, 61:6, 100:1 forward [1] -21:21 forwarded [2] -29:10, 53:21 foundational [2] -64:11, 64:23 four [6] - 32:10,

88:13, 90:16, 91:22 fourth [1] - 110:11 frame [2] - 40:21, 68:9 Frank [5] - 74:9, 75:1. 75:4. 75:17 free [1] - 7:21 freely [4] - 114:16, 115:12, 117:2, 119:16 French [5] -12:20, 13:20, 63:16, 63:18, 63:20 Friday [2] - 59:6, 114:23 Friedson [1] -88:19 friend [1] - 31:21 friends [1] - 61:4 front [3] - 52:7, 100:25, 113:11 Fulbright [1] -16:14 full [7] - 6:9, 14:17, 24:9, 114:17, 115:13, 117:4, 119:17 funding [1] -16:18 funneled [1] -24:20 funny [1] - 22:22 fuzzy [1] - 23:2

G

GAMuT [3] - 55:7,

59:13, 61:24 **GAMUT** [1] - 55:7 GAMuT's [1] -54:22 Gateway [1] -1:22 gathered [1] -26.2 gathering [1] -115:1 General [8] - 2:8, 2:9, 2:14, 6:25, 7:4, 67:19, 71:14, 71:16 general [6] - 19:1, 35:6, 46:8, 46:9, 63:21, 113:4 General's [1] - 7:1 generally [1] -64:5

generate [1] -68:11 generated [1] -74:5 generating [2] -68:4, 116:1 genuinely [2] -42:21, 102:23 GEOFFREY [1] -125:2 **GEOFFREY-**SCHWINDEN [1] - 125:2 GEOFFROY [10] -1:10, 1:14, 3:6, 5:2, 6:4, 124:2, 125:6, 125:10, 126:11, 126:19 Geoffroy [18] -4:8, 4:13, 6:8, 6:10, 6:12, 6:13, 7:2, 7:6, 72:7, 81:10, 82:19, 86:3, 86:13, 90:3, 90:16, 94:23, 105:19, 122:18 Geoffroy's [1] -70:13 **GEOFFROY-**SCHWINDEN [9] - 1:10, 3:6, 5:2, 6:4, 124:2, 125:6, 125:10, 126:11, 126:19 Geoffroy-Schwinden [18] - 4:8, 4:13, 6:8, 6:10, 6:12, 6:13,

7:2, 7:6, 72:7, 81:10, 82:19, 86:3, 86:13, 90:3, 90:16, 94:23, 105:19, 122:18 **Gillian** [2] - 77:13, 79:8 **Given** [1] - 125:15

given [2] - 126:21, 127:2 gobbledygook [1] - 66:4 Google [1] - 51:3

gosh [1] - 32:11 grad [5] - 11:18, 23:2, 56:2, 64:5, 97:12 Grad [1] - 4:4

Grad [1] - 4:4 Graduate [1] -55:8

graduate [23] -12:23. 13:3. 13:22, 15:25, 46:23, 47:24, 48:8, 54:14, 54:23, 55:11, 59:8, 61:23, 96:12, 99:19, 99:21, 106:2, 106:10, 108:20, 109:10, 109:20, 109:24, 110:13, 113:18 graduated [3] -12:17, 13:11, 14:11 graduating [1] -23:1 graduation [1] -14:10 Grammatically [1] - 115:17 grammatically [2] - 115:18, 119:24 grant [3] - 16:14, 16:21, 16:23 granted [4] -14:24, 15:18, 15:23, 16:12 grants [1] - 16:6 great [3] - 15:1, 90:7, 102:7 green [1] - 58:5 group [5] - 50:13, 50:14, 73:12, 116:1, 116:2 Group [1] - 2:22 guess [17] -18:16, 24:20, 28:5, 30:23, 33:5, 38:21, 50:19, 56:11, 58:4, 68:10, 68:16, 76:17, 77:12, 83:24, 97:9, 103:14, 111:14 guidelines [1] -73:11 gunpowder [1] -17:23

Н

guys [1] - 83:12

Hagley [7] -15:20, 17:3, 17:11, 17:12, 17:17, 17:19, 17:21

35:15, 62:13,

hand [4] - 72:21,
100:3, 100:4,
125:15
handbook [1] -
77:14 Handbook [1] -
24:13
handbooks [1] -
79:13
Handbooks [1] - 25:1
hangs [1] -
111:19
hardest [1] - 22:16
he/she [1] -
125:13
head [7] - 71:20,
71:23, 78:19, 86:24, 87:25,
88:22, 89:4
header [1] - 98:18
heads [1] - 10:6
hear [4] - 85:2, 87:3, 87:18
heard [2] - 27:9,
30:22
hearing [2] - 5:20,
61:3 heart [1] - 58:13
heed [1] - 118:8
Heidlberger [1] -
74:10
held [3] - 111:5, 111:6, 114:19
help [6] - 30:2,
32:15, 32:18,
43:25, 75:10,
95:4 helpful [1] - 62:14
Henry [1] - 76:19
hereby [2] -
125:3, 126:17
hereto [1] - 1:25 highlighted [1] -
103:23
highlighting [1] -
108:15
Hill [1] - 2:4 hip [5] - 63:22,
64:4, 64:13,
64:21, 65:2
hip-hop [5] -
63:22, 64:4, 64:13, 64:21,
65:2
historian [13] -
18:16, 18:18,
18:25, 19:9, 26:13, 38:18,

```
63:16, 63:20,
 63:22, 65:9
historical [3] -
 22:19, 101:20,
 102:11
history [17] -
 12:19, 12:24,
 13:4, 13:5,
 13:19, 14:13,
 16:9, 18:1,
 18:22, 29:3,
 31:12, 43:3,
 64:4. 64:15.
 65:1, 65:5,
 103:11
History [2] -
 18:20, 46:23
hoc [1] - 89:21
Hoc [16] - 4:5,
 66:2, 66:9,
 66:13, 67:3,
 67:17, 67:18,
 73:21, 74:6,
 88:24, 89:3,
 89:14, 89:16,
 98:7, 98:9,
 98:20
hold [5] - 53:24,
 100:6, 100:14,
 100:19, 100:23
Hold [1] - 118:5
honest [2] -
 83:23, 92:18
honestly [2] -
 30:17, 94:10
Honors [1] -
 12:18
hop [5] - 63:22,
 64:4, 64:13,
 64:21, 65:2
hope [2] - 55:3,
 94:5
hoped [1] - 55:23
hosted [1] - 57:8
hour [1] - 85:20
hours [1] - 11:11
HRS [2] - 127:7,
 127:9
hum [45] - 10:6,
 14:17, 17:1,
 17:18, 18:2,
 19:4, 21:25,
 23:5, 23:22,
 23:25, 24:11,
 28:17, 29:15,
 33:6, 36:8,
 36:12, 38:11,
 41:1, 49:16,
 50:2, 50:14,
```

39:5, 63:13,

51:13, 57:9, 57:15, 57:17, 58:6, 58:20, 60:4, 60:11, 65:20, 65:21, 68:17, 69:18, 70:1, 71:22, 74:12, 77:16, 82:24, 83:22, 91:3, 97:14, 108:10, 116:22, 117:3, 120:13 hum-um [1] -65:20 Humanities [1] -15:19 hundred [1] -15:15 hung [2] - 112:17, 112:23 Hunter [2] - 27:6, 27:7 husband [1] -33:1

ı idea [19] - 31:17, 42:5, 44:7, 45:4, 45:5, 45:12, 51:20, 52:25, 60:19, 64:18, 75:4, 80:20, 111:5, 111:6, 111:19, 111:21, 112:17, 112:22, 117:25 identify [6] -42:25, 90:24, 113:9, 120:14, 120:22, 121:3 identifying [1] -109:22 identity [2] -21:13, 125:12 ignorance [1] -31:10 illness [1] - 9:5 impedes [2] -104:1, 104:2 implies [1] - 69:18 important [1] -100:3 impression [3] -34:14, 37:2, 38:17

imputing [1] -

IN [1] - 125:19

inasmuch [1] -

31:9

33:19 **INC** [1] - 127:22 incidentally [1] -23:11 included [4] -49:6, 57:13, 58:23. 76:13 includes [1] -127:4 including [2] -12:15, 15:25 inclusion [13] -55:1, 55:23, 56:10, 56:19, 57:3, 57:5, 57:10, 57:11, 57:19, 58:7, 58:14, 59:18, 59:23 incorporate [2] -81:7, 110:8 incorporating [1] - 81·4 incorrect [1] -75:3 indeed [1] - 67:18 Indeed [2] -54:25, 57:2 independently [1] - 105:16 index.....

10:15[1] - 3:4 interrupting [1] indicate [1] -30:7 106:5 intervening [1] -Individual [5] -13:23 86:18, 86:22, interviewing [1] -87:23, 88:12, 34:20 89:11 introduce [9] individual [5] -7:6, 7:10, 8:19, 54:19, 70:10, 65:24, 72:3, 74:17, 78:11, 77:24, 82:13, 86:12 82:16, 85:23 individually [1] introduced [4] -22:10 66:8, 73:22, individuals [3] -91:22, 105:15 54:10, 75:12, introducing [2] -76:10 67:6, 105:4 inequality [1] investigated [3] -103:25 81:12, 81:14, inevitable [1] -82:8 9:11 Investigated [1] information [2] -50:5 24:21, 127:2 investigating [1] inherently [1] -118:9 111:7 investigation [2] initiative [1] -82:5, 101:8 43:2 invited [1] - 51:19

1:15, 62:3 issue [36] - 32:3, instead [1] -38:25, 40:2, 113:17 46:22, 48:6, 48:10, 48:14, institution [2] -49:13, 52:15, 74:18, 75:13 54:24, 55:19, institutional [2] -60:15, 60:25, 76:4. 104:1 62:24, 95:16, instruct [1] - 9:23 101:19, 102:10, instrument [1] -102:24, 103:2, 125:13 103:13, 103:14, intended [1] -103:19, 107:14, 118:18 109:19, 112:2, intention [2] -112:3, 112:4, 108:7, 108:9 112:7, 112:14, interested [2] -112:25, 113:10, 63:2, 127:16 113:16, 114:15, interjecting [1] -115:11, 117:1, 121:24 119:16 interlude [1] issued [1] -90.2 109:23 intermediate [1] issues [5] - 40:4, 93:3 60:16, 61:1, internal [1] -76:4, 77:2 16:19 itself [2] - 18:21, international [2] -105:13 12:19, 13:19 internet [1] - 85:5 interpreting [1] -104:11 interrupt [1] -

J JACKSON [3] -1:3, 117:12, 126:3 Jackson [29] -2:19, 6:22, 6:23, 36:7, 40:14, 45:8, 45:13, 45:22, 46:3, 47:8, 47:15, 48:1, 48:15, 49:4, 49:21, 49:25, 50:7, 50:9, 58:21, 61:15, 62:8, 80:9, 81:9, 95:21, 95:24, 118:13, 120:15, 120:23, 121:4 Jackson's [3] -46:14, 46:25, 80:11 JACKSON 000208 [1] - 4:6 Jason [1] - 2:21 jazz [4] - 63:22, 64:4. 64:16. 64:19 jog [1] - 32:18 John [6] - 76:5, 77:8, 82:20, 84:8. 84:22

innovation [1] -

instance [2] -

17:25

involve [1] - 118:7

involved [1] -

60:16

53:20, 54:8,

55:1, 55:23,

56:19, 57:3,

57:5, 87:16,

88:12, 92:6,

109:3, 109:4,

90:12, 97:3,

MARKED [1] - 4:2

97:22

Journal [63] - 4:9,
4:11, 11:17,
26:4, 26:10,
26:15, 26:20,
27:18, 28:14,
30:9, 30:11,
31:20, 32:4,
32:20, 33:14,
35:10, 37:8,
37:16, 37:21,
38:1, 38:19,
39:6, 39:9,
39:14, 40:5,
41:11, 41:19,
43:19, 45:16,
45:23, 46:4,
46:25, 48:2,
51:11, 51:19,
52:19, 53:3,
54:24, 55:20,
58:21, 60:15,
62:6, 62:22,
63:7, 66:3,
66:14, 66:19,
67:20, 78:2,
82:19, 82:22,
86:23, 87:24,
95:17, 95:21,
107:14, 108:11,
109:11, 110:14,
111:6, 111:21,
113:8, 117:23
journal [47] - 19:6,
19:12, 19:13,
19:21, 27:12,
30:19, 33:23,
34:5, 35:1,
35:13, 35:24,
44:25, 46:21,
47:3, 48:6, 48:8,
48:9, 48:11,
48:14, 49:7,
49:13, 49:22,
50:1, 50:3, 50:4,
50:8, 50:10,
50:11, 55:17,
62:23, 62:24,
70:3, 80:5, 80:6,
110:25, 111:11,
111:25, 112:2,
112:4, 112:7,
112:13, 112:25,
113:2, 113:10,
113:15, 117:18,
117:20
journals [4] -
19:3, 31:12,
31:13, 41:15
JSS [3] - 4:4,
73:14, 118:12
i de la companya de

JULIA [1] - 127:22 July [28] - 14:12, 26:21, 29:25, 30:1, 30:3, 32:11, 37:11, 37:12, 37:14, 37:22, 38:2, 39:9, 39:14, 39:21, 40:20, 54:9, 57:1, 62:7, 68:9, 75:25, 78:7, 82:18, 83:7, 84:9, 85:14, 86:13, 95:2, 117:24 June [1] - 14:16 jury [1] - 17:18 just.. [1] - 41:7

K

keep [4] - 10:7, 67:9, 67:12, 99:6 Kim [2] - 1:19, 127:20 KIM [1] - 126:16 kind [15] - 19:6, 20:1, 22:3, 22:7, 43:2, 43:23, 50:23, 56:1, 57:18, 65:19, 82:4, 85:16, 89:19, 100:7, 104:11 kinds [2] - 58:4, 104:3 knowledge [10] -8:24, 41:2, 45:21, 46:19, 63:21, 65:15, 75:5, 99:14, 111:4, 111:20 known [4] - 43:18, 44:13, 45:3, 125:11 Kohanski [2] -53:12, 54:16

L

lack [3] - 22:17, 27:20, 29:17 language [4] -76:13, 76:16, 78:20, 79:9 last [21] - 11:2, 12:14, 15:10, 15:11, 16:17, 24:11, 25:19,

116:6, 120:12 late [4] - 29:25, 30:3, 37:3, 114:23 LAURA [2] - 1:6, 126:6 law [3] - 14:4, 77:5, 101:11 **LAW** [1] - 2:3 lawfirm.com [1] -2:5 lawsuit [1] - 26:3 lawyer [1] - 14:1 leadership [2] -54:22, 55:14 learn [3] - 28:10, 28:12, 55:22 learned [6] -28:15, 28:19, 28:21, 31:19, 54:25, 57:2 least [8] - 41:17, 60:14, 68:13, 89:8, 89:13, 99:13, 106:15, 112:16 leave [5] - 15:11, 15:13, 16:22, 16:23 lecturer [2] - 29:2, 29:3 led [1] - 121:15 left [1] - 33:21 legal [3] - 2:22, 13:25, 100:25 length [1] -121:22 less [2] - 34:2, 71:1 Letter [1] - 73:11 letter [26] - 73:17, 73:20, 74:1, 74:5, 74:19, 76:7, 109:10, 109:23, 110:4, 110:13, 113:20, 113:23, 114:2, 114:14, 115:2, 115:5, 115:7, 116:17, 116:20, 119:2, 119:13, 119:19, 120:8, 122:2, 122:9, 122:11

56:23. 65:18 leveled [1] - 38:1 library [3] - 17:24, 18:1, 23:24 **Library** [1] - 15:20 lies [5] - 110:25, 111:11, 112:14, 112:25, 113:16 light [1] - 43:17 likely [1] - 12:9 Likewise [2] -10:3, 10:11 limit [2] - 115:4, 116:2 limitations [1] -122:8 limited [1] - 122:2 limiting [3] -120:1, 121:18, 121:21 **limits** [2] - 115:1, 115:16 Lincoln [1] -36:11 line [2] - 53:12, 53:23 Liner [1] - 64:8 link [12] - 105:5, 105:13, 105:16, 106:6, 106:8, 106:14, 106:17, 107:7, 108:22, 113:22, 120:9, 120:10 linked [8] - 11:19, 96:16, 97:22, 99:2, 99:9, 105:14, 105:21, 116:20 Linked [1] - 4:16

linking [1] - 99:19

list [4] - 16:5,

109:6

64:10

21:6, 109:5,

listed [1] - 21:6

listen [1] - 27:15

literature [3] -

Live [1] - 2:13

LLC [1] - 2:3

local [1] - 53:1

look [16] - 14:3,

47:17, 48:17,

22:6, 47:9,

location [1] -

17:22

lived [1] - 17:17

40:10.64:3.

Litigation [1] - 2:9

level [3] - 25:20,

48:20, 54:2, 72:13, 83:2, 83:4, 91:9, 95:10, 99:8, 99:15, 109:6, 122:14 looked [8] -33:19, 33:21, 33:23, 35:5, 36:10, 36:19, 78:21, 83:1 looking [1] - 99:6 looks [11] - 53:23, 54:18. 74:9. 79:3, 83:8, 97:12, 103:14, 108:17, 112:1 loose [3] - 7:12, 8:22, 55:10 loosely [2] - 55:10 lucky [1] - 100:20 lvg.dallas@ gmail.com [1] -2:22

M

m.allen@allen [1] - 2:5 m.allen@allenlawfirm.com [1] - 2:5 ma'am [1] - 80:10 machine [1] -1.21 Madam [2] -82:13, 87:15 magazine [1] -20:2 magical [1] - 97:9 mail [4] - 2:5, 2:11, 2:16, 72:4 mainline [1] -33:25 maintained [1] -27:12 majority [1] -41:21 managed [2] -24:17, 24:19 mandatory [1] -108:24 mark [5] - 53:7, 86:9, 90:13, 96:25, 97:1 marked [12] - 7:5, 53:10, 65:23, 66:5, 67:18, 72:2, 78:3, 82:15, 86:11,

Mary [8] - 2:8, 6:24, 87:7, 90:3, 98:3, 122:13, 126:24, 127:9 Mary.Quimby @ oag.texas.gov [1] - 2:11 Master [1] - 12:21 master's [2] -13:12, 13:16 matter [1] - 7:2 mean [76] - 14:3, 19:7, 19:22, 20:12, 20:21, 20:23, 20:24, 21:12, 21:15, 22:1, 25:2, 27:22, 29:20, 29:21, 30:4, 31:1, 31:25, 32:6, 32:9, 34:7, 34:19, 35:3, 35:5, 37:9, 37:18, 38:22, 42:20, 45:11, 45:20, 45:25, 47:22, 48:6, 48:13, 55:16, 56:11, 56:12, 57:4, 57:11, 57:21, 58:4, 58:25, 60:3, 61:12, 62:23, 62:24, 63:24, 64:23, 65:20, 69:5, 69:20, 69:22, 71:16, 71:21, 72:1, 75:16, 81:13, 84:12, 85:15, 89:16, 94:9, 100:13, 102:15, 102:24, 103:2, 103:18, 103:20, 104:10, 104:14, 111:2, 111:12, 111:25, 113:5, 114:2, 120:21 meaning [1] -89:1 means [6] - 58:10, 85:17, 103:9, 111:11, 111:14, 112:17 meant [12] - 9:14,

51:14, 57:24,

59:10, 85:9,

85:12, 93:22, 93:23, 102:18, 112:15, 113:12 media [3] - 32:25, 61:4, 93:11 medications [1] -9:2 meeting [3] -83:6, 83:9, 84:9 member [1] -26:24 members [5] -41:22, 78:12, 106:24, 109:9, 110:12 memorable [1] -59:18 memory [14] - 9:3, 30:2, 32:18, 34:5, 68:13, 74:4, 74:8, 75:10, 75:16, 77:10, 77:17, 84:22, 84:24 mental [1] - 9:6 mention [2] -80:9, 110:3 mentioned [2] -39:3, 50:1 message [3] -28:23, 29:7, messages [1] -61:25 met [3] - 11:7, 11:10, 34:19 MHTE [9] - 30:20, 54:23, 68:20, 86:12, 86:18, 86:22, 87:23, 88:12, 89:11 Michael [3] - 2:3, 6:21, 127:7 microaggressio **ns** [1] - 60:7 mid [1] - 63:19 mid-Atlantic [1] -63:19 might [5] - 15:25, 23:16, 32:15, 41:5, 62:3 milestones [1] -12:12 mill [1] - 17:23 MIN [2] - 127:7, 127:9 mind [2] - 51:22, 122:20 minor [1] - 13:20 minors [1] - 12:20

minutes [1] - 90:5 **MISCELLANEO** US [1] - 5:17 mischaracterizin q [1] - 80:7 missed [1] -122:15 missing [1] - 14:3 misstated [1] -118:24 mistake [1] -98:17 mix [1] - 6:16 moment [1] - 8:9 momentarily [1] -76.9 monograph [1] -25:10 most [2] - 9:24, 50:19 mostly [1] - 18:1 mouth [1] -121:25 mouthful [1] -18:12 move [3] - 52:15, 104:19, 105:1 moved [2] -107:1, 113:25 MR [29] - 6:7, 6:19, 7:3, 51:24, 52:2, 52:6, 53:6, 65:24, 72:3, 77:24, 82:12, 82:16, 85:22, 86:2, 86:7, 87:2, 87:6, 87:10, 87:15, 89:25, 90:6, 90:13, 91:14, 91:16, 98:17, 122:13, 122:21, 123:4, 123:8 MS [83] - 6:24, 31:24, 32:5, 32:23, 34:6, 35:2, 35:21, 37:5, 37:23, 39:11, 39:16, 41:4, 41:23, 43:5, 43:15, 43:21, 46:6, 47:16, 48:4, 48:12, 49:11, 49:23, 52:24, 56:21, 57:23, 58:24, 59:20, 60:8, 60:22,

61:7, 61:17,

62:10, 64:22,

65:11, 68:6, 69:4, 69:11, 69:16, 69:21, 70:11, 70:18, 71:9, 73:24, 75:15, 82:3, 82:7, 83:13, 83:18, 84:5, 84:10, 86:25, 87:5, 87:8, 88:2, 88:7, 88:15, 89:10, 93:5, 93:20, 94:8, 98:15, 101:22, 103:22, 104:24, 107:19, 107:24, 108:14, 109:16, 109:25, 110:19, 111:13, 111:17, 111:24, 112:9, 116:13, 116:25, 119:20, 120:3, 120:20, 121:20, 122:19, 122:24, 123:6 muffled [1] -102:17 Museum [5] -15:20, 17:3, 17:11, 17:19, 17:21 museum [2] -17:24 music [36] -12:20, 13:20, 14:13, 16:9, 18:16, 18:18, 18:25, 19:9, 23:24, 26:13, 26:14, 29:3, 30:15, 30:18, 31:11, 31:12, 35:1, 38:18, 39:5, 42:18, 42:24, 43:3, 63:13, 63:14, 63:16, 63:18, 63:20, 63:21, 64:15, 64:24, 65:1, 65:6, 65:9, 65:12, 103:11 Music [22] -15:12, 18:20, 24:14, 26:25, 27:13, 28:7, 41:16, 41:18, 42:23, 43:13,

114:8, 114:15, 115:11, 117:9, 117:14, 119:16 musical [3] -64:17, 65:2, 65:16 Musical [1] -23:20 Musicological [1] - 43:8 Musicologists [1] - 55:8 Musicology [2] -12:21, 12:22 musicology [4] -13:1, 13:3, 13:12, 31:11 must [2] - 47:19, 114:18 mute [1] - 122:22 Ν

name [14] - 6:9, nice [1] - 30:23 6:21. 6:24. Nick [8] - 33:20, 29:14, 49:6, 33:22, 34:11, 67:23, 80:11, 34:17, 34:24, 80:13, 88:5, 35:6, 35:15, 88:8, 88:10, 35:18 94:22, 95:6, Nick's [4] - 33:23, 125:13 34:22, 35:4, named [2] - 21:7, 35:5 88:11 **NO** [4] - 1:5, 5:4, names [2] - 90:20, 126:5, 127:20 92:11 normal [3] - 10:5, National [1] -10:14, 107:2 15:19 North [25] - 1:21, national [1] - 77:5 1:22, 2:13, 2:14, nature [13] -7:4, 14:14, 15:2, 12:16, 13:7, 16:24, 26:6, 15:24, 16:14, 29:4, 30:19, 18:6, 35:20, 56:13, 56:20, 54:6, 71:15, 57:6, 69:10, 71:24, 71:25, 70:6, 71:4, 72:1, 90:22, 71:12, 75:6, 90:23 75:14, 75:23, navigate [1] -77:11, 82:1, 7.22 117:9, 117:14 necessarily [1] -Notary [1] -110:2 127:25 need [13] - 7:23, NOTARY [1] -10:8, 32:15, 125:19 46:7, 62:11, note [1] - 100:3 72:13, 78:11, noted [1] - 125:4 87:9, 96:18, notes [2] - 59:24, 99:1, 102:16, 122:14 116:6 Notes [1] - 64:8 needs [1] - 74:24 nothing [4] - 9:1, negative [2] -43:6, 43:7, 47:25, 70:21 82:25

negatively [1] -Nothing [1] -47:15 35:18 Negligently [1] notice [3] - 7:11, 48:5 8:13, 8:16 NEH [5] - 16:17, Notice [2] - 4:3, 16:20, 16:23, 5:6 17:12. 17:14 November [4] neighborhood [1] 66:2, 66:13, - 42:7 89:2, 98:19 never [7] - 27:14, NUMBER [2] -33:7, 33:11, 4:2, 5:13 number [11] -51:19. 81:1. 21:7, 34:8, 42:5, 117:25, 118:17 New [2] - 27:6 53:19, 79:7, News [2] - 67:19 79:11, 79:14, newsletter [3] -86:16, 90:17, 19:18, 20:1, 117:12, 118:4 20.4 Number [10] - 7:5, newspaper [1] -53:10, 54:1, 20:2 65:23, 72:2, next [6] - 8:5, 78:3, 82:15, 86:11, 90:12, 32:2, 54:17, 97:3 76:2, 105:1 numbered [2] -1:17, 90:18 numbers [1] -91:25

0

oath [1] - 125:11 object [1] - 9:10 objection [2] -5:18, 88:2 Objection [74] -31:24, 32:5, 32:23, 34:6, 35:2, 35:21, 37:5, 37:23, 39:11, 39:16, 41:4, 41:23, 43:5, 43:15, 43:21, 46:6, 47:16, 48:4, 48:12, 49:11, 49:23, 52:24, 56:21, 57:23, 58:24, 59:20, 60:8, 60:22, 61:7, 61:17, 62:10, 64:22, 65:11, 68:6, 69:4, 69:11, 69:16, 69:21, 70:11, 70:18, 71:9, 73:24, 75:15, 82:3, 82:7, 83:13, 83:18, 84:5, 84:10, 86:25,

46:14, 46:23,

52:18, 55:12,

70:3, 76:20,

88:7, 88:15,
89:10, 93:5,
93:20, 94:8,
103:22, 104:24,
107:19, 107:24,
108:14, 109:16,
109:25, 110:19,
111:13, 111:17, 111:24, 112:9,
116:13, 116:25,
119:20, 120:3,
120:20, 120:3,
objections [2] -
9:16, 10:1
obligation [1] -
9:17
obvious [1] - 14:1
obviously [5] -
10:5, 10:19,
55:16, 60:24,
100:12
Obviously [1] -
86:3
October [2] -
126:24, 127:17
Odessa [1] -
16:10
OF [11] - 1:1, 1:9,
1:14, 5:2, 5:13,
125:8, 125:9,
125:20, 126:1,
126:10, 126:11
offended [1] -
80:17
Office [3] - 2:14,
71:14, 71:17
office [3] - 7:1,
74:24, 125:15
officer [1] - 127:3
official [9] -
56:14, 69:9,
69:12, 74:18,
69:12, 74:18, 74:23, 75:13,
75:22, 77:18,
104:2
once [1] - 108:19
One [2] - 66:18,
67:15
one [51] - 5:18, 7:23, 10:18,
12:3, 10:16, 12:3, 12:6,
16:15, 18:23,
22:5, 22:18,
22:24, 23:19, 24:25, 25:5,
25:8, 29:24,
31:2, 33:25,
34:11, 36:20,
39:23, 40:14,
40:25, 50:19,

51:2, 51:9, 66:18, 67:9, 67:17, 73:16, 73:22, 73:25, 77:25, 83:23, 84:6, 85:23, 92:6, 92:8, 94:10, 94:11, 98:2, 98:24, 99:9, 100:1, 100:3, 103:2, 109:3, 109:4, 113:17, 117:18, 122:17 ones [6] - 33:3, 36:18, 51:5, 61:8, 107:7, 117:6 online [6] - 27:16, 99:4, 114:16, 115:12, 117:2, 119:17 **Oort** [2] - 53:11, 54:19 open [4] - 89:18, 94:17. 101:23. 105:13 opened [4] -17:23, 33:23, 96:21, 97:24 opinion [2] -38:14, 74:18 opportunity [6] -100:5, 100:14, 100:15, 100:19, 100:22, 101:7 ORAL [3] - 1:9, 1:14, 126:11 oral [1] - 126:20 Order [1] - 5:7 order [3] - 43:23, 44:3, 90:19 ordinary [2] -10:4, 34:2 organization [2] -30:16, 55:11 organized [2] -30:11, 31:16 origin [1] - 79:5 ORIGINAL [1] -5:9 original [1] -17:23 originated [3] -64:16, 65:2, 65:6 otherwise [2] -34:18, 127:16 outcome [1] -127:16

outlined [9] -48:13, 113:20, 114:13, 116:16, 117:6, 119:1, 119:13, 122:1, 122:10 overall [1] - 62:23 overstate [1] -35:12 own [1] - 40:4 Oxford [1] - 25:14 P p.m [6] - 1:18, 6:3, 32:11, 52:10, 123:11 P.O [2] - 2:4, 2:9 Pack [1] - 98:24 page [16] - 7:16, 8:4, 8:6, 53:20, 66:7, 66:8, 73:2, 74:10, 76:2, 82:25, 91:9, 91:25, 97:15, 97:19, 107:7, 118:5 **PAGE** [1] - 3:2 124:4 97.19 [1] - 3:10

PAGE/LINE [1] paged [1] - 53:18 pages [2] - 8:5, Pages..... Panel [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:21, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:9, 98:20 papers [5] -51:16, 52:17, 52:19, 52:23, 88:11 paragraph [9] -101:18, 102:16, 103:15, 108:18, 114:6, 115:21, 115:24, 117:11, 118:4 Paris [1] - 23:23 part [18] - 9:11, 9:16, 9:24, 13:12, 27:2,

33:22, 38:24,

39:1, 50:14,

80:20, 86:17, 101:24, 115:7, 115:8, 115:19, 115:20 partes [1] - 127:4 partially [1] -115:22 Partially [1] -115:24 participate [2] -51:19, 56:23 particular [4] -36:6, 61:10, 89:6, 94:20 particularly [4] -118:14, 120:15, 120:24, 121:5 parties [3] - 5:18, 114:18, 127:13 parts [5] - 33:16, 33:18, 47:10 party [2] - 5:18, 127:5 pass [2] - 122:16, 123:4 passing [1] -34:19 past [5] - 118:9, 118:13, 120:14, 120:23, 121:4 peer [21] - 19:3, 19:5, 19:10, 19:11, 19:15, 20:8, 20:21, 20:23, 21:5, 21:7, 21:9, 21:11, 21:15, 23:6, 24:15, 24:17, 24:20, 25:1, 34:3, 41:15, 44:25 peer-reviewed [7] - 19:3, 19:10, 21:5, 24:17, 34:3, 41:15, 44:25 Penn [2] - 12:17, 13:19 People [1] - 57:16 people [35] -20:24, 27:9, 28:15, 29:19, 29:20, 32:21, 37:18, 40:1, 41:2, 41:6, 41:7, 41:17, 41:24, 42:4, 42:23,

60:10, 61:2, 58:2, 58:3, 58:8, 58:18, 62:19, 63:6, 63:8, 68:17, 75:11, 87:3, 88:18, 100:5, 100:14, 100:19, 100:22 people's [2] -29:10, 61:3 per [2] - 106:18 percent [1] -15:16 perfectly [3] -10:13, 47:20, 67:15 perhaps [2] -19:24, 90:22 person [5] -29:24, 45:3, 77:1, 118:6, 125:12 personal [8] -40:5, 42:11, 43:4, 70:13, 79:21, 79:24, 80:16, 80:19 personally [9] -38:14, 48:15, 73:5, 79:19, 80:17, 80:22, 121:7, 121:11, 125:10 perspective [1] -112:16 Peter [6] - 53:12, 54:9, 54:11, 54:15, 54:16, 54:21 petition [7] -41:18, 43:7, 43:22, 44:2, 46:16, 46:17, 46:20 Ph.D [14] - 1:10, 1:15, 3:6, 5:2, 6:4, 12:22, 13:14, 13:16, 124:2, 125:2, 125:6, 125:10, 126:11, 126:19 Philip [7] - 27:7, 27:20, 33:7, 42:3, 52:23, 52:25, 107:13 phone [3] - 83:8, 83:9, 83:11 phrase [1] -110:21 42:24, 43:22, picture [1] - 29:10

36:9, 36:10, 41:11, 43:19 pioneering [1] -95:16 place [6] - 67:9, 83:10, 111:1, 113:1, 117:21, 122:9 placed [1] - 82:5 **Plaintiff** [5] - 1:4, 2:19, 6:22, 126:4, 127:8 PLAINTIFF [1] -2:2 **plaintiff** [1] - 1:16 platform [1] -118:12 played [1] - 68:4 plenary [5] - 27:5, 27:8, 27:10, 33:8, 33:12 point [11] - 28:12, 66:25, 69:1, 82:11, 89:4, 91:19, 96:8, 96:10, 106:9, 107:3, 108:4 pointing [2] -71:2, 91:17 policies [2] - 75:6, 80:4 policy [11] - 76:8, 76:13, 76:16, 77:11, 77:18, 78:20, 79:6, 79:7, 79:9, 79:11, 79:14 Policy [2] - 78:14, 78:17 poor [1] - 35:19 portions [1] -20:16 position [1] -14:14 positive [1] -47:14 positively [2] -48:1, 48:5 Post [1] - 59:24 Post-It [1] - 59:24 posted [2] -27:16, 73:13 posts [2] - 29:11, 62:3 potential [1] -118:11 power [5] - 100:6, 100:14, 100:19, 100:23, 101:2 powers [1] - 97:9

46:13, 57:13,

piece [5] - 35:18,

practices [2] -
103:16, 103:20
Practices [1] - 103:24
praise [1] - 46:17
praised [1] -
46:20 praising [1] - 47:8
preceded [1] -
113:18
preparation [3] - 11:13, 11:15,
11:21
prepare [1] - 11:6
preparing [1] - 47:12
PRESENT [1] -
2:18 present [5] - 13:8,
118:14, 120:15,
120:23, 121:4
presented [1] - 96:9
presenting [1] -
7:8
Press [2] - 26:6, 30:20
press [1] - 53:1
pressure [1] -
48:19 pretty [3] - 42:18,
94:4, 108:17
prevent [1] - 8:24 previous [4] -
79:1, 79:12,
109:18, 110:5
primarily [1] - 12:25
primary [1] -
17:10
Prince [5] - 28:25, 29:1, 29:13,
29:16, 31:22
principal [1] -
29:3 private [3] - 69:3,
69:15, 70:10
privilege [1] -
9:21 privy [2] - 24:23,
62:2
problem [2] - 62:16, 108:25
Procedure [2] -
1:24, 5:8
proceeding [1] - 127:14
proceedings [1] -
5:20
Proceedings [1] -

```
123:11
process [18] -
 20:3, 21:3,
 21:22, 23:8,
 24:3, 24:9,
 24:18, 24:23,
 93:22, 101:4,
 101:6, 101:10,
 108:25, 114:18,
 115:14, 117:5,
 118:9, 119:18
process'[1] -
 100:4
produce [1] - 92:1
produced [7] -
 1:15, 91:1,
 91:24, 92:2,
 92:4, 92:9,
 92:20
Producing [1] -
 5:11
product [2] -
 68:12, 93:3
professional [3] -
 14:9, 43:3,
 69:19
professionalism
 [1] - 54:23
Professor [11] -
 6:8, 6:13, 27:7,
 27:15, 28:5,
 86:3, 90:3,
 90:15, 105:18,
 107:13, 122:18
professor [9] -
 14:13, 14:15,
 14:18, 14:20,
 16:1, 33:21,
 60:2, 69:9,
 75:23
professors [1] -
 116:1
professorships
 [1] - 16:13
program [4] -
 13:13, 13:23,
 15:4, 68:21
programming [2]
 - 17:7, 17:8
prolific [2] - 16:8,
 26.1
promise [1] - 6:16
promote [1] -
 118:12
promoted [2] -
 14:15, 14:17
prompting [1] -
 32:15
proscribe [4] -
```

84:11, 84:12,

```
84:23, 85:8
protect [3] -
 103:17, 103:21,
 103:24
proved [1] -
 125:11
provide [2] -
 115:12, 119:17
Provide [1] -
 117:4
provided [1] -
 77:13
provisions [1] -
 1:24
Provost [1] -
 74:24
public [11] -
 70:23, 71:7,
 114:8, 114:17,
 115:12, 115:13,
 117:2, 117:4,
 119:17
PUBLIC [1] -
 125:19
public'[1] -
 114:16
publication [18] -
 18:5, 19:7,
 19:23, 21:4,
 22:24, 25:15,
 45:15, 45:22,
 46:15, 46:25,
 48:2, 111:1,
 113:1, 114:17,
 115:14, 117:5,
 118:7, 119:18
publications [2] -
 21:5, 45:1
publicly [5] -
 114:8, 115:11,
 117:1, 119:5,
 119:16
publish [4] -
 33:25, 43:10,
 69:2, 69:8
published [39] -
 18:15, 19:2,
 19:4, 19:10,
 19:14, 19:25,
 20:10, 20:15,
 20:16, 21:1,
 21:22, 22:1,
 22:6, 24:12,
 25:10, 25:14,
 25:24, 26:5,
 26:16, 26:21,
 27:11, 27:19,
 30:14, 30:15,
 30:19, 30:20,
```

```
41:11, 43:18,
 44:1, 46:4,
 51:15, 73:17,
 74:1, 74:19,
 95:21, 120:19,
 121:16
publisher [1] -
 25:20
publishes [1] -
 27:25
publishing [5] -
 41:3, 70:16,
 70:20, 70:23,
 79:19
pull [2] - 98:2,
 99:10
pulled [3] - 77:14,
 79:8, 99:4
purple [2] - 58:5,
 58:7
purpose [1] -
 125:14
purposes [1] -
 10:6
pursuant [2] -
 1:23, 127:2
PURSUANT [1] -
 5.5
put [8] - 6:20,
 12:9, 20:5, 20:7,
 47:2, 48:19,
 121:24
        Q
```

```
Quaker [1] - 2:4
qualifies [1] -
 110:21
qualify [1] -
 110:17
quality [2] - 35:9,
 35:19
QUESTION [1] -
 87:22
questioned [1] -
 80:4
questions [17] -
 7:9, 8:18, 9:9,
 9:25, 10:8,
 10:12, 10:13,
 12:8, 32:17,
 52:14, 72:16,
 72:24, 90:23,
 92:14, 120:12,
 122:17, 123:6
quick [2] - 51:23,
 122:14
quickly [1] - 86:8
QUIMBY [83] -
```

6:24, 31:24,

32:5, 32:23, 34:6, 35:2, 35:21, 37:5, 37:23, 39:11, 39:16, 41:4, 41:23, 43:5, 43:15, 43:21, 46:6, 47:16, 48:4, 48:12, 49:11, 49:23, 52:24, 56:21, 57:23, 58:24, 59:20, 60:8, 60:22, 61:7, 61:17, 62:10, 64:22, 65:11, 68:6, 69:4, 69:11, 69:16, 69:21, 70:11, 70:18, 71:9, 73:24, 75:15, 82:3, 82:7, 83:13, 83:18, 84:5, 84:10, 86:25, 87:5, 87:8, 88:2, 88:7, 88:15, 89:10, 93:5, 93:20, 94:8, 98:15, 101:22, 103:22, 104:24, 107:19, 107:24, 108:14, 109:16, 109:25, 110:19, 111:13, 111:17, 111:24, 112:9, 116:13, 116:25, 119:20, 120:3, 120:20, 121:20, 122:19, 122:24, 123:6 Quimby [7] - 2:8, 5:11, 6:24, 98:3, 123:5, 126:24, 127:9 quite [3] - 13:2, 61:13, 102:3 quote [4] - 95:25, 96:4, 101:6, 121:9 quoted [2] -78:21, 119:23

R

race [11] - 38:10, 39:4, 39:6, 39:10, 39:15, 39:23, 40:8, 40:10, 40:19, 49:17, 60:18 racism [5] -

```
100:1, 103:17,
 103:21, 103:25,
 118:12
racist [20] - 61:16,
 61:20, 62:9,
 62:20, 62:22,
 63:7, 95:15,
 111:1, 111:7,
 111:12, 112:14,
 112:25, 113:3,
 113:5, 113:7,
 113:16, 118:14,
 120:16, 120:24,
 121:5
Racist [1] - 73:12
Ragland [2] - 4:7,
 72:6
raised [1] - 48:13
rap [5] - 63:22,
 64:4, 64:12,
 64:21, 65:6
rather [2] - 10:17,
 72:15
Re [5] - 4:3, 4:4,
 4:9, 4:11, 82:22
re [3] - 8:13, 8:16,
 96:19
Re-Notice [1] -
 4.3
re-notice [2] -
 8:13, 8:16
re-share [1] -
 96:19
react [1] - 46:14
reaction [2] -
 46:3, 46:7
read [59] - 7:18,
 7:22, 7:23, 7:25,
 27:10, 31:6,
 31:11, 31:12,
 32:24, 33:1,
 33:2, 33:7,
 33:14, 33:16,
 33:18, 35:13,
 35:23, 36:1,
 36:3, 36:4, 36:5,
 36:7, 38:11,
 38:12, 38:14,
 46:18, 53:1,
 55:4, 64:3, 64:8,
 66:15, 67:21,
 73:18, 74:20,
 76:11, 77:22,
 78:4, 78:15,
 78:23, 86:14,
 86:19, 87:16,
 97:5, 102:9,
```

102:13, 102:14,

102:21, 103:10,

103:24, 109:12,

33:8, 40:24,

112:11, 114:7,	n [1] - 20:25	127:24	84:6, 84:11,	3:11
114:11, 114:20,	Record [1] - 53:3	regular [1] - 59:23	85:7, 85:9,	reports [1] - 20:25
117:25, 118:15,	record [54] - 1:24,	regularly [1] -	85:13, 88:5,	represent [8] -
125:2	6:3, 6:9, 6:19,	31:12	88:8, 88:10,	7:1, 34:1, 42:8,
Read [1] - 99:21	6:20, 8:20, 8:23,	related [1] -	88:16, 88:17,	66:1, 74:23,
reader's [2] -	9:12, 9:14, 9:16,	127:13	88:25, 89:3,	76:10, 90:15,
24:6, 24:7	10:3, 10:7, 11:5,	relation [2] - 49:6,	89:5, 94:11,	97:18
reading [3] - 36:9,	12:11, 16:3,	50:1	96:8, 96:9,	represented [1] -
66:12, 102:17	18:4, 18:5,	relatively [2] -	96:24, 100:9,	57:16
ready [1] - 53:7	28:21, 34:1,	83:3, 113:4	100:11, 103:5,	representing [1] -
real [2] - 86:8,	35:14, 52:8,	release [4] -	105:20, 108:8,	42:6
122:14	52:9, 52:13,	114:16, 115:12,	113:12, 116:4,	request [1] - 76:7
realize [1] - 81:20	53:7, 54:13,	117:1, 119:16	122:12	research [7] -
really [12] - 31:6,	55:6, 67:7, 72:4,	relieve [1] - 9:17	Remotely [1] -	15:16, 16:19,
35:13, 36:13,	73:22, 77:25,	relying [1] - 77:19	1:12	16:20, 16:25,
42:2, 42:25,	82:17, 86:9,	remain [1] - 14:20	remotely [1] -	17:16, 103:11
44:15, 48:17,	87:11, 87:12,	remains [1] -	1:20	reserve [1] -
59:1, 59:2,	87:14, 90:8,	105:5	removal [1] -	123:6
59:18, 66:11,	90:11, 90:14,	remember [116] -	118:11	resigned [1] -
75:16	91:21, 93:17,	16:6, 22:4,	Renaldo [2] -	73:15
reappear [1] -	96:25, 97:2,	22:12, 22:16,	2:13, 7:3	respective [1] -
97:10	102:9, 105:12,	22:25, 23:3,	Renaldo.	78:12
REASON [1] -	105:15, 106:18,	23:7, 24:6, 25:7,	Stowers @	responded [4] -
124:4	114:12, 119:7,	25:18, 25:19,	untsystem.edu	60:24, 76:6,
reason [6] -	122:16, 122:25,	28:18, 28:19,	[1] - 2:16	79:17, 80:16
52:22, 75:2,	123:3, 123:10,	29:9, 30:4, 32:1,	renew [1] - 88:2	responding [6] -
75:7, 89:7, 95:7,	126:21, 127:4	32:13, 32:20,	repeat [3] - 39:12,	54:24, 55:19,
107:10	recorded [1] -	33:18, 33:19,	47:22, 119:6	59:11, 60:13,
Rebecca [5] -	122:8	34:8, 34:22,	repetitive [1] -	60:14, 60:20
6:10, 53:15,	refer [2] - 6:11, 77:8	35:3, 35:22,	105:4	responds [1] -
70:13, 72:6,	_	36:5, 36:9,	rephrase [3] -	74:16
94:23	reference [4] - 106:15, 108:24,	36:13, 36:15,	39:17, 49:2,	Response [4] -
REBECCA [11] -	120:9	36:19, 36:20,	64:25	86:18, 86:22,
1:10, 1:14, 3:6,	referenced [1] -	38:4, 38:6, 40:17, 40:20,	report [6] - 24:6,	87:24, 88:12
5:2, 6:4, 124:2,	36:10	40.17, 40.20, 41:6, 41:25,	24:7, 25:8, 65:25, 66:1,	response [9] -
125:2, 125:6, 125:10, 126:11,	referencing [1] -			8:16, 27:19,
			66-17	
126:10		42:9, 49:7, 49:9, 49:21 49:24	66:17	27:22, 33:4,
126:19	103:15	49:21, 49:24,	Report [16] - 4:5,	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2,
received [2] -	103:15 Referred [1] -	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9,	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14,
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23	103:15	49:21, 49:24,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3,	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13,	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18,	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14,
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] -	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3,	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19,	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7,	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1]
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16,	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] -	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3,	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] -
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10,	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16,	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 respon ses [1] - 104:2
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20,	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10,	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 respon ses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] -
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] -	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6,	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:1, 61:12,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 respon ses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] - 52:11, 87:13,	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6, 109:18, 109:20, 110:9, 117:11 refers [1] - 109:1	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:1, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20 Reported [1] -	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6 Responsible [1] -
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] - 52:11, 87:13, 90:9, 123:1	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6, 109:18, 109:20, 110:9, 117:11	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:1, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18, 62:17, 63:4,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20 Reported [1] - 1:12 reported [1] - 1:20	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6 Responsible [1] - 114:18
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] - 52:11, 87:13, 90:9, 123:1 recog [2] - 92:18,	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6, 109:18, 109:20, 110:9, 117:11 refers [1] - 109:1 reflect [1] - 74:17 refresh [3] - 30:2,	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:1, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18, 62:17, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20 Reported [1] - 1:12 reported [1] - 1:20 REPORTER [2] -	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6 Responsible [1] - 114:18 restate [1] - 8:12
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] - 52:11, 87:13, 90:9, 123:1 recog [2] - 92:18, 96:2	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6, 109:18, 109:20, 110:9, 117:11 refers [1] - 109:1 reflect [1] - 74:17 refresh [3] - 30:2, 75:10, 75:16	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:14, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18, 62:17, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 66:12, 72:10,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20 Reported [1] - 1:12 reported [1] - 1:20 REPORTER [2] - 82:14, 87:21	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6 Responsible [1] - 114:18 restate [1] - 8:12 restroom [1] -
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] - 52:11, 87:13, 90:9, 123:1 recog [2] - 92:18, 96:2 recognize [9] - 8:3, 8:13, 66:10, 68:1, 68:17,	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6, 109:18, 109:20, 110:9, 117:11 refers [1] - 74:17 refresh [3] - 30:2, 75:10, 75:16 refusing [2] -	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:1, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18, 62:17, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 66:12, 72:10, 72:11, 72:14,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20 Reported [1] - 1:12 reported [1] - 1:20 REPORTER [2] - 82:14, 87:21 Reporter [4] -	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6 Responsible [1] - 114:18 restate [1] - 8:12 restroom [1] - 51:25
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] - 52:11, 87:13, 90:9, 123:1 recog [2] - 92:18, 96:2 recognize [9] - 8:3, 8:13, 66:10, 68:1, 68:17, 91:11, 92:16,	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6, 109:18, 109:20, 110:9, 117:11 refers [1] - 109:1 reflect [1] - 74:17 refresh [3] - 30:2, 75:10, 75:16 refusing [2] - 48:24, 49:1	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:1, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18, 62:17, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 66:12, 72:10, 72:11, 72:14, 73:8, 73:9,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20 Reported [1] - 1:12 reported [1] - 1:20 REPORTER [2] - 82:14, 87:21 Reporter [4] - 1:19, 82:13,	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6 Responsible [1] - 114:18 restate [1] - 8:12 restroom [1] - 51:25 resulted [1] - 80:5
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] - 52:11, 87:13, 90:9, 123:1 recog [2] - 92:18, 96:2 recognize [9] - 8:3, 8:13, 66:10, 68:1, 68:17, 91:11, 92:16, 92:19, 97:4	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6, 109:18, 109:20, 110:9, 117:11 refers [1] - 109:1 reflect [1] - 74:17 refresh [3] - 30:2, 75:10, 75:16 refusing [2] - 48:24, 49:1 regard [2] - 32:3,	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:1, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18, 62:17, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 66:12, 72:10, 72:11, 72:14, 73:8, 73:9, 76:22, 77:14,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20 Reported [1] - 1:12 reported [1] - 1:20 REPORTER [2] - 82:14, 87:21 Reporter [4] - 1:19, 82:13, 87:16, 126:16	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6 Responsible [1] - 114:18 restate [1] - 8:12 restroom [1] - 51:25 resulted [1] - 80:5 return [1] - 126:25
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] - 52:11, 87:13, 90:9, 123:1 recog [2] - 92:18, 96:2 recognize [9] - 8:3, 8:13, 66:10, 68:1, 68:17, 91:11, 92:16, 92:19, 97:4 recognizes [1] -	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6, 109:18, 109:20, 110:9, 117:11 refers [1] - 109:1 reflect [1] - 74:17 refresh [3] - 30:2, 75:10, 75:16 refusing [2] - 48:24, 49:1 regard [2] - 32:3, 74:23	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:1, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18, 62:17, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 66:12, 72:10, 72:11, 72:14, 73:8, 73:9, 76:22, 77:14, 77:17, 77:20,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20 Reported [1] - 1:12 reported [1] - 1:20 REPORTER [2] - 82:14, 87:21 Reporter [4] - 1:19, 82:13, 87:16, 126:16 reporter's [1] -	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6 Responsible [1] - 114:18 restate [1] - 8:12 restroom [1] - 51:25 resulted [1] - 80:5 return [1] - 126:25 reversing [1] - 43:23 Review [1] - 4:5
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] - 52:11, 87:13, 90:9, 123:1 recog [2] - 92:18, 96:2 recognize [9] - 8:3, 8:13, 66:10, 68:1, 68:17, 91:11, 92:16, 92:19, 97:4 recognizes [1] - 95:25	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6, 109:18, 109:20, 110:9, 117:11 refers [1] - 109:1 reflect [1] - 74:17 refresh [3] - 30:2, 75:10, 75:16 refusing [2] - 48:24, 49:1 regard [2] - 32:3, 74:23 regarding [1] -	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:1, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18, 62:17, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 66:12, 72:10, 72:11, 72:14, 73:8, 73:9, 76:22, 77:14, 77:17, 77:20, 78:18, 79:18,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20 Reported [1] - 1:12 reported [1] - 1:20 REPORTER [2] - 82:14, 87:21 Reporter [4] - 1:19, 82:13, 87:16, 126:16 reporter's [1] - 10:7	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6 Responsible [1] - 114:18 restate [1] - 8:12 restroom [1] - 51:25 resulted [1] - 80:5 return [1] - 126:25 reversing [1] - 43:23 Review [1] - 4:5 review [25] - 8:7,
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] - 52:11, 87:13, 90:9, 123:1 recog [2] - 92:18, 96:2 recognize [9] - 8:3, 8:13, 66:10, 68:1, 68:17, 91:11, 92:16, 92:19, 97:4 recognizes [1] - 95:25 recognizing [1] -	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6, 109:18, 109:20, 110:9, 117:11 refers [1] - 109:1 reflect [1] - 74:17 refresh [3] - 30:2, 75:10, 75:16 refusing [2] - 48:24, 49:1 regard [2] - 32:3, 74:23 regarding [1] - 73:11	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:1, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18, 62:17, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 66:12, 72:10, 72:11, 72:14, 73:8, 73:9, 76:22, 77:14, 77:17, 77:20, 78:18, 79:18, 83:19, 83:20,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20 Reported [1] - 1:12 reported [1] - 1:20 REPORTER [2] - 82:14, 87:21 Reporter [4] - 1:19, 82:13, 87:16, 126:16 reporter's [1] - 10:7 REPORTER 'S [1]	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6 Responsible [1] - 114:18 restate [1] - 8:12 restroom [1] - 51:25 resulted [1] - 80:5 return [1] - 126:25 reversing [1] - 43:23 Review [1] - 4:5 review [25] - 8:7, 11:12, 11:15,
received [2] - 12:15, 52:23 recent [6] - 24:13, 54:24, 55:19, 60:15, 95:16, 107:14 recently [1] - 64:8 Recess [4] - 52:11, 87:13, 90:9, 123:1 recog [2] - 92:18, 96:2 recognize [9] - 8:3, 8:13, 66:10, 68:1, 68:17, 91:11, 92:16, 92:19, 97:4 recognizes [1] - 95:25	103:15 Referred [1] - 76:16 referred [2] - 110:5, 116:24 referring [10] - 30:22, 47:10, 55:17, 73:20, 95:20, 104:6, 109:18, 109:20, 110:9, 117:11 refers [1] - 109:1 reflect [1] - 74:17 refresh [3] - 30:2, 75:10, 75:16 refusing [2] - 48:24, 49:1 regard [2] - 32:3, 74:23 regarding [1] -	49:21, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 51:6, 51:8, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 56:5, 56:7, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:10, 60:14, 60:21, 60:23, 61:1, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18, 62:17, 63:4, 63:6, 63:8, 66:12, 72:10, 72:11, 72:14, 73:8, 73:9, 76:22, 77:14, 77:17, 77:20, 78:18, 79:18,	Report [16] - 4:5, 66:2, 66:9, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 67:18, 73:22, 74:7, 88:25, 89:3, 89:14, 89:16, 98:7, 98:10, 98:20 Reported [1] - 1:12 reported [1] - 1:20 REPORTER [2] - 82:14, 87:21 Reporter [4] - 1:19, 82:13, 87:16, 126:16 reporter's [1] - 10:7	27:22, 33:4, 39:25, 47:2, 59:8, 59:14, 79:16 Response.pdf [1] - 89:12 responses [1] - 104:2 responsible [1] - 118:6 Responsible [1] - 114:18 restate [1] - 8:12 restroom [1] - 51:25 resulted [1] - 80:5 return [1] - 126:25 reversing [1] - 43:23 Review [1] - 4:5 review [25] - 8:7,

20:3, 20:21, 20:23, 20:25, 21:8, 21:11, 21:16, 21:22, 22:7, 22:8, 24:3, 24:15, 24:18, 24:20, 24:22, 25:2, 35:13, 98:25 reviewed [20] -11:17, 11:20, 19:3, 19:5, 19:10, 19:23, 20:8, 21:5, 22:9, 22:24, 23:7, 24:17, 34:3, 40:13, 41:15, 44:25, 47:4, 47:11, 47:24, 73:21 reviewer [2] -21:12, 21:19 reviewing [3] -35:6, 47:13, 48:24 reviews [1] -21:10 revisions [1] -114:5 Revolution [1] -64:9 Richmond [7] -77:8, 78:6, 78:9, 82:20, 83:7, 84:8, 84:22 Richmond's [1] -79:15 **rights** [1] - 101:10 road [3] - 7:12, 8:22, 11:2 rock [1] - 64:11 role [4] - 50:16, 55:3, 55:24, 68:4 room [2] - 12:3, 12:6 Roughly [1] -22:19 rule [2] - 11:2, 30:7 Rules [2] - 1:23, 5:8 rules [3] - 7:12, 8:22, 11:1 S

> sabbatical [1] -15:14 sat [1] - 47:5

13

9
Savant [1] - 25:13
saved [1] - 50:24
saw [1] - 93:6
scenario [2] -
107:25, 108:1
Schenker [2] -
26:3, 26:6
Schenkerian [65]
- 4:10, 4:11,
11:18, 26:4,
26:10, 26:15,
26:17, 26:20,
27:19, 28:14,
30:9, 30:11,
31:20, 32:4,
33:15, 35:11,
37:8, 37:16,
37:21, 38:2, 38:19, 38:20,
38:19, 38:20, 39:6, 39:9,
39:15, 40:6,
41:11, 41:19,
43:19, 45:16,
45:23, 46:4, 47:1, 48:2,
51:12, 52:20,
53:3, 54:25,
55:20, 58:21,
60:15, 62:6, 62:22, 63:7,
66:3, 66:14,
66:19, 67:20,
78:2, 82:19,
82:22, 86:23,
87:24, 95:17,
95:22, 107:14,
108:11, 109:11,
110:14, 111:7,
111:22, 111:23,
112:1, 113:8,
117:23
scholarly [9] -
19:13, 20:4,
20:7, 20:11,
24:12, 25:24,
40:10, 63:23,
96:6
scholars [1] -
42:12
scholarship [2] -
27:21, 35:10
scholarships [2] -
15:24, 15:25
school [4] -
12:15, 15:3,
23:2, 101:11
Schreyer [1] -
12:18
SCHWINDEN [11] - 1:10, 1:15,
- 1.10, 1.13,
Ĭ

```
3:6, 5:2, 6:4,
 124:2, 125:2,
 125:6, 125:10,
 126:11, 126:19
Schwinden [18] -
 4:8, 4:13, 6:8,
 6:10, 6:12, 6:13,
 7:2, 7:6, 72:7,
 81:10, 82:19,
 86:3, 86:13,
 90:3, 90:16,
 94:23, 105:19,
 122:18
screen [2] -
 91:13, 101:24
scroll [7] - 8:4,
 8:5, 8:11, 98:6,
 98:19, 99:5,
 99:12
seal [1] - 125:15
second [10] -
 20:16, 23:18,
 23:19, 39:3,
 40:17, 82:25,
 94:2. 96:19.
 97:15, 118:5
secondhand [1] -
 62:2
See [3] - 30:8,
 98:18. 107:8
see [23] - 7:14,
 7:16, 8:5, 41:15,
 53:12, 53:19,
 66:20, 72:8,
 72:22, 73:6,
 74:11, 75:17,
 76:8, 90:17,
 92:18, 94:15,
 94:25, 96:20,
 97:15, 97:18,
 101:24, 102:1,
 102:5
seeing [1] - 96:24
seem [5] - 15:10,
 40:9, 92:8,
 104:8, 104:10
SEM [1] - 67:19
send [2] - 21:2,
 75:18
sending [3] -
 88:25, 89:3,
 92.9
senior [1] - 29:2
sense [3] - 44:3,
 91:2, 103:9
sent [11] - 20:24,
 33:3, 46:16,
 71:17, 75:17,
 78:6, 86:23,
 87:25, 88:22,
```

```
90:25, 94:7
sentence [13] -
 56:18, 76:8,
 109:21, 110:3,
 110:5, 110:23,
 111:9, 112:2,
 112:11, 112:24,
 117:11, 119:24,
 120:5
sentences [1] -
 17:20
separate [2] -
 20:8, 34:4
separated [2] -
 101:20, 102:11
SEPTEMBER [2] -
 5:3, 124:3
September [4] -
 1:11, 1:18, 6:2,
 126:12
sequentially [1] -
 90:18
series [6] - 18:13,
 90:22, 91:1,
 92:1, 92:17,
 109:3
Servant [1] -
 25:13
serves [1] - 68:13
session [1] -
 33:12
several [6] - 23:3,
 23:4, 50:23,
 67:2, 68:13,
 108:11
Several [1] -
 68:16
SHALL [1] - 5:5
share [3] - 7:20,
 69:24, 96:19
shared [2] -
 69:24, 94:13
sharing [1] -
 96:19
SHERMAN [2] -
 1:2, 126:2
short [1] - 86:10
Shorthand [2] -
 1:19, 126:16
Show [2] - 80:11,
 80:13
show [2] - 48:17,
 96:18
showed [1] -
 89:16
showing [2] -
 92:19, 94:9
side [3] - 101:23,
```

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

```
94:17
sign [5] - 43:22,
 73:16, 73:25,
 74.16
signatories [1] -
 104:21
signature [4] -
 53:23, 53:25,
 125:3, 126:25
Signature [2] -
 3:10, 5:12
SIGNATURE [2] -
 5:13, 124:1
signatures [2] -
 109:5, 109:7
signed [15] -
 41:21, 41:24,
 42:4, 68:18,
 75:11, 80:24,
 81:4, 92:23,
 93:4, 93:15,
 93:18, 94:6,
 109:4, 113:13,
 122.9
significant [1] -
 16:14
signing [2] -
 75:12, 82:2
similar [5] - 43:2,
 61:13, 79:3,
 99:8, 99:15
simultaneously
 [1] - 7:20
sit [7] - 47:23,
 49:20, 77:17,
 84:21, 85:8,
 93:2, 121:2
site [1] - 17:14
site-specific [1] -
 17:14
situated [3] -
 40:10, 64:9,
 109:18
skim [1] - 35:23
skimmed [4] -
 33:16, 33:18,
 36:3, 36:16
skip [3] - 72:19,
 73:2, 116:15
slowly [1] - 99:12
small [4] - 16:6,
 42:2, 42:16,
 42:18
SMT [2] - 33:11,
 73:13
So.. [1] - 113:16
so.. [4] - 15:17,
 23:15, 60:25,
 97:24
social [2] - 32:25,
```

```
61:4
societies [1] -
 42:1
society [3] -
 19:18, 41:22,
 43:3
Society [11] -
 15:12, 26:24,
 27:12, 28:7,
 41:16, 41:17,
 42:23, 43:8,
 43:13, 46:14,
 52:18
soliciting [1] -
 52:19
solid [1] - 60:3
solidarity [5] -
 109:9, 109:14,
 109:19, 110:12,
 110:21
someone [8] -
 43:18, 44:5,
 59:25, 61:19,
 64:19, 95:7,
 101:1, 104:18
Someone [1] -
 94:19
sometimes [1] -
 63:18
somewhere [2] -
 16:24, 42:7
soon [1] - 74:19
Sorry [10] - 22:3,
 37:7, 39:12,
 56:24, 82:22,
 87:15, 93:10,
 120:21, 121:23
sorry [22] - 22:5,
 22:23, 30:5,
 49:25, 59:4,
 64:25, 70:20,
 71:13, 72:25,
 82:17, 84:1,
 85:2, 85:4, 87:9,
 91:14, 101:22,
 102:21, 103:19,
 106:8, 114:9,
 118:23, 120:6
sort [7] - 7:12,
 8:22, 14:5, 19:8,
 20:14, 25:7,
 31:9
sorts [1] - 105:4
sought [1] - 43:10
sound [2] - 30:1,
 42:12
Sounds [1] -
 119:11
sounds [4] -
 13:18, 14:23,
```

```
19:14, 30:3
spans [1] - 74:10
speaking [3] -
 12:4, 69:15,
 69:17
speaks [1] -
 105:13
special [1] - 100:6
specialist [1] -
 64:1
specific [25] -
 17:14, 24:7,
 24:8, 26:8,
 37:20, 40:11,
 46:10, 47:10,
 58:11, 58:15,
 62:19, 63:4,
 63:6, 63:8, 74:5,
 74:8, 76:6,
 83:21, 84:22,
 84:24, 101:13,
 112:3, 120:23,
 121:3
Specifically [1] -
 118:13
specifically [7] -
 41:7, 61:18,
 62:17, 62:21,
 85:9, 90:25,
 121.21
specifics [1] -
 96:10
specifies [1] -
 115:6
specify [1] - 95:23
Spectrum [3] -
 27:11, 27:12,
 33.8
speculating [2] -
 44:15, 44:17
speech [1] -
 120:19
split [1] - 18:21
spoken [1] - 81:1
spot [1] - 104:11
stable [1] - 108:18
stamp [2] - 72:5,
 86.16
stamps [1] -
 91:24
stance [1] - 56:15
stand [3] - 109:9,
 110:12, 110:21
standards [1] -
 34:25
standing [1] -
 109:14
start [9] - 18:11,
 22:17, 36:4,
 37:11, 48:7,
```

109:6

sidebar [1] -

49:14, 54:7,
54:8
started [4] -
13:15, 13:22,
14:12 starting [2] -
12:13, 14:9
starts [2] - 53:15,
76:1
State [6] - 1:20,
12:17, 13:19, 70:17, 71:7,
126:17
STATE [2] -
125:8, 125:20
state [8] - 6:9,
8:23, 12:11,
16:3, 18:4, 54:13, 75:13,
105:12
statement [99] -
11:17, 11:18,
11:19, 47:2,
47:4, 47:24,
48:8, 49:4, 49:22, 50:7,
50:12, 50:17,
66:19, 67:24,
68:1, 68:5, 69:2,
69:8, 69:12,
69:14, 69:22, 70:5, 70:9,
70.5, 70.9, 70:17, 71:5,
71:6, 71:12,
71:24, 71:25,
72:1, 74:24,
75:11, 78:13,
79:20, 79:22, 80:3, 80:7,
80:3, 80:7, 80:12, 80:14,
80:24, 81:4,
81:5, 81:7, 81:8,
82:2, 83:15,
83:17, 86:12,
88:14, 88:22, 89:1, 89:8,
91:22, 92:17,
93:4, 93:15,
93:18, 94:5,
95:10, 97:13,
98:12, 98:14,
99:20, 99:21, 100:8, 105:20,
105:21, 106:6,
106:7, 106:14,
106:16, 106:18,
108:10, 108:20,
109:15, 109:23,
110:4, 110:17, 112:8, 113:13,
112.0, 110.10,

```
113:19, 115:6,
 116:1, 116:10,
 116:11, 116:15,
 116:21, 116:24,
 117:7, 117:8,
 118:5, 118:21,
 118:22, 118:24,
 119:1, 119:12,
 121:17, 121:19,
 121:22
Statement [7] -
 4:4, 4:9, 4:15,
 4:16, 67:19,
 78:2, 92:22
Statement .....
 .....[1] - 4:17
statements [6] -
 58:20, 81:18,
 81:23, 108:12,
 118:18, 118:20
States [3] - 65:17,
 66:5, 100:18
STATES [2] - 1:1,
 126:1
states [1] - 76:9
Station [1] - 2:9
stay [1] - 122:22
stenotype [1] -
 1:21
step [2] - 42:20,
 93:21
Steve [1] - 88:19
stick [1] - 65:22
still [2] - 40:3,
 118:1
stipends [1] -
 16:20
Stipulations ......
 .....
 [1] - 3:5
Stoia [1] - 35:19
Stoia's [6] -
 33:20, 33:22,
 34:11, 34:24,
 35:7, 35:15
stop [1] - 96:19
STOWERS [1] -
 7:3
Stowers [2] -
 2:13, 7:3
```

strange [1] -

stream [1] - 62:5

Strike [1] - 26:19

strike [7] - 18:12,

27:3, 28:11,

34:24, 68:3,

70:22, 70:25

string [1] - 66:4

stronger [4] -

44:21

```
107:18, 107:22,
 108:2, 109:15
strongly [2] -
 109:22, 110:1
student [17] -
 16:1, 48:8, 49:4,
 49:22, 54:14,
 60:1, 106:6,
 106:7, 110:4,
 113:20, 116:10,
 116:20, 118:5,
 119:2, 119:13
Student [1] - 4:4
students [17] -
 46:23, 55:11,
 56:2, 61:2,
 61:23, 96:12,
 99:21, 106:2,
 106:10, 108:20,
 109:10, 109:20,
 109:24, 110:9,
 110:13, 113:19,
 116:3
Students' [1] -
 4:16
students' [19] -
 11:18, 47:24,
 54:23, 59:8,
 81:5, 81:7,
 97:13, 99:20,
 105:21, 106:14,
 106:16, 106:18,
 113:22, 114:14,
 116:17, 118:22,
 121:19, 122:2,
 122:11
studies [4] -
 12:19, 13:20,
 16:24, 27:4
Studies [63] -
 4:10, 4:12,
 11:18, 15:12,
 26:5, 26:11,
 26:15, 26:21,
 27:19, 28:14,
 30:10, 30:11,
 31:20, 32:4,
 33:15, 35:11,
 37:8, 37:16,
 37:21, 38:2,
 38:19, 38:20,
 39:6, 39:9,
 39:15, 40:6,
 41:12, 41:19,
 43:20, 45:16,
 45:23, 46:5,
 47:1, 48:3,
 51:12, 52:20,
 53:3, 54:25,
 55:20, 58:22,
```

```
66:3, 66:14,
 66:20, 67:20,
 78:2, 82:19,
 82:22, 86:23,
 87:25, 95:17,
 95:22, 107:14,
 108:11, 109:11,
 110:14, 111:7,
 111:22, 113:8,
 117:23
stuff [2] - 59:11,
 64:6
styled [1] - 1:17
subject [1] -
 19:11
subjected [6] -
 19:15, 21:23,
 22:7, 24:4,
 24:15, 24:22
submitted [3] -
 93:18, 94:10,
 126:23
submitting [1] -
 50:7
subordinate [1] -
 119:15
subparts [1] -
 18:22
Subpoena [1] -
 5:7
subscribed [1] -
 125:13
sued [3] - 81:15,
 81:19, 81:20
suffering [1] - 9:5
suggesting [1] -
 25:3
suggestions [1] -
 19:24
Suite [1] - 1:22
summer [3] -
 16:18, 16:20,
 37:3
support [8] -
 96:11, 99:20,
 106:1, 106:10,
 106:21, 108:19,
 113:18, 114:3
supporting [1] -
 113:25
supportive [1] -
 53:16
suppose [2] -
 31:15, 88:23
supposed [1] -
 21:13
surprise [1] - 81:2
```

```
60:15, 62:6,
                       41:13, 41:14,
62:22, 63:7,
                       43:9, 43:17
                     surprises [1] -
                       44:14
                     surprising [2] -
                      44:8, 44:12
                     surrounding [4] -
                       26:4, 28:13,
                       37:15, 53:2
                     suspected [2] -
                       44:5, 44:7
                     suspecting [1] -
                      44:12
                     swift [1] - 104:2
                     switched [1] -
                       114:3
                     sworn [3] - 1:16,
                      6:5, 126:20
                     Symposium [11] -
                       27:19, 28:1,
                       28:4, 33:22,
                       34:4, 34:10,
                       35:25, 36:1,
                       36:2, 51:16,
                       60:17
                     System [3] - 1:22,
                       2:13, 7:4
                     system [5] -
                       22:17, 100:5,
                       100:13, 100:18,
                       100:25
                     systemic [4] -
                       99:25, 103:17,
                       103:21, 103:25
                              Т
```

TAKEN [1] - 5:5 talks [1] - 95:14 teach [2] - 60:6, 65:20 technical [2] -87:11, 90:2 technological [1] - 17:25 Telephone [3] -2:5, 2:10, 2:15 tenure [4] - 14:15, 14:24, 25:16, 25:23 terms [1] - 35:6 testified [11] - 6:5, 18:19, 42:3, 47:11, 47:23, 50:17, 61:22, 61:25, 63:12, 111:22, 120:4 testify [3] - 9:7, 9:15, 47:14 testifying [2] -

8:25, 122:2 testimony [21] -11:13, 11:16, 11:21, 45:24, 47:12, 48:23, 58:8, 59:1, 85:10, 103:7, 105:17, 114:25, 115:15, 118:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:21, 120:2, 121:25, 126:21, 127:3 Texas [36] - 1:20, 1:21, 1:22, 1:23, 2:10, 2:13, 2:14, 2:15, 6:25, 7:4, 14:12, 14:14, 15:2, 16:24, 26:6, 29:4, 30:19, 56:13, 56:20, 57:6, 66:6, 69:10, 70:6, 70:17, 71:4, 71:7, 71:12, 75:6, 75:14, 75:23, 77:11, 82:1, 117:9, 117:14, 126:17, 127:23 text [4] - 28:23, 29:7, 61:25, 99:14 Thad [3] - 2:3, 6:21, 127:7 thanking [2] -55:14, 59:9 THE [29] - 1:1, 2:2, 2:7, 6:2, 51:22, 51:25, 52:5, 52:9, 52:12, 82:14, 85:19, 86:1, 86:6, 87:12, 87:14, 87:21, 90:4, 90:7, 90:8, 90:10, 91:12, 91:15, 91:18, 122:25, 123:2, 123:9, 125:8, 125:20, 126:1 Theatrical [1] -23:20 Theoria [3] -30:21. 31:6. 31:16 theorist [1] -26:14

Theorists [1] -

55:9

surprised [4] -

theorists [2] -	95:20, 120:15	9:15, 123:7	19:4, 21:25,	17:6, 17:9, 26:5,	120:7
42:24, 73:12	title [8] - 22:20,	tricky [1] - 63:15	23:5, 23:22,	27:6, 29:4,	upset [2] - 33:4,
Theory [11] -	57:7, 66:8,	tripped [1] - 70:21	23:25, 24:11,	30:19, 56:13,	80:15
18:20, 26:25,	76:23, 77:4,	true [2] - 125:4,	28:17, 29:15,	56:20, 57:6,	URL [7] - 96:21,
27:13, 28:7,	78:18, 84:18,	126:21	36:8, 36:12,	69:9, 70:6, 71:4,	99:2, 106:12,
41:17, 41:18,	92:6	truly [1] - 43:17	38:11, 41:1,	71:11, 75:6,	108:22, 114:14,
42:24, 43:14,	titled [1] - 89:11	Trust [1] - 32:12	49:16, 50:2,	75:13, 75:23,	120:9, 120:10
46:14, 46:24,	titles [1] - 18:10	trust [1] - 98:5	50:14, 51:13,	77:11, 77:18,	120.0, 120.10
52:18	TO [2] - 5:5, 5:9	truthfully [1] -	57:9, 57:15,	82:1, 117:8,	V
theory [7] - 30:16,	Today [1] - 6:2	8:25	57:17, 58:6,	117:13	V
30:19, 31:12,	today [24] - 6:11,	try [8] - 6:17,	58:20, 60:4,	university [4] -	vague [1] - 113:4
42:18, 101:20,	6:23, 8:15, 8:25,	7:19, 10:11,	60:11, 68:17,	56:23, 69:13,	Van [1] - 54:19
102:11, 103:12	10:9, 11:16,	11:1, 18:7,	69:18, 70:1,	70:16, 76:10	vanilla [1] - 34:3
therein [1] -	11:21, 45:24,	22:12, 70:25,	71:22, 74:12,	university-level	variety [2] - 58:2,
125:14	47:5, 47:12,	114:22	82:24, 83:22,	[1] - 56:23	58:3
thinking [5] -	49:20, 60:21,	trying [24] - 25:17,	97:14, 108:10,	unless [1] - 9:24	various [1] -
19:17, 41:5,	77:17, 77:18,	25:19, 27:24,	116:22, 117:3,	unlikely [1] -	66:16
41:6, 87:5,	77:20, 84:21,	32:12, 36:25,	120:13	32:10	vast [1] - 41:21
103:8	85:8, 93:2,	37:25, 39:19,	um-hum [3] -	unrelated [1] -	verb [2] - 109:18,
third [2] - 107:10,	115:15, 118:17,	43:7, 56:25,	10:6, 77:16,	104:10	109:19
114:22	118:22, 118:24,	60:9, 67:12,	91:3	unresearched [1]	verbiage [1] -
THIS [1] - 5:5	119:21, 121:3	73:13, 83:25,	Un-hum [1] - 33:6	- 108:12	108:9
thoughtful [4] -	today's [1] - 11:6	88:21, 89:23,	unacceptable [2]	unscholarly [1] -	verbs [1] - 107:21
95:25, 96:4,	together [8] -	90:24, 98:2,	- 44:22, 118:14	95:15	version [16] -
96:6, 96:7	69:19, 69:22,	102:19, 102:23,	unclarity [1] -	unsigned [1] -	27:10, 33:7,
Thoughts [1] -	92:12, 111:5,	104:12, 105:10,	106:8	5:19	88:4, 88:6, 89:8,
100:8	111:6, 111:19,	111:10, 121:24	unclear [2] - 87:1,	UNT [33] - 4:4,	89:13, 89:17,
thread [6] - 54:1,	112:17, 112:23	turned [1] - 44:6	116:14	4:8, 4:10, 4:12,	93:3, 93:6, 93:8,
54:8, 54:17,	toggle [1] - 78:23	tutorial [1] - 7:17	uncritical [1] -	4:14, 4:15,	93:22, 110:11,
72:20, 72:22,	token [1] - 10:21	tweets [1] - 33:2	95:14	16:19, 29:19,	110:16, 110:17,
78:21	took [3] - 85:15,	Twentieth [1] -	under [9] - 19:20,	31:20, 53:19,	110:20, 113:17
three [6] - 11:11,	91:16, 117:21	65:16	20:7, 25:22,	55:11, 56:22,	versions [2] -
14:23, 17:20,	tooth [1] - 86:4	Twentieth -	48:19, 82:5,	66:19, 67:20,	88:17
18:22, 22:6,	top [6] - 7:16,	Century [1] -	117:11, 118:4,	68:5, 72:5,	Video [1] - 2:22
34:2	54:7, 66:5,	65:16	125:15	74:23, 76:1,	VIDEOGRAPHE
tie [1] - 60:13	78:19, 98:19,	Twitter [6] -	undergraduate	78:13, 78:17,	R [11] - 2:20, 6:2,
Tim [13] - 36:7,	114:11	29:11, 29:17,	[3] - 12:13, 15:5,	86:16, 89:1,	52:9, 52:12,
45:17, 46:8,	totally [2] - 22:25,	29:20, 31:20,	15:6	90:18, 92:2,	87:12, 87:14,
46:10, 61:20,	102:6	32:22, 62:3	undersigned [3] -	97:22, 110:11,	90:8, 90:10,
62:19, 80:9,	towards [3] -	two [10] - 8:5,	106:23, 109:9,	117:9, 117:14,	122:25, 123:2,
80:11, 81:14,	47:15, 48:1,	13:18, 13:23,	110:12	118:3, 121:17	123:9
95:24, 100:6,	54:7	17:20, 42:14,	understood [6] -	UNT's [2] - 56:14,	VIDEOTAPED [2]
101:14	trained [2] -	53:18, 79:13,	28:3, 29:16,	70:2	- 1:9, 1:14
Tim's [2] - 36:19,	58:16, 58:17	89:24, 119:22,	30:10, 33:6,	unusual [2] -	viewed [1] - 33:11
49:6	training [16] -	122:17	38:12, 105:20	44:25, 51:10	views [1] - 70:13
timeline [1] - 32:7	55:1, 55:23,	two-paged [1] -	unequivocally [1]	up [30] - 6:16,	violate [1] - 78:13
TIMOTHY [2] -	56:19, 56:23,	53:18	- 76:9	9:22, 9:25,	visible [1] - 99:11
1:3, 126:3	57:3, 57:5, 57:7,	typical [2] - 57:19,	unhappy [1] -	12:14, 13:8,	visiting [1] -
Timothy [25] -	57:12, 57:19,	85:16	56:6	13:13, 18:21,	16:13
2:19, 6:22, 6:23,	57:21, 57:25,	typically [2] -	unique [2] - 61:1,	20:20, 21:25,	Vista [1] - 127:22
40:14, 45:7,	58:7, 58:16,	50:19, 57:21	61:8	25:16, 25:23, 20:17, 30:0	vitae [1] - 12:10
45:13, 45:22,	59:18, 59:23,	typo [1] - 79:4	UNITED [2] - 1:1,	29:17, 30:9, 33:20, 51:0	Volume [26] -
46:3, 46:14,	60:10		126:1	33:20, 51:9, 60:13, 65:14,	26:21, 27:25,
46:25, 47:8,	transcript [2] -	U	United [3] - 65:17,	70:8, 70:21,	28:13, 33:14,
47:15, 48:1,	126:20, 126:23	I lle description	66:5, 100:18	70.6, 70.21, 74:6, 77:22,	34:7, 37:7,
48:15, 49:4,	travel [3] - 16:7,	Uh-hum [1] -	University [30] -	82:13, 89:14,	37:16, 38:19,
49:21, 49:24,	16:9, 16:13	65:21	1:21, 2:13, 7:4,	94:17, 98:6,	39:5, 39:21,
50:7, 50:9, 58:21, 61:15	treatment [1] -	Um-hum [39] -	12:17, 12:22,	98:18, 99:4,	40:5, 40:7,
58:21, 61:15,	95:15	14:17, 17:1,	12:23, 14:13, 15:2, 16:23,	99:10, 101:5,	40:11, 41:19,
62:8, 81:9,	trial [3] - 5:19,	17:18, 18:2,	10.4, 10.43,	55.15, 151.5,	45:15, 45:22,

Rebecca Geoffroy-Schwinden, Ph.D.

16

46:4, 46:25, 48:2, 51:11, 52:19, 53:2, 95:22, 112:4, 112:22, 113:7 volume [11] -20:13, 20:14, 22:9, 23:4, 23:6, 23:19, 24:12, 39:1, 40:18, 40:19, 43:14 **vs** [2] - 1:5, 126:5 W

wait [4] - 13:9,

73:16, 74:1, 120:21 waived [1] - 5:12 walk [3] - 45:17, 104:12, 116:9 **wall** [1] - 59:24 walls [1] - 104:1 wants [4] - 7:23, 72:22, 73:16, 73:25 Warner [1] - 2:21 Warren [3] - 76:5, 76:18, 76:19 website [1] -96:20 weeds [1] - 16:4 week [2] - 68:14, 71:21 weigh [1] - 75:19 welcome [2] -58:18, 72:23 WHALEY [1] -127:22 whistleblowers [1] - 118:8 whole [5] - 23:6, 62:24, 67:6, 72:13, 118:23 wish [1] - 26:1 wished [1] - 122:9 WITNESS [12] -51:22, 51:25, 52:5, 85:19, 86:1, 86:6, 90:4, 90:7, 91:12, 91:15, 91:18, 124:2 Witness [1] - 5:10 witness [9] - 1:15, 10:17, 72:6, 87:4, 87:17, 122:16, 123:5, 126:19, 126:22 witness's [1] -

yourself [2] -18:17, 101:1

105:17

121:12

18:19

5:11

Witness's [1] -

witnessed [1] -

witnesses [1] -

women [1] - 64:11

wondering [3] -

Ζ

ZOOM [1] - 1:9 Zoom [1] - 85:1

57:4, 104:15, 104:16 word [6] - 27:20, 29:17, 58:10, 58:12, 114:3, 120:4 words [8] - 39:19, 40:8, 48:7, 49:10, 51:11, 53:16, 112:23, 121:25 workplace [1] -58:18 wound [1] - 74:6 wow [1] - 17:13 WRIGHT [2] - 1:6, 126:6 write [9] - 46:24, 54:21, 76:9, 78:9, 95:8, 106:1, 106:10, 106:21, 108:19 writer [1] - 21:18 writes [1] - 73:10 writing [7] - 23:1, 58:20, 59:9, 73:4, 120:17, 120:25, 121:2 written [1] - 76:7 wrote [12] - 20:25, 23:1, 51:2, 59:24, 59:25, 68:7, 68:8, 69:22, 75:1, 94:12, 103:8, 104:17 Υ

year [7] - 12:15, 15:4, 15:10, 15:11, 15:17, 16:17, 23:25 years [7] - 13:18, 13:24, 14:23, 32:10, 35:15, 60:3, 62:13 yesterday [2] -76:5, 78:10 York [2] - 27:6, 27:7