5/18/21

4

3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION 2 3 TIMOTHY JACKSON 4 Plaintiff, 5 v. CASE NO. 4:21-cv-00033-ALM 6 LAURA WRIGHT, et al. Defendants. 10 11 ORAL DEPOSITION OF 12 LEVI NIGEM XENON WALLS MAY 18, 2021 13 14 15 16 17 ORAL DEPOSITION OF LEVI NIGEM XENON WALLS, produced 18 as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff, and duly 19 sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause 20 on May 18, 2021, from 12:57 p.m. to 4:52 p.m., before 21 Nita G. Cullen, CSR in and for the State of Texas, 22 reported by machine shorthand, at the Law Offices of 23 Cutler Smith, 12750 Merit Drive, Suite 1450, in the City 24 of Dallas, County of Dallas, State of Texas, pursuant to

25 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

1			INDEX
2			PAGE
3	Appeara	nces	
4	Stipula	tions	4
5	LEVI NI	GEM XEN	ION WALLS
6	Exa	aminati	on by Mr. Allen 4
7	Reporte	r's Cer	tificate143
8			EXHIBITS
9			
10		SCRIPTI	
	Exhibit	4	Subpoena to Testify for Levi Walls 6
11	Exhibit Exhibit	5 6	Text Messages between Levi and Chris11 Text Messages between Nate, Brian,
12	LXIIIDIC	U	Jessica, and E
	Exhibit	7	E-mail to Benjamin Brand, dated
13			7/26/202045
	Exhibit	8	E-mail to Benjamin Brand, dated
14	Exhibit	9	January 9, 202050 E-mail to Ellen Bakuline, dated
15	EXIIIDIL	9	July 25, 202062
	Exhibit	10	Facebook Post by Levi Walls, July 2770
16	Exhibit		Letter to Dr. Jackson from Levi Walls85
	Exhibit		Letter to Dr. Jackson from Levi Walls87
17	Exhibit		E-mail to Stephen Slottow, 12/19/201989
18	Exhibit	14	E-mail to JSS Authors and Advisory Board, March 14, 202093
10	Exhibit	15	E-mail dated March 10, 2020, to
19	LXIIIDIC	13	Schenker, me99
	Exhibit	16	E-mail to Levi Walls, March 13, 2020101
20	Exhibit		E-mail to Dr. Jackson, February 13108
	Exhibit		Call For Papers, December 17, 2019110
21	Exhibit	19	Members of the Editorial Board
22			Correspondence re. Call for Papers, November 25-December 1, 2019113
22	Exhibit	20	Response to Ewell, November 19, 20192
23	Exhibit		Meeting, November 15, 2019126
	Exhibit	22	E-mail to Dr. Jackson, November 18,
24			2019130
25	Exhibit	23	E-mail to Tim, Stephen, and Benjamin, April 22, 2019133

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21 APPEARANCES 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MR. MICHAEL THAD ALLEN SAMANTHA HARRIS MS. SAMANIHA HARKIS ALLEN LAW, LLC P.O. Box 404 Quaker Hill, Connecticut 06375 860. 772.4738 860.469.2783 Fax m.allen@allen-lawfirm.com 8 9 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: MR. MATT BOHUSLAV
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
GENERAL LITIGATION DIVISION
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711
matthew.bohuslav@oag.texas.gov 10 11 12 13 14 AND MR. RENALDO STOWERS SENIOR ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS SYSTEM OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL 15 16 Denton, Texas 76203 940.565.2717 renaldo.stowers@untsystem.edu 17 18 19 20 ALSO PRESENT: MR. TIMOTHY JACKSON 21 22 23 24 25

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 LEVI NIGEM XENON WALLS, 3 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: **EXAMINATION** 4 5 BY MR. ALLEN: 6 Q. Mr. Walls, my name is Michael Allen, I'm an 7 attorney for Timothy Jackson. I just wanted to talk 8 about some things preliminarily. This will be a very 9 formal conversation, but it's a conversation 10 nonetheless. The deposition is an extension of the 11 Court, and the purpose of the deposition is to find out what evidence you have and what you would say at trial. 12 13 So, a couple ground rules. If I -- if I say anything that's unclear to you, please feel free to 14 interrupt me and ask for clarification. It's more than 15 possible that it's my unclarity, my incompetence at 17 forming a good question. So, I wouldn't want you to 18 answer a question you didn't understand, is that clear? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So, as a corollary to that, if you don't ask 21 for a clarification, I'll assume you understand my question; is that also clear? 22 23 A. Yes. 24 MR. ALLEN: Matt, in the last deposition,

we agreed that all objections except those that go to

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls

		1	
	Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21		Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21
	5		7
1	form will be reserved till the time of trial. Are we	1	A. Yes.
2	going to stipulate to that again in this deposition?	2	Q. Have you appeared today to testify in response
3	MR. BOHUSLAV: Yeah, we're going to do	3	to this document?
4	this by the rules.	4	A. Yes.
5	MR. ALLEN: And what do you mean by	5	Q. And is it fair for me to characterize this as a
6	"rules"? Are we reserving, or are we not reserving?	6	subpoena calling you to this deposition?
7	MR. BOHUSLAV: Well, objections that are	7	A. Yes.
8	to relevance, yes, can be made at the time of trial, but	8	Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to I guess it's
9	all other objections to the form of the question will be	9	the third page of the Exhibit "A" attached to the
10	made now.	10	subpoena. You see where it says, "Documents Requested"?
11	MR. ALLEN: To the form. Any other	11	A. Uh-huh.
12	objections that you want to not reserve to the time of	12	Q. Your attorney provided me with a folder, which
13	trial, other than those as to form? I just want to be	13	I'm holding up now, the record can show, full of
14	clear.	14	documents. Were these documents produced in response to
15	MR. BOHUSLAV: Yeah. I will well, I	15	this subpoena and these document requests?
16	will state the basis for my objections when I make them.	16	A. Yes.
17	MR. ALLEN: That's fine.	17	Q. And besides your attorneys, and I understand
18	Q. (By Mr. Allen) Mr. Bohuslav will object from	18	you have also there's a possibility you may have
19	time to time, that's a normal part of a deposition. You	19	consulted with the general Counsel's office at the
20	may even hear me object from time to time. It doesn't	20	University, and I don't want to ask you about anything
21	relieve you of the obligation to answer questions.	21	that may be privileged communication between you and
22	You're still under the obligation to answer, and most of	22	attorneys who represent you. Who have you discussed
23	that has to do with what may be argued later should this	23	collecting these documents with?
24	evidence become admissible or inadmissible, for that	24	A. I mentioned it to my wife.
25	matter, at trial.	25	Q. Does your wife have relevant documents in
	Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21		Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21
	6		8
1	Just a preliminary question, Mr. Walls.	1	response to the subpoena?
2	Are you aware of any circumstance that would prevent you	2	A. No.
3	from testifying truthfully today?	3	Q. Thank you. How did you go about collecting the
4	A. No.	4	documents that you produced today?
5 6	Q. Are you on any medication? MR. BOHUSLAV: One second. Can we go off	6	A. I went through the messaging venues that I use; Messenger, e-mail. And I did keyword searches for
	the record for a second?		
8	MR. ALLEN: Please.	8	Jackson, JSS, Journal, Ewell, Society, SMT. I think
9	(OFF THE RECORD FROM 1:01 TO 1:02 P.M.)	9	those were all the keywords that I used to find documents.
10	Q. (By Mr. Allen) Are you on any medication that	10	Q. And you mentioned Messenger. Is that Facebook
11	would affect your testimony today?	11	Messenger?
12	A. No.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Do you suffer from any medical condition of any	13	Q. And e-mail, is that your UNT e-mail account?
14	kind, mental or physical, that would affect your	14	A. Yes.
15	testimony today?	15	Q. Are there other e-mail accounts you would have
16	A. No.	16	used to discuss matters in the journal or other matters
17	(MR. STOWERS ENTERS ROOM.)	17	responsive to the subpoena?
18	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 4 MARKED.)	18	A. There was the Schenker Journal, but I'm not on
19	Q. (By Mr. Allen) I'm going to start with the	19	that anymore.
20	first exhibit here, have this marked as Exhibit No. 4,	20	Q. You don't have access to the Schenker Journal
21	please, for the record. I'm going to provide a copy to	21	e-mail?
22	you, Mr. Walls, and also to your attorney. And have you	22	A. I mean, I don't know if any of the logins have
23	had a chance to examine this exhibit?	23	been changed. Last time I'm aware that I had access was
24	A. Yes.	24	some I think near the beginning or sorry the
25	Q. Do you recognize this document?	25	end of 2020 or the beginning of 2021. I don't remember

Do you recall what state he works in and what

22

23

24

Not at UNT.

state that would be?

22

23

24

25

this e-mail?

I assume so. And -- and Stephen Slottow

So, is it safe to say that the editorial staff

because he asked me for the login info after I left.

- A. I don't recall what state. I would assume east
 coast, just because music theory.
- ${f Q.}$ So, before we get going with this document, I
- 4 want to ask you a little bit about your own background.
- 5 What is your relationship to the University of North
- 6 Texas?
- **7 A.** I'm a graduate student, Ph.D.
- **8 Q.** In what department are you pursuing a Ph.D. at
- 9 the University of North Texas?
- **10 A.** The musicology -- sorry, music history,
- 11 ethnomusicology theory department, MHTE.
- **Q.** I was going to say, is that the famous MHTE acronym?
- **14 A.** Yes, I reversed it.
- **Q.** I try to keep the alphabet soup under control
- **16** myself and not always successfully. So -- and is that
- 17 organized as a division within the College of Music?
- **18 A.** I believe so. I believe it's called a
- **19** division.
- Q. And could you explain and describe the courseof your educational career, say, from college onwards,
- when you graduated, what certifications you've acquired,
- 23 and anything relevant to your education up to this
- 24 point?

A. Including before UNT?

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

- O. Correct.
- 2 A. I did community college in California, doing
- 3 piano performance or -- well, general music. They
- 4 didn't have a specific piano performance track, but it
- 5 was just an associate's in music, and I was there for
- 6 three years. And then I went to an undergrad, also in
- 7 California, for three years, Humble State University.
- 8 There I did piano performance and music ed.
- **9** And then I took a gap year, where I did
- 10 some teaching at that university. And after that gap
- 11 year, in 2016, I came to Texas to do the master's in
- music theory at UNT, which I did for two years. And so,
- in 2018, I started the Ph.D., and I'm at the end of the
- **14** third year, now.
- **Q.** Do you have a master's degree from UNT?
- **16 A.** Yes
- 17 Q. And what did you teach in this what you
- 18 described as a gap year?
- 19 A. I taught Ear Training 4, what would be called
- 20 Oral Skills 4 here at UNT.
- **Q.** And the community college, could you state the
- name of the community college?
- 23 A. It was San Joaquin Delta College in Stockton,
- 24 California.
- **Q.** And did you go to the same Joaquin Delta

- College directly after high school?
- 2 A. Yes.
- **Q.** And what positions have you had as a graduate
- student at the University of North Texas?
- **5 A.** I have been a teaching fellow. I was a
- 6 teaching fellow for two years, second year of my
- 7 master's, first year of my Ph.D., then I was an RA with
- 8 the journal, research assistant, and then I was, this
- **9** last year, a teaching assistant.
- **Q.** After July 2020, when you say you quit as the
- 11 editor of the Journal for Schenkerian Studies, you
- 12 became a teaching assistant?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** Did that involve any adjustment in your
- 15 funding?

13

21

14

- 16 A. No.
- **Q.** To your knowledge, is there anyone assigned as
- 18 a graduate student to the Journal for Schenkerian
- **19** Studies at this time?
- **A.** Not that I know of.
 - Q. Do you have any knowledge of anyone being
- 22 assigned as a research assistant to the Center for
- 23 Schenkerian Studies?
- **24 A.** Not that I know of.
- **Q.** And as you worked and understood the

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

16

- 1 relationship between the center, the journal, and the
- 2 faculty, what is -- can you describe the relationship
- 3 between the Center for Schenkerian Studies and the
- 4 Journal for Schenkerian Studies?
- **A.** I mean, the Center for Schenkerian Studies
 - always seems somewhat vague. There was the lost
- 7 composers project, which was going at one time or
- 8 another. But it seemed while I was there that the
- 9 journal kind of just operated as its own entity, with
- 10 the Center for Schenkerian Studies mostly existing in a
- 11 website online.
 - And there were links to, you know,
- ${f 13}$ different resources, like archives. So, I think that in
- 14 terms of the center, it was mostly those Schenker
- 15 archives.

12

- **Q.** Uh-huh. Did you participate in any of the
- 17 activities of the center?
- 19 of me being on the journal, I had looked at the website,
- 20 which needed updating, and I had Steven Hahn help me
- 21 with the programming, since it's not really something
- 22 I'm very good at.
 - **Q.** When you say programming, do you mean literally
- 24 computer programming?
 - **A.** The web design.

15

16

17

18

3

18

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21 Q. Okay. So, is it safe to say that's coding or 1 2 something similar? 3 A. I wouldn't really know, but I suppose you could 4 call it coding 5 Q. Well, let me see what -- I want to ask -- what 6 I'm getting at is programming could also mean putting on 7 programs of the center. But you mean revamping of the website, not scheduling concerts. 8 9 Yes. Not programming in that sense. 10 Okay. Sometimes it will -- these questions will just be because I'm trying to figure out, not 11 12 having been there myself, what's going on. I forgot to 13 ask, are there any other degrees or credentials that you've acquired in your educational career, other than 14

those you've already mentioned?

Α. Nο

15

16

17

25

18 Exhibit 5. Who is the blue bubble on the right side? 19 That's me. 20 So, it seemed to me on the left side, it says, "Hi Chris and Ben, I'm glad we can talk." Is that you 21 speaking? 22 23 Oh, woops, I'm sorry. Sorry. Yes, that is me on the left. The blue bubble then is Ben. 24

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

And Benjamin Graf you mean, right?

Now, I want to call your attention, again, to

1 A. Yes, I'm sorry. Benjamin Graf. Sorry. I got thrown off because normally when I'm looking at my 2 3 Facebook Messenger, I am the blue bubble. Q. I understand. Could you explain for the Court 4 5 Benjamin Graf's relationship to the center and journal? Benjamin Graf was the editor for Volume 12, and 6 he was training me for the editorship for the next year. 7 8 I think for Volume 11, he was the editor without an 9 assistant editor. Volume 10 -- the farther back I go, 10 the hazier it is, but Volume 10, I think he was also the editor. But before that, I think Collin was the editor. 11 Q. Okay. 12 (MS. HARRIS LEAVES ROOM.) 13 Q. (By Mr. Allen) What is his relationship to the 14 MHTE division, now? Mr. Graf? 15 16 I believe he's a senior lecturer. 17 What kind of position is that in the division? 18

It's above associate. Well, no. I think he's -- he's senior lecturer, but I'm not sure if he's 19 20 an associate professor or an assistant professor. He's 21 probably an assistant professor, since I think he's 22 still tenure track. 23 Q. He is tenure track, is that it? I don't know for sure. I don't keep very good 24 25 track of where all the faculty are at in a given time,

but I think he's tenure track.

2 Q. And given your experience as a graduate student, is the position that Mr. Graf was able to occupy in the faculty, is that a sought after position 5 among graduate students, such as yourself? 6 A. I mean, it's good just to have a position at a 7 university, whether it's as an adjunct or all the way to an associate professor. But I would say an associate professor would be more sought after than an assistant 10 professor, which would be more sought after than an 11 adjunct. 12 Q. And you don't know at this time whether 13 Benjamin Graf is an adjunct or an assistant professor. Is that what you mean to say? 14

A. I don't believe he's an adjunct. I think he's at least an assistant professor. Q. And is it a distinction between assistant and associate professor at UNT that an associate professor would have tenure?

19 20 A. I don't know for sure that's how it works, but I believe that's how it works, that when you get tenure, 21 22 you become an associate professor. 23

Q. Do you -- are you aware of anyone who starts out in a position like Benjamin Graf has done at UNT who is hired to tenure immediately?

> Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

> > 20

19

1 A. Not that I know of. That would seem like it 2 would be unusual.

(MS. HARRIS RE-ENTERS ROOM.)

4 Q. (By Mr. Allen) Looking at the second bubble here on page 1 of Exhibit 5, Benjamin Graf appears to say to both you and Professor Segall, "this was not our 7 idea in that it came from the advisory board." Do you 8 know what he's referring to? 9 **A.** He's referring to the content of the symposium

10 and just the idea that the symposium should exist. Q. And could you describe what the symposium was, 11

as opposed to other matter that was published in Volume 12 13 12?

A. The symposium as aside from the three academic 14 15 articles, it was originally going to compose the Volume 12. And so, the symposium was a last minute response to 17 a paper that Ewell gave at the most recent SMT.

Could you describe what you mean by SMT?

Society of Music Theory. 19 20 Q. And was the most recent meeting of the SMT

21 November 2019?

22 Yes. Sorry.

> ο. I don't suppose you know the day of his plenary

24 talk, do you?

A. I think it was in early November, but I don't

18

23

- recall the exact day. 1
- 2 Q. And are we referring to Philip Ewell of Hunter
- College in the CUNY in New York? 3
- A. 4 Yes.
- 5 Have you ever heard any criticism at UNT or
- elsewhere voiced about the other articles that appeared 6
- 7 in Volume 12, other than the symposium?
- 8 A. The -- you mean like the Parkhurst, the three
- larger academic articles? 9
- 10 Q. Correct.
- I don't think so. 11
- 12 Has anyone ever voiced criticism of the
- 13 editorial process by which those three articles were
- ushered to publication? 14
- 15 A. No.
- And you would have been in a position to know 16
- that, wouldn't you, as editor of the journal? 17
- 18 A. I mean, if somebody talked to me specifically
- 19 about it, I would have known about it. Otherwise, I
- 20 might have heard it through whoever did hear that there
- was criticism. 21
- 22 Q. But no such criticism came to you even
- 23 secondhand, is that correct?
- Yeah. I don't think I heard any criticism of 24
- the three large articles that were unconnected to the 25

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

Ewell talk.

- 2 Q. I'm just skipping to the second page of
- Exhibit 5. I think this is a bubble -- the big bubble 3
- at the top where you're speaking. 4
- A. Uh-huh. 5
- Starts "all right". Can you describe what 6
- 7 you're talking about in that bubble?
- 8 So, I was telling Chris that I was seeing all
- of the comments on Twitter, and that I agreed with a lot 9
- 10 of it. I said, I don't have a Twitter, but I saw the
- criticism anyway because people were sending me screen 11
- shots. 12

1

- 13 And I thought the criticism was justified.
- I expressed the belief that I always had that people on 14
- the advisory board should not be allowed to publish in 15
- 16 the journal because it seems like not a very good
- 17 academic ethics quality for that type of check and
- 18 balance not to be there.
- And then, I end the message by admitting 19
- 20 that I am new to journal editorial matters and that I
- assumed that after the first round of responses there 21
- would be another one in which Ewell would probably have 22
- 23 something to say.
- 24 Was there ever any discussion about inviting
- Ewell to participate in a second round of responses? 25

- There was after the Twitter backlash, or 1
- 2 Twitter storm, or whatever you want to call it.
- 3 Q. I understand. Was anyone opposed to having
- 4 Philip Ewell respond in any form in the journal?
- 5 A. I mean, I'm sure some people were, like -- I
- 6 don't recall anybody specifically telling me that they
- were opposed to Ewell responding. I think Ewell himself 7
- was opposed to responding afterwards, just because, as
- it turned out, he should have been invited originally to
- 10 respond, which was just something that I didn't
- understand was standard practice myself. So, I think 11
- that Ewell didn't really want to respond in the 12
- 13 subsequent issue.
- 14 Q. And you just mentioned academic ethics.
- Q. What's the source of the ethics you are 16
- 17 referring to?

15

25

22

- 18 A. I suppose it's personal belief, but it's backed
- 19 by the fact that when you have people on an editorial
- 20 board of a journal and those people are okaying what
- articles go into the journal and don't go into the 21
- 22 journal, if those people themselves are the ones
- 23 submitting articles, then really there's no check and
- 24 balance.
 - Q. Do you think it's unusual for members of an

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

24

23

- editorial board to publish in the journals on which they
- sit as editorial staff members?
- 3 A. I believe it's unusual. I could be wrong, but
- my understanding is that's an unusual practice for a
- 5 journal.
- 6 Q. And you've said it was personal belief, but
- also things you apparently heard from others, is that
- 8 correct? Am I mischaracterizing what you said?
- 9 A. It's mostly personal belief. A few have
- 10 expressed to me that they agree with that belief, but
- primarily, that's based on my own idea of how checks and 11
- 12 balances should work in a journal.
- 13 Q. I'm glad you mentioned that, because I was
- 14 going to ask you, what you mean by checks and balances?
- 15 So, the process through which articles are
- accepted or denied. And so, in this case, the fact that articles written by people on the editorial board are
- 17
- 18 accepted for publication by the editorial board.
- Q. Do you think that there were articles that 19 20 should have been rejected in the symposium?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- Which ones? 22
 - I think that a few of the articles that should
- 24 not have been put in the journal would be Jackson's,
 - Slottow's, Beach's and Wiener's (Phonetic)

Q. And why do you think those should not have been 1 2 accepted? 3 **A.** I think that in all of them the tone was very

confrontational. Some of them just made incorrect or 4 5 very ignorant statements that were not becoming of academic discourse. 6

Q. Can you describe in detail what statement you found incorrect and not becoming of academic discourse? Well, thinking of Jackson's article, the

statement that the reason that blacks are not represented in music theory has to do with a lack of proper role models at home, which many will agree is quite racist.

What's racist about that statement? If you could just explain.

A. Well, if we define racism as the belief that social hegemony -- in this case that -- the fact that white people have inherent advantages in society over people of color, if we believe that that is an inherent facet of human life that's justified, and that there's a form of meritocracy that goes along with that, in which the people who have the power and have the good positions are there specifically because they deserve them and not because of issues of race or gender or

5/18/21

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls

positioning of white culture and art on a pedestal as more deserving of praise than arts and culture by or for

sexuality or economics. And I would also add, the

3 people of color.

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

7

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

25

wrong.

Q. And those are things you believe that these 4 four articles, I think you described Jackson, Slottow, 5

Wiener -- and who was the fourth? 6

The fourth was Beach.

8 Q. Beach. Those were arguments you believe they 9 advanced.

I believe Jackson advanced those articles or those arguments. I think, to a lesser extent, they were advanced by Wiener and Beach. Slottow's article I mainly listed because, being on the editorial board, I, again, don't believe it was proper for them to even submit an article, and actually that would go the same for Beach and actually Wiener, if I recall.

Were there any prominent, knowledgeable 18 Schenkerian scholars in the United States who weren't on the editorial board of the Journal for Schenkerian 19 Studies?

20 21 I'm sure there were. I'm trying to think of if I can recall any prominent Schenkerians that weren't on 22 23 the board. It was quite full. I want to say that Poundie Burstein was not on the board, but that could be 24

So, it sounds like you would have reduced the 1 2 number of people who were eligible to contribute to the symposium to those who were not on the editorial board, is that correct?

5 Α. Yes.

Q. But you have trouble naming anyone from the 6 7 United States besides this one individual, Poundie --

8 A. Burstein.

9 Q. -- Burstein, who would have been -- not been 10 excluded, correct?

A. Yes. I mean, I'm sure if I thought about it 11 12 more, I could come up with more names, but William

13 Rothstein I know wasn't on the board.

14 Did he contribute? Ο.

> A. Nο

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

Q. Is it safe to say that there would have been only a handful of people who were knowledgeable about Schenkerian analysis in the United States who were not on the board?

There are plenty of people in the United States who are knowledgeable on Schenkerian analysis, but there's a big difference between being knowledgeable on Schenkerian analysis and being a Schenkerian.

And so many of the people on the board are Schenkerians, in that their primary research agenda is

> Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

> > 28

27

devoted to Schenkerianism. Whereas there are many, many

people in the United States who are knowledgeable in

3 Schenkerian analysis, which would be difficult to avoid

considering the popularity of Schenkerian analysis in

5 grad schools.

6 Q. Can you just describe -- you have to understand, Mr. Walls, that I'm probably not alone in

8 being a complete musical ignoramus, and I need you to

explain to me what Schenkerianism is, I'm just not sure 9

10 what that is. So, if you could just explain it in terms

11 that maybe even a layperson like me would understand, I 12 would appreciate it.

13 By Schenkerianism, I just mean if somebody

14 is -- if I say that somebody's research agenda is

15 devoted to Schenkerianism, I merely mean that they're

16 primary research interest is in voice leading, in

17 relation to issues of background, middle ground and

18 foreground, the type of structure discussed in

19 Schenkerian analysis.

20 And so, if somebody every once in a while 21 did a paper that was -- could be described as Schenkerian or was quasi-Schenkerian, I wouldn't 22 necessarily call them a Schenkerian, but rather someone 23

who is interested in Schenkerian analysis. 24

Q. Are you making a distinction between a specific

- 1 technique of analyzing music and scholars who try to
- 2 advance or think of the theory behind the technique, or
- 3 am I misunderstanding that?
- **4 A.** I don't think I'm making that distinction. I
- 5 just think that there is a spectrum -- as with any
- 6 research interest, there's a spectrum of, you know,
- 7 where people are, in terms of their relationship to
- 8 Schenkerian analysis.
- **9** Some people are interested in it, but don't
- 10 rely on it very often or very much for their analyses,
- 11 whereas some people do Schenkerian analysis and only
- 12 Schenkerian analysis.
- **Q.** And of those people, the latter category, who
- 14 do primarily or only Schenkerian analysis, can you name
- 15 any in the United States that are not on the board of
- 16 the Journal of Schenkerian Studies?
- **17 A.** I don't think so. Granted, I don't know every
- 18 music theorist in the country.
- **Q.** Of course. Let me ask a follow-up question.
- 20 You know, how large of a community would you estimate
- 21 that community of scholars is, within general terms?
- 22 I'm not asking for an exact number, but can you estimate
- 23 for me about how many of th0se, I guess you might have
- 24 described them as hard core Schenkerians, if you will.
- **A.** Really, I have no idea in terms of a number. I

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

30

- 1 think that the number has gotten smaller over the years,
- 2 whereas Schenkerian analysis was incredibly popular in
- 3 the '80s and '90s, including at institutions like CUNY
- 4 and Mann's. I think that the number has dwindled over
- **5** the last few decades. But in terms of a current number,
- 6 I really have no idea how to quantify it.
- **Q.** Well, let me put it this way. Is it over 100?
- 8 A. I think it's safe to say that it would be over
- **9** 100.
- **Q.** And, I mean, in your rough estimation, how many
- **11** music theorists are there employed at academic
- 12 institutions throughout the United States?
- MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, calls for
- 14 speculation.
- **A.** I honestly have no idea how many academics
- 16 there are in music employed in the United States.
- **Q.** (By Mr. Allen) What's the primary academic
- 18 organization for or professional society for music
- 19 theorists in the United States?
- **20 A.** The Society for Music Theory.
- **Q.** Is there any other?
- 22 A. I mean, there are obvious organizations that
- 23 would rank below that, in terms of importance. Well, I
- 24 suppose I'm mainly thinking of conferences, because I
- 25 was about to say -- name a few conferences, like EuroMAC

- or International Conference on Musical Forum, but those
- 2 aren't really a society in the way that SMT is a
- **3** society.

1

4

15

18

- **Q.** How large is the Society for Music Theory?
- **A.** I don't know how large it is, in terms of
- 6 number of members. I recall at some point seeing the
- 7 statistics, probably at an SMT meeting, but I have -- I
- 8 could not even make a guess, in terms of how many
- 9 members there are. Maybe two or 3,000, but that seems
- 10 like an overestimate.
- 11 Q. And do you have knowledge -- do you know
- 12 whether Schenkerians are a minority of those members?
- 13 And by that I mean the hard core Schenkerians whom you
- 14 described earlier.
 - A. Yeah. I would say that they would be a
- 16 minority, if we're talking about hard core Schenkerians.
- **Q.** A small minority or a sizeable minority?
 - MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, vague.
- **A.** I would really go in between those two. I
- 20 wouldn't say it's a small minority, but I think it would
- 21 be too far to say it was sizeable minority.
- **Q.** (By Mr. Allen) I want to call your attention
- 23 to Exhibit 5, again. There's a blue bubble underneath
- 24 that much larger bubble of yours. It seems to be
 - Benjamin Graf speaking again. And he says, "I agree,

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

32

- and I am in a similar position. I was editor when Tim
- 2 Jackson and Stephen Slottow were my dissertation
- 3 advisors. Now, they are my colleagues and on promotions
- 4 committees, et cetera, that have a significant stake in
- 5 my employment. Volume 12 was largely ready before the
- 6 SMT and I was passing the baton to Levi when these ideas
- 7 came up."
- 8 I'm curious about what he means, if you
- 9 know, where he says, "they are my colleagues and on
- 10 promotions committees, et cetera, that have a
- 11 significant stake in my employment."
- 12 What is he discussing there with you and
- 13 Chris Segall?
- MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, calls for
- 15 speculation.
- **Q.** (By Mr. Allen) You were a party to this
- 17 conversation, were you not?
- **18 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** So, how did you interpret what Benjamin Graf
- 20 was saving?
- **A.** I assume, since he is tenure track, I believe,
- 22 that he would rely on colleagues like Tim Jackson and
- 23 Stephen Slottow, rely on their good impressions in order
- 24 to advance his career.
 - Q. Do you know of any instance in which Timothy

- Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21 Jackson retaliated against Benjamin Graf, for any reason 1 2 whatsoever? 3 A. As far as I remember, there's not a specific case that Benjamin Graf has told me about. The only 5 thing I can think of is sometime in maybe late June or early July, there was some conflict between them about a 6 7 Beach article, not having to do with the Ewell plenary, but rather a Beach article for the volume -- what would 8 have been Volume 13. 9 10 Q. Do you mean June-July 2020? Yes, 2020. 11 12 Would you characterize that as a discussion 13 among colleagues or as a disagreement in which Timothy Jackson retaliated against Benjamin Graf in some way? 14
- 15 A. I don't know if I would characterize it as retaliation. I just know that after that disagreement, 16 Benjamin Graf was more or less taken out of the e-mails, 17 18 whereas before, he was included on them. So, there was 19 a certain sense in which he was not welcome anymore. 20 Q. What e-mails are you referring to? A. So, the e-mails, mostly using the Schenker 21
- e-mail, just generally discussing the journal, including 22 23 upcoming articles and reviews. 24 Q. And is it true as it seems to describe in 25 thread that he was transitioning out of the role of

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

editor at that time, in any case? 1

2 Α. Yes.

3 Ο. How about yourself, Mr. Walls, do you know of

any time in which you were retaliated against by Timothy 4

Jackson? 5

Not prior to July of 20 -- well, not prior to 6 7 July of 2020, or really prior to even the beginning of 8 the fall 2020 semester. I tried very hard to make sure 9 that there was no reason for me to be retaliated

10 against.

Did Timothy Jackson promote your career within 11 the division in which you're a graduate student? 12

Yes. 13 Α.

MR. ALLEN: And I want to mark this as 14 Exhibit 6, if you could, please. 15

16 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 6 MARKED.)

17 (By Mr. Allen) This seems to be a statement by 18

you, Levi, at the top, is that correct?

A. Yes. 19

20 Q. Do you recognize this statement?

21 Yap.

Do you remember writing it? 22 Q.

23 A. Yes.

If you know, do you know the exact date on 24 25 which you wrote it?

A. I don't know the exact date, but I would guess 1 2 sometime around July 26 or 27, 2020.

3 Q. And I'm going to represent to you that this thread with Nate, Brian, Jessica, and someone with an

initial "E" seems to be dated July 26, 2020. To the

best of your knowledge, would that be correct? 6

> A. That sounds correct.

8 And you say, "sorry, I was spending time with

9 Ophelia." I imagine that's your daughter?

10 A. Yes.

7

"Sorry, I was spending time with Ophelia and 11 Q.

12 wasn't checking my phone. I'll sign on to Bryan's

13 denouncement of Dr. Jackson's. I would be lying if I

said he wasn't help to my theoretical/literary

15 development, but his political views need to be

condemned and UNT shouldn't have a place for them/him." 16

17 Did I read that correctly?

> Α. Yes.

19 So, this affirmatively states that he has been

20 a help to your theoretical/literary development, is that

21 correct?

18

24

3

34

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And you stand by that statement still.

Yes. He encouraged me to get my related field

in English lit

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

36

35

Q. Would you describe Timothy Jackson, up to the 1

time before this exchange, as a mentor?

Α. Sure. Yes.

Q. And if you know, past educators of the Journal 4

5 for Schenkerian Studies, did they go on to get good jobs

6 in your field?

7 The only editors I know are Ben Graf and Collin

8 Davis. They both seem to be doing okay. I know more

about Ben Graf's career, obviously, because he's at UNT,

10 than I do about Collin Davis, who I've rarely ever

11 spoken to, but I know that he works at a university,

12 so --

14

15

13 Q. And what university does he work at?

A. I don't recall.

Would you characterize his job, so much as you

16 know of it, as a good job?

17 A. I mean, just having a position in a university could be said to be a good job, whether you're -- well,

18 I'm not sure I'd say an adjunct would be a good job, 19

20 because that can be rough. But if you're at least an

21 assistant professor, I would say that qualifies as a

22 good job.

23 Skipping down -- back to Exhibit 5, and sorry to bounce around, Mr. Walls, but I'm back on Exhibit 5, 24 and I'm on the fourth page, there's another set of blue

- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- 3 I believe Benjamin Graf is saying, "it's
- blowing up and honestly we never even wanted to do it, 4
- 5 but it's my dissertation advisor and higher ranking
- colleague, plus we wanted to publish supporting essays." 6
- 7 Did I read that correctly?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you recognize that as Benjamin Graf
- speaking to you and Chris Segall, right? 10
- Yes. Δ. 11
- Q. What's he referring to, "we never even wanted 12
- to do it, and we wanted to publish supporting essays? 13
- MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, calls for 14
- 15 speculation.
- A. I believe he's talking about the -- not 16
- plenary -- the -- sorry -- the responses to Ewell --17
- 18 symposium, sorry, the word just flew out of my head.
- 19 Q. (By Mr. Allen) And just to be clear, that's
- 20 the symposium, which was the given in November of 2019,
- published in Volume 12 of the Journal of Schenkerian 21
- Studies? 22
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Is it fair if we just refer to that by
- shorthand as just "the symposium", for the rest of the 25

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

38

- deposition? 1
- 2 Α.
- 3 Okay. Thanks. Again, you understood Benjamin
- Graf to be saying we never even wanted to do the 4
- symposium, correct? 5
- A. I believe so. 6
- 7 And "we wanted to publish supporting essays."
- 8 What does he mean -- how did you understand that to
- mean, "we wanted to publish supporting essays"? 9
- 10 I believe what he meant was that if the
- symposium was going to go ahead, that our preference 11
- would have been for there to be plenty of essays in 12
- support of Ewell, rather than it just being Schenkerian 13
- after Schenkerian. 14
- Q. Is it possible to be a Schenkerian and be pro 15
- 16 Ewell?
- 17 A. Sure. I think so.
- 18 Q. And is it possible to be pro Ewell and be, you
- know, pro Schenkerian analysis? 19
- 20 I think that as Ewell has done, you can admit
- 21 that Schenkerian analysis has analytical uses, but also
- that it has a history with a race that's very 22
- 23 questionable and deserves to be questioned.
- And so, I don't think that there is this 24
- 25 necessity to be black and white, in which you're either

- a Ewell supporter or you're a person who does 1
- 2 Schenkerian analysis.
- 3 Q. Skipping to the next page here, if you could.
- I'm on page 5, now. You contribute to the conversation.
- "I can see that -- referring to what was coming before
- it -- "definitely not something I or Ben considered. We 6
- 7 were about to finish the journal, which was supposed to
- be published in November or early December, when the 8
- 9 advisory board got really gungho about a response to
- 10 Ewell. And so, we made the deadline very short."
- 11 Can you describe what you're referring to

in that statement? 12

13 A. So, I think Chris had expressed the relief that the very short deadline at a busy time of the year, 14

15 around Christmas, was strategically done in order to

limit the number of responses. So, in other words, in 16

17 order to limit the number of pro Ewell responses. And I

18 said that I could see that reasoning, but it wasn't

19 something that Graf or I had considered.

20 The reasoning at the time had just been

21 that the journal was basically done at the end of the 22 year, and then the SMT in November happened. And

23 suddenly, there was this new section of the journal that

we had to do, and so in order to salvage somewhat of a

deadline, since it was supposed to be a 2020 journal,

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

40

39

- the call was just made very short. 1
- 2 Q. Did other people at the journal discuss
 - manipulating the deadline to exclude pro Ewell points of
- view? 4

3

9

- 5 A. No.
 - Q. And you also say, if you skip down one bubble
- after Benjamin Graf's blue bubble there, it says,
- 8 "Volume 13 would have been preferable," correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Is that something you argued for at the time?
- A. I don't think I argued for putting the 11
- symposium in Volume 13. I think the view at the time 12 13 was that it was timely for it to go in Volume 12.
- 14 Q. And what would make it timely? Can you 15 describe the thought process of you, as an editor, of
- 16 are what you were fielding as questions by anyone on the 17 editorial board? What was making it timely?
- 18 **A.** Well, if there was going to be a symposium based on Ewell's talk, it would make sense for it to
- 20 occur a month or two after Ewell's talk, rather than a
- year and month after Ewell's talk. 21
- 22 On the other hand, putting it in Volume 13, even though it would have been delayed, would have been 23
- preferable from the standpoint that there would have 24
- been more time to, you know, allow people to write

- 1 responses.
- **Q.** It was certainly a lot of work for you, right?
- 3 A. Sure
- **Q.** It would seem that you worked very hard on this
- 5 project, correct?
- **6 A.** Well, it was my job.
- **Q.** Were you -- did anyone comment about your hard
- 8 work on the project at the time, that it was deficient
- 9 in any way or that you weren't holding up your end?
- **10 A.** No. I think I did well in typesetting the
- **11** articles and getting rid of typos and, you know, looking
- 12 at structure.
- 13 Q. And Levi Walls, reading your name "Levi" on the
- 14 next page, page 6. I'm sorry to call you by your first
- 15 name, but it's just that's the name on the thread, no
- 16 disrespect intended. You know, about two sentences
- 17 down, it says, "I like the job in general, because I
- 18 love editing and being involved in research, but I'm not
- 19 in a position to go against the people who control the
- 20 journal." You see that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- **Q.** Describe your position on the journal and how
- 23 you felt you were able to discuss the initiatives of the
- 24 journal with other people on the editorial board for me.
- 25 A. Well, when it came to discussions of what

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

42

- 1 should and shouldn't go into the journal, even if I had
- 2 reservations, I generally kept them to myself.
- **Q.** Describe your interactions with authors in the
- 4 editorial process. How did you interact with the
- 5 authors?
- **6 A.** Mostly, I gave comments on readability and if
- 7 there was something that they wrote that I thought was,
- **8** you know, clumsy or awkward, well, I wouldn't have said
- 9 "clumsy" to them, that would have come off as rude. But
- 10 if the wording was somehow unclear, I would have
- 11 suggested an alternate wording. And, obviously, if they
 - were clear typos, I would have suggested changing those.
- **13** Generally, closest I got to content, at
- 14 least in the -- you know, well, I suppose in both the
- 15 large scale articles and the symposium would be comments
- 16 about, like, argumentative structure. Like, if I saw an
- 17 argument that just rhetorically wasn't clear, but that
- 18 really doesn't have much to do with like the content of
- **19** it.

12

- 20 The closest I got to talking about content
- 21 was with one of the contributors, Barry Wiener. And I
- 22 expressed some concern over the tone. But after that, I
- 23 stopped doing that.
- **Q.** And this was an author you now characterize as
- 25 having published a racist article, correct?

- A. Yes.
- **Q.** And did you recognize his article as racist at
- 3 the time?

1

7

21

- A. Yes
- **Q.** And did you leave any writing indicating that
- 6 you felt his article was racist?
 - A. I did not tell him that his article was racist.
- 8 I said that the tone was -- I don't recall exactly what
- 9 I said, but I think I said something along the lines
- 10 that the tone was confrontational and that his arguments
- 11 would come out better if it was not as confrontational
- 12 or if he was less, I don't know, confrontational towards
- 13 left politics?
- **Q.** Is it racist to be confrontational, is that
- 15 what you mean?
- **16 A.** I don't believe it's racist to be
- 17 confrontational in itself. I believe it's racist to say
- 18 something along the lines of, left politics being part
- 19 of reeducation camps.
- **Q.** Did his article say that?
 - A. I believe that was in that article. I could be
- 22 mistaken, it could have been in another article.
- **Q.** And you write here, in fact, you have the
- 24 exhibit, "I also don't want to lose my job." Do you see
- 25 where you said that?

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

44

43

- 1 A. Yes.
- **Q.** Did anyone ever threaten you with losing your
- 3 job at the journal?
- 4 A. No.
- **Q.** In fact, you quit you said, I think, July 29th,
- 6 2020, if I'm not mistaken, or thereabouts?
- **7 A.** Yes. And I was encouraged to leave by Benjamin
- 8 Brand.
- **9 Q.** Benjamin Brand being the department chair or
- **10** division chair MHTE.
- **11 A.** Yes
 - Q. I'm always afraid I'm transposing the letters.
- 13 So, he essentially told you to leave the job, is that
- 14 it?

12

- A. He didn't tell me to leave the job, but he knew
- 16 I was unhappy in the job, especially in the recent
- 17 months leading up to July. Really, from November to
- 18 July. Pre-November, pre-SMT, I was actually rather
- 19 happy with the job, just working on those three academic
- 20 articles.
- 21 And up to that point, the input from the
- 22 editorial board was a lot less. It was after the SMT
- 23 that it became very micromanaged, and that's about the
- 24 point where I started to dislike the job.
- 25 So, Brand knowing that I was already

7

9

21

3

46

- unhappy in the job and had already been concerned about
 my name being attached to something that was racist,
 encouraged me to leave the position. And, mainly, did
 that by saying that my funding would be okay if I did,
 that I would have a position as a TA, which was my main
 concern.
 Q. Which is what you've done now, correct? You've
- Q. Which is what you've done now, correct? You've8 continued as a TA, correct?
- 9 A. Yes
- **Q.** And no one was issuing statements for you to be
- 11 fired, correct?
- **12 A.** No.
- Q. And it was -- you were becoming dissatisfied
 with the job, you said from November up through July, so
 sounds like from the Philip Ewell talk through the
- publication of the journal and the resulting fallout,
- **17** because of the racist content of the journal.
- **18 A.** Yes.
- 19 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 7 MARKED.)
- **Q.** (By Mr. Allen) I think you're on this e-mail,
- 21 Mr. Walls. Is this your e-mail, LeviWalls@my.unt.edu?
- **22 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** Do you recall this e-mail?
- **24 A.** Yes, I do.
- **Q.** And isn't it true that this e-mail discusses

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

having a response from Ewell and others who might want

- 2 to respond to the symposium in a Volume 13?
- **A.** I have to remind myself everything that was
- 4 said in this e-mail. Could I just have a moment to
- 5 review it?

1

- **Q.** Of course. Of course. I should have said that
- 7 at the beginning, and I'm sure your attorney would have
- 8 objected if I forced you to comment on a document that
- 9 you couldn't read. If at any time you need time to
- 10 examine a document, please just say so.
- 11 A. All right. What was your question?
- **Q.** So, this e-mail discusses having a response
- 13 from Ewell, as well as others, to the symposium in
- 14 Volume 13, which would have appeared in the next
- 15 subsequent volume of the Journal for Schenkerian
- **16** Studies, correct?
- **17 A.** Yes
- **Q.** Do you know if a call for papers ever went out?
- **19 A.** For Volume 13?
- **Q.** Correct.
- **21 A.** Not that I know of.
- **22 O.** Why not?
- **A.** I mean, I assume if it went out, it would have
- 24 went to SMT list, but I actually don't keep track of
- 25 it -- SMT list, that is.

- **Q.** But you know for a fact no call for papers for
- a Volume 13, as a kind of follow-up to the symposium
- 3 ever went out.
 - A. I don't know that for a fact. I just haven't
- 5 seen one. As far as I know, no call ever went out for
- 6 Volume 13.
 - Q. Did you prepare any such call for papers?
- 8 A. No.
 - Q. You participated directly in the call for
- 10 papers that went out for the symposium, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- **Q.** Isn't this a normal part of editorial practice,
- 13 to call for responses to controversial articles that
- 14 have been published?
- **15 A.** To the best of my knowledge, I think that's
- 16 normal, although I got a sense from other people that
- 17 what would have been more standard would have been to
- 18 specifically invite Ewell from the beginning.
- 19 Q. Do you know that Ewell was not invited to
- 20 participate in the symposium?
 - A. He wasn't directly or explicitly invited.
- **Q.** Was he invited in some way?
- **A.** It is true that the call went out general or
- 24 generally through the SMT list, I think, and so,
- 25 theoretically, he might have had access to the call, if

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

48

- 1 he keeps track of the SMT list, which I mean, I imagine
- 2 he does, but he wouldn't have been invited specifically.
 - Q. Do you know if Ewell participated in any of the
- 4 authors' publications that were pro-Ewell that appeared
- 5 in the symposium, by either consulting with them or
- **6** reading their papers in advance or in any form like
- 7 that? Did you have any knowledge of that, as an editor?
- **8 A.** I think one of the articles mentioned in --
- 9 sorry -- acknowledgments that they consulted with Ewell,
- 10 just asking his opinion on what they wrote, but I
- 11 don't -- I want to say Lett's, that could be wrong.
- 12 Stephen Lett.
- **Q.** Stephen Lett's publication, is that what you're
- 14 referring to?
- **15 A.** Yes. I believe that was the one with the
- **16** acknowledgment mentioning that they ran it by Ewell for
- 17 comments
- **Q.** So if someone said Ewell had no notice that the
- 19 symposium was going to be published, that would be
- 20 false, correct?
- 21 MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, calls for
- 22 speculation.
- 23 A. I think he had notice, but it seemed to me --
- 24 and, again, I don't really know Ewell's frame of mind --
- it seems as if he wanted a direct invitation, that if

- that wasn't granted, he wasn't, you know, welcome tosubmit a response.
- Q. (By Mr. Allen) But the call for papers did go4 out over the SMT list, which he would have received,
- 5 correct?
- **6** MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, calls for
- 7 speculation.
- 8 A. If he keeps track of the SMT list, then he9 would have seen it, I'm sure.
- Q. (By Mr. Allen) Do you have any reason tobelieve he would not receive the SMT list e-mailings?
- **A.** I mean, I'm sure he would have received it, but, I mean --
- 14 Q. That's okay. That's all I want to know.
- **15 A.** Okay.
- Q. And he also had knowledge that papers werebeing published in the symposium, which he knew from
- 18 other authors, in particular, the author Lett, correct?
- MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, calls for
- 20 speculation.
- 21 A. Yes. But for all I know, he found out that
- 22 after the deadline had already passed. So, it's
- 23 possible that in that scenario, the deadline passed, and
- 24 at that point Lett was already writing his article or --
- 25 oh, no, I'm sorry. No. If Lett contributed before the

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

50

- 1 deadline, then I suppose Ewell would have known before
- 2 the deadline.
- **3** MR. ALLEN: I'm going to mark Exhibit 8,
- 4 please.
- 5 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 8 MARKED.)
- **6** MR. ALLEN: Now, it's about 2:05, I know
- 7 that some people wanted a break after about an hour the
- 8 first deposition. Should we have a brief intermission?
- **9** MR. BOHUSLAV: Yes. This is a good
- 10 stopping point?
- MR. ALLEN: Can we go off the record?
- 12 (OFF THE RECORD FROM 2:07 TO 2:22 P.M.)
- **Q.** (By Mr. Allen) So, Mr. Walls, I've given you a
- 14 document marked Exhibit 8.
- **15 A.** Uh-huh.
- **Q.** Do you recognize this document?
- **17 A.** Yes
- **Q.** And could you describe the contents of this
- 19 document for the Court, please?
- **20 A.** So, this is my e-mail to Dr. Brand near the
- 21 beginning of 2020, expressing my concerns over the
- 22 upcoming issue, specifically the symposium, and we sat
- 23 up a meeting.
- Q. And were the concerns in this -- expressed inthis document, were those the same that you had just

- expressed, your growing dissatisfaction with what you
- 2 perceived as racism in the contents of the journal?
- **A.** In what was going to be the content in the
- 4 journal, and my discomfort was over some of the
- 5 responses I was seeing informally over e-mail to the
- 6 plenary talk. Not just Ewell's, but the plenary talk,
- 7 in general, and just my understanding of where people on
- 8 the editorial board were, in terms of their knowledge of
- **9** race issues.
- **Q.** So, you said something there, "not only Ewell,
- 11 but the plenary talk, in general." Can you explain what
- you mean by that?
- **A.** I remember somebody said something about the
- 14 plenary being demoralizing, suggesting that just --
- 15 since the plenary itself was focused on social issues,
- 16 expressing dissatisfaction with the plenary, at large,
- 17 which I saw as very problematic.
 - Q. And by problematic, do you mean racist, among
- 19 other things?

18

21

24

9

- **20 A.** Yes, racist, among other things.
 - Q. What other things, perhaps? Could you describe
- 22 other things that were disquieting to you about the
- 23 substance of the responses to the plenary?
 - A. Well, in that one comment about the plenary
- 25 being demoralizing, I wasn't at the plenary because I

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

52

- 1 wasn't at that SMT, and I only watched Ewell's paper
- 2 from the plenary, but I know that there were papers in
- 3 the plenary, not just about race, but I think about
- 4 gender, as well, and so just the belief that the plenary
- 5 itself was demoralizing, I saw as racist or sexist
- **6** because it fails to understand the fact that an entire
- 7 session on racism and sexism should, to a certain
- **8** extent, be an uncomfortable experience.
 - **Q.** Why should it be an uncomfortable experience?
- **10 A.** Because people don't like being confronted with
- 11 the ills of the past, in terms of their nationality or
- 12 race or gender or whatever identity. People are very
- 13 resistant to it.
- **Q.** Did you feel that it was illegitimate to defend
- **15** Schenkerian analysis in the face of this plenary
- 16 session?

- **17 A.** For who to defend Schenkerian analysis?
 - Q. Good question. So, let me back up. The
- 19 correspondence you were seeing was that correspondence
- **20** among the editorial board, which you've already
- 21 described as made up primarily of people we've described
- 22 as hard core Schenkerians. So, did you feel it was
- 23 illegitimate for these hard core Schenkerians to object
- 24 to the plenary session?
- **25 A.** Yes. I felt that they were okay to object to

- specific theoretical issues, but they chose to 1
- specifically focus on just the direction that the 2
- 3 plenary took, in terms of like being on social issues or
- being left of center. 4
- 5 **Q.** Do you consider, for instance, Philip Ewell's
- views to be left of center? 6
 - Α. Ves

- 8 Do you consider them to be moderate views?
- Yeah. I would say that they're moderate. 9
- 10 How would you characterize his paper in its
- substance? If you could summarize his paper in three 11
- 12 sentences, how would you summarize it?
- 13 I mean, that's quite a task. But I would say
- that primarily his paper focused on the -- really, 14
- 15 the -- what's the word I'm looking for? I suppose the
- debt that music theory has, and to a somewhat lesser 16
- extent musicology, the debt that it has to white 17
- 18 supremacist narratives, mainly seen through issues of
- 19 canon, what works are and aren't focused on in academia.
- And as a part of that, he focused on Schenker as a case 20
- study, since Schenker is a widely practiced methodology 21
- in North America. 22
- 23 Q. And is the objection to those opinions what
- you're referring to here? I'm looking at the second 24
- sentence, which is quite long, but I'm going to -- look 25

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

- where it refers to Burkhart, Eric Wen and Damschroder,
- and you say, "who I know to have particularly vitriolic 2
- 3 opinions about Ewell and his paper." Is it the
- objection to those ideas which you summarized what you 4
- meant when you wrote that to your chair, Benjamin Brand? 5
- MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, vague, compound. 6
- 7 Could you re -- or could you be a little bit
- 8 more clear?

1

- 9 Q. (By Mr. Allen) Sure. I'll just withdraw the
- 10 question, please.
- Let me read the sentence. "Even though we 11
- put out a CFP that I specifically framed in a way that 12
- emphasizes that responses should be thoughtful and 13
- neutral in tone, Dr. Jackson has been privately 14
- 15 soliciting responses from people (Burkhart, Eric Wen,
- 16 Damschroder) who I know to have particularly vitriolic
- 17 opinions about Ewell and his paper." Did I read that
- 18 correctly?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 And you wrote that, right?
- 21 Yes.
- And the particularly vitriolic opinions about 22
- 23 Ewell and his paper, those you were identifying as the
- opinions of the hard core Schenkerians, among whom many 24
- were on the board of the Journal for Schenkerian 25

- Studies, correct? 1
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 And they were reacting to what you
- characterized as the content of Ewell's paper, as you
- 5 just summarized, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did this meeting take place between you and Dr.
- 8 Brand?

7

- 9 A.
- 10 And what did you discuss in that meeting? Ο.
- I told him that I was worried about what the 11
- 12 journal was going to print, because it seemed as if
- 13 people were really angry about Ewell's paper, and I
- didn't want the journal to print anything explicitly 14
- racist or implicitly racist, and I was afraid that they 15
- were going to, and so I just told him that I was worried 16
- 17 about that.

18

25

54

- Q. Were you worried about the effect this would
- 19 have on your career, too?
- 20 Yeah. I was worried. I was a little bit more
- worried about the reputation of the school and the 21
- 22
- departments, but I was also worried about my own 23 reputation as being someone who -- whose name would be
- on the journal. 24
 - What else did you talk about with Dr. Brand?

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

56

- 1 A. I mean, it wasn't a really long meeting. I
- don't recall exactly how long it was, maybe 20 minutes,
- 3 and so that's mainly what we stuck to. He did express
- the idea that there wasn't much to worry about and that
- I shouldn't be very worried, that if -- you know, if the
- journal did express racist -- people who contributed to
- 7 the journal expressed racist beliefs, then those were
- 8 their beliefs and not necessarily my own.
- 9 Q. Did he express any desire or need to eliminate
- 10 the journal at that time?
- Did he express any belief or desire to remove 12
- Timothy Jackson from the editorial board? 13
- Α. 14
 - Q. To remove Stephen Slottow from the editorial
- 16 board?

11

15

- 17 A.
- 18 To replace you with a tenured faculty member in Ο.
- 19 anv wav?
- 20 A.
- 21 And was there anything else you discussed with
- Benjamin Brand in that 20-minute meeting? 22
 - Let me think. We did briefly discuss the -- as
- 24 I understood it, the history of Dr. Jackson's
- understanding of race, and that I didn't have a lot of

- faith in it, and that would be why I was especially
 worried about what was going to appear in the journal.
 Q. Is that the reference in Exhibit 8 to
 diversity, equity and inclusion issues?
 A. What part of Exhibit 8?
- **Q.** If you look on the second page, that's -- if
- you look at the bottom, there's UNT 646, that's what's
- 8 called Bates numbers, because lawyers always have to
- 9 invent such names for things. "The journal will be
- 10 publishing responses to a controversial SMT paper soon,
- 11 and it poses a possible issue for the atmosphere of
- 12 diversity and inclusion that I know you -- meaning
- 13 Benjamin Brand -- have been conscious about fostering
- 14 lately, which is a great thing." Did I read that
- 15 correctly?
- **16 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** So, that's what I'm referring to when I asked
- 18 you, did you discuss the relationship of Timothy
- 19 Jackson's approach to, I guess, issues of racism or what
- 20 you previously described as bias or implicit bias, did
- 21 you discuss that, in terms of Benjamin Brand's diversity
- 22 and equity and inclusion initiatives?
- 23 A. Briefly.
- **Q.** And what did you say to Benjamin Brand about
- 25 that?

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

58

- A. Well, when I aired my concerns, Benjamin Brandsaid that Dr. Jackson did very well in the diversity and
- **3** equity training, and I reminded him that Dr. Jackson
- 4 left the faculty -- the joint faculty/student session
- 4 left the faculty -- the joint faculty/student session
- **5** early, which I thought was disrespectful.
- **Q.** When did that take place?
- **7 A.** I don't recall exactly when that training was.
- 8 If I had to guess, I would say during the fall semester
- **9** of 2020.
- Q. What were you required to take, as a graduatestudent, in terms of equity, diversity and inclusion
- 12 training?
- A. I think it was just one session that was justqrad students, and then a joint student/faculty session.
- **Q.** Were there materials distributed in these
- 16 sessions?
- **17 A.** Yes
- **Q.** What was distributed in these sessions, please?
- 19 A. I don't recall exactly, just because it was a
- 20 long time ago, but I think that there was just general
- 21 things provided about micro-aggressions, I definitely
- 22 remember that, and I think there was also resources
- 23 about the -- I forget the acronym, but the Harvard
- 24 implicit bias study, where you're asked to look at a
- 25 series of words and tap left or right depending on, you

know, negative or positive connotation.

Q. Was that presented as more or less social

3 scientific truth?

1

4

9

MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, vague.

5 A. Yeah.

Q. (By Mr. Allen) You directly experienced this,

7 did you not?

8 A. I did.

Q. And the implicit bias training, I'll just

10 repeat because for some reason your counsel seems to

11 think this was a vague question, was that presented in

12 the presentation as social scientific truth?

A. I don't really know what you mean by socialscientific truth.

Q. Was it presented as something that was

16 unimpeachably established by science?

17 A. It was -- the Harvard study, you mean?

18 Q. Correct.

19 A. It was established as something that was done

20 at a well-respected university with people who know

21 better than any of us do signing off on its legitimacy.

Q. Was that -- was there any criticism of the

23 social scientific studies of Harvard University

24 presented in the presentation?

25 A. No.

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

60

59

- Q. Was any voiced by the audience?
- **A.** I think a few people said that it was just a

confusing test, and so there was like room for a margin

4 of error

1

3

q

10

5 Q. So, I wanted to follow up and ask you if you

had kept any of the materials that were distributed at

7 these diversity, equity and inclusion trainings?

8 A. I think I might have kept them, but I have no

clue where they would be. Probably in a stack of papers somewhere.

Q. Well, we'll follow up on that. So, back to Mr.

12 Benjamin Brand. So, you discussed, specifically, these

13 trainings in your discussion of Timothy Jackson's

14 failure to have, I suppose, the correct viewpoints. Am

15 I summarizing that correctly?

A. I don't know if it's about viewpoints. But to

17 be informed, for instance, to know what a person of18 color is.

Q. What is a person of color to you, Mr. Walls?

A. A person of color is anybody who identifies asnon-white, so that includes black people, indigenous

people, Hispanic people, Asian people.

Q. Is someone's subjective identification as whiteor non-white important, to become a person of color?

A. I suppose identification wouldn't be the right

- word, because then you could be a white person and say, 1
- 2 I identify as Asian, which wouldn't make you a person of
- 3 color. So, I suppose it comes down to -- I mean, it's
- difficult to define, because race is just such a
- 5 difficult issuing, but I suppose it comes down to
- phenotype, physical look, and also just heritage, but 6
- 7 I'm not an expert on race theory.
- 8 Q. It seems like what you're saying is it's very
- difficult to define what race is, is that fair? 9
- 10 A. Yeah.
- Q. How did Timothy Jackson fail to engage this 11
- 12 topic, in your view?
- 13 A. He thought that a person of color meant,
- specifically, a black person. 14
- 15 What did he say that led you to believe that he
- thought a person of color meant specifically a black 16
- 17 person?
- 18 A. Because I mentioned that my wife and
- 19 forthcoming child were people of color, and he said that
- 20 he didn't know my wife was black.
- Did he mention to you that his wife was Korean? 21
- 22 Α. I know his wife is Korean.
- 23 You knew that independently, right?
- 24 Α.
- 25 Q. Do you think that Professor Jackson considers

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

- his wife a person of color? 1
- 2 MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, calls for
- 3 speculation.
- A. I remember at the time him remarking, oh, well, 4
- then my children are people of color. It seemed like a 5
- new revelation to him. 6
- 7 (By Mr. Allen) So, he discussed this directly
- 8 with you, that his wife was a person of color and his
- children were mixed race. 9
- 10 Α. Yes.
- Going back to our friend Benjamin Brand, the 11
- department chair or division chair, I just wanted to 12
- ask, is there anything else you can remember discussing 13
- with Benjamin Brand in this January time frame in which 14
- you sent Benjamin Brand the e-mails in Exhibit 8? 15
- 16 To the best of my memory, that was everything
- 17 we talked about in that 20 or so minutes.
- 18 MR. ALLEN: I'm going to mark this as
- Exhibit 9, please. 19
- 20 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 9 MARKED.)
- 21 (By Mr. Allen) Do you recognize the second
- e-mail in this page? It starts, "From: Walls, Levi," 22
- 23 which is the way e-mail always does these things. Do
- 24 you recognize this e-mail?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- It's July 25th, 2020, correct?
- 2 Yes. A.

1

7

- 3 Q. Rather late in the evening, 8:56 p.m.
 - Uh-huh. A.
- 5 Q. You say, "I just heard about this." What are
- you referring to? 6
 - I think I'm referring to the Twitter backlash.
- 8 Twitter backlash to what?
- To Volume 12. q
- 10 And you say, "it's very worrying, especially as
- I don't want my career to be ruined before it properly 11
- 12 began." Can I ask you why would you be worried that
- 13 your career might be ruined before it properly began?
- 14 Because my name was attached to a journal that
- 15 printed explicitly racist comments.
- Q. And it sounds like you were concerned for your 16
- 17 family, and you were also, you say, confused about
- 18 exactly what people want. "The responses were to
- 19 Ewell's paper. Did Ewell want to respond to his own
- 20 paper?" You see where you said that? Those were your
- 21 words, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So, at the time you wrote this, you clearly did
- not have the impression that there was anything wrong to 24
- staging responses in the way the journal had gone about

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

64

63

1 it, correct?

3

62

- 2 MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, form.
 - The only thing that I failed to understand at
- that time was that there was something wrong with not 4
- like specifically inviting Ewell to issue a response.
- 6 (By Mr. Allen) So, what kind of invitation do
- you think would have been required?
- Q A. A direct one
- Q. What kind of direct one? Could you describe it q
- 10 in its form? If you could do everything over again,
- what would you have presented to Professor Ewell at 11
- Hunter College? 12
- 13 Well, I can't do everything over again, but I
- think what a direct invitation would have looked like is 14
- from somebody at the journal saying, we are 15
- publishing -- or no, not eliciting. We are seeking
- 17 responses to your paper and would like to know if you
- 18 would also like to be involved.
- Q. And you write, "I don't think anyone would have 19
- 20 a problem with that," correct? Yes.
- Q. So, there was no one at the journal who had 22
- 23 voiced any objection to Ewell responding to any of the
- responses or material that was published in the 24
- symposium, correct?

A.

I mean, not really directly, no. I think that if Ewell had written in saying, I'd like to respond, I mean, I'd like to believe that that would have been allowed to happen.

6 Q. (By Mr. Allen) Well, let me put it more 7 directly. Did anyone ever tell you, who is associated with the editorial staff, that they would not permit 8

Ewell to publish in the journal? 9

3

5

12

13

14

15

16

24

1

6

7 8

9

13

10 No. But the possibility of it didn't really Α. come up. 11

You say in the second to last sentence in that paragraph, since the journal printed every response we got, it would go without saying that we weren't interested in presenting a one-sided picture. Do you

I think that the split of articles was mostly 17 18 fine. It was -- there were a few more Schenkerian 19 articles than there were, you know, articles pushing 20 back against -- or not pushing back against, but really like supporting the content in Ewell's talk. 21

22 But -- sorry. Could you rephrase or could 23 you say the question, again?

still believe that that's a true statement?

Sure. I read the sentence -- it's your 25 sentence, right? These are your words. "Since the

> Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

journal printed every response that we got, it should go

without saying that we weren't interested in presenting 2

3 a one-sided picture." And I asked you, do you still

stand by that statement as a true statement? It's just 4

a simple "yes" or "no" question. 5

A. Yeah. I think that the journal, because they published everything that was sent in, they didn't try and direct the discourse in one way or the other. I just was extremely displeased with the hard core

10 Schenkerian content.

Q. You would have removed those articles that were 11 characterized later as racist, is that it? 12

If it were up to me, yes.

Incidentally -- I'm going back to Exhibit 8 for 14 a brief moment. You mentioned Burkhart, Eric Wen and 15 16 Damschroder. Can you give the full name of each of 17 those individuals, if you know it?

18 Α. William Burkhart, Eric Wen, and David Damschroder. 19

20 Did any of those individual actually publish 21 responses in the symposium?

Burkhart did. Eric Wen did not, and Damschroder 22 Α.

23 did not.

24 So, these individuals who you were concerned Q. about when you went to talk to Dr. Brand, two of them 25

were not even going to respond in the symposium, 1

2 correct?

3 A. I thought they would. They had a lot of opinions. They just decided not to, in the end.

5 Were they eliminated because of any kind of

6 editorial process?

7 A. No. I assume that Damschroder and Eric Wen 8 just didn't want to get involved or didn't have the time

to write a response.

10 Q. Now, back to Exhibit No. 9. It's true that you published every pro-Ewell response you received in 11

response to the CFP, right? 12

> A. Yes.

And by CFP, you understand that I mean call for 14 15 papers that you crafted in September of 2019, I believe,

correct? 16

13

24

25

66

17 Yes Α.

18 Q. Are there any criteria you would have used to

19 eliminate a pro-Ewell response?

20 A. If it had racist content in it, I would have

21 eliminated that, racist or sexist or classist.

22 Would you have eliminated a pro-Ewell response,

23 if it was anti-Semitic?

Yes. That counts as racism in my book.

Q. And the last two sentences before you closed

> Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

> > 68

67

the e-mail here, it says, "at the moment, people seem to

be speculating about the journal without actually

3 reading it." You still believe that was true, as you

state it at that time? I mean, in other words, in the

January 25th time frame, do you believe the criticism of

the journal was being mounted by many people who had not

7 read the journal?

8 A. I think there were a lot of people mounting criticism of it who hadn't read it but had talked to

10 people who had read it. So, the people who hadn't read

it, they got a picture of it, I think, but just not a 11

12 full picture. 13

I assume that a lot of them later went on 14 to read more of the articles for themselves. But I think it's fair to say that some of the people that had 15 16 criticisms didn't go through the trouble of reading it 17

18 Q. And, again, do you believe at this time, if

there was a need to respond with a Volume 13, like 19 20 responses to the responses, in other words, say a

21 symposium that responded to the original symposium, that

people would have backed that within the journal? 22 23

MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, calls for

24 speculation.

A. I mean, I think probably, because that idea was

certainly floated among the editorial board or people 1

- 2 who were more involved in the editorial board like --
- 3 Q. And it made sense to wait to bring Ewell into
- the process until we actually knew -- you -- or we
- 5 meaning you, the people on the editorial staff, and the
- population at large would read the journal, what had 6
- 7 actually been said in the symposium, correct?
- I mean, I thought at the time, but having 8
- talked to people who are more knowledgeable than myself 9
- in how journals should be run, I understand now that it 10
- would have been more proper and ethical to invite him 11
- 12 immediately to take part.
- 13 Q. And who told you that that would be the most
- 14 proper approach?
- 15 A. I talked to a few people who expressed that. I
- know Stephen Lett said that. And I think it might have 16
- come up when I talked to the ad hoc committee, all of 17
- 18 them being people who are knowledgeable in how journals
- 19 should be run.
- 20 So, they told that to you in the ad hoc
- committee, rather than you telling them things? Is that 21
- 22 what I'm to understand, at least about that specific
- 23 topic?
- 24 Well, I mean, they weren't feeding me words, if
- 25 that's what you mean. They were just saying, because

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

70

- that topic came up, and I think they said something 1
- along the lines of that that was an unusual practice. 2
- 3 MR. ALLEN: Can I have this marked,
- 4 please?

9

14

- 5 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 10 MARKED.)
- (By Mr. Allen) And, Mr. Walls, do you 6
- 7 recognize this exhibit?
- 8 Α. Yes
 - Can you describe this exhibit?
- 10 This was my public response after the backlash
- on July 27th. 11
- Q. And it looks like this is two days after 12
- Exhibit 9, correct? 13
 - Α. Yes
- 15 And in Exhibit 9, you had openly expressed
- 16 concern for your career due to the backlash. And two
- 17 days later at about 10 o'clock p.m., you posted this to
- 18 Facebook.
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Q. Were there any other venues that you published
- 21 this document?
- No, just Facebook. That's the only social 22 Α.
- 23 media I have.
- And you say -- I'm looking down three, four 24 Q.
- sentences. "I had no control over the content of the 25

journal." 1

6

12

15

19

21

25

69

- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 And you also say, "I am guilty of complicity
- because I remained in the position after I realized that
- 5 my whistleblowing efforts were for naught," right?
 - A. Yes.
- 7 And what whistleblowing efforts are you Q.
- 8 referring to there?
 - Going to talk to Brand.
- 10 Were there any other whistleblowing efforts you
- 11 engaged in?
 - A. No, I just talked to Brand.
- 13 And with Brand, you discussed what we've
- already gone over with regard to those e-mails, correct?
- And you also say at the bottom of that first 16
- page, "I feared I could not leave without significant 17
- 18 damage to my career."
 - A. Yes.
- 20 Yet now you have left the journal, correct? ο.
- 22 Q. But instead of damage to your career, you just
- 23 transitioned into a TA-ship, correct?
 - MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, leading.
 - A. I did go into a TA-ship, but the state of my

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

- 1 career is yet to be seen, because my career arguably has
- 2 not started.
- 3 Q. (By Mr. Allen) And did you ever approach
- Timothy Jackson with these concerns? 4
- 5
- Now, you say here, if I skip down to the next 6
- page, about six lines down, it says, "although after
- 8 serious thought, I essentially agreed with Ewell's
- talk." Do you still stand by that statement as true? 9
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And I'm skipping forward. "I gave comments to
- one author, including that they seemed to devalue other 12
- fields of study, that they cherrypicked information to 13
- make Schenker appear in a better light, and that they 14
- 15 confused cultural appropriation with egalitarianism."
- 16 And then, shortly thereafter, you were told
- 17 by Timothy Jackson that it was not your job to censor 18 people. Can you describe those interactions and who the
- author is you are discussing there, and just the general 19
- 20 substance of that conversation or series of
- 21 conversations?
- 22 A. The author I'm discussing is Wiener, Barry
- 23 Wiener, and they were the one that I gave comments to,
- 24 specifically about the contents, and they were very
- displeased with it and forwarded it to Jackson saying

- that, basically, we can't let the other side win. Andthe next day, I was told that it wasn't our job to
- **3** censor people's beliefs in the journal.
- **Q.** And who were you told it wasn't your job to
- **5** censor beliefs in the journal?
- 6 A. Dr. Jackson.
- **Q.** And did you agree with that statement?
- 8 **A.** I did.
- **9 Q.** You agreed that it was not the job to censor
- 10 authors of the journal, is that it?
- 11 A. I agreed, even though I didn't believe it, but
- 12 I said, all right, that sounds fine.
- **Q.** And were you ever told that that was a wrong
- 14 decision?
- **15 A.** By who?
- 16 Q. That's what I'm wondering. I don't know. Were
- 17 you ever told that that was the wrong position to take,
- 18 that there should have been censorship of people who
- 19 were expressing views which you've described in this
- 20 deposition as racist?
- 21 A. I mean, I think that there should have been
- 22 censorship.
- **Q.** Has anyone shared that view with you?
- **24 A.** I mean, I'm sure a few people have. People
- 25 generally believe that the things written in the journal

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

- shouldn't have been able to be published, the racist
- 2 comments.

1

- **Q.** So, there was a decision made in the journal
- 4 not to censor people, correct?
- A. Yes.
- **Q.** And was this decision applied both to the pro-
- 7 Ewell and anti-Ewell papers?
- 8 A. Yes.
- **9 Q.** Was there any impulse within the community of
- 10 the editorial board to censor the pro-Ewell responses?
- **11 A.** No
- **Q.** And, in fact, each one of those that was
- 13 submitted was published, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- **Q.** So, do you disagree with Professor Jackson,
- **16** that it was not your job to censor people?
- 17 A. I disagree with Dr. Jackson that it wasn't the
- 18 job of an editor to censor explicitly racist comments.
- 19 Q. And did any faculty members of UNT express that
- 20 similar view to you?
- 21 A. I think Ben Graf agreed with me, when we talked
- 22 about it, but I didn't really -- except for a few
- 23 e-mails around this time, I didn't really discuss it
- 24 very much with other faculty at UNT.
- **Q.** Was there ever any discussion with Andrew

1 Chung?

6

15

18

74

2 A. Only in this e-mail, and it was one of the more

3 recent exhibits, there was Chung and Bakulina and

- Heidlberger, I think, on the -- attached to the e-mail.
- **Q.** And did you discuss it with Ellen Bakulina?
 - A. Again, only in the context of this e-mail that
- 7 everyone was attached to.
- **Q.** And how about Diego Cubero?
- **9 A.** Only in that group e-mail.
- **Q.** You also say, skipping down to the next
- 11 paragraph, "I was worried about the potential dangers
- 12 that the journal posed for the College of Music and for
- 13 rational discourse in music theory."
- 14 Can you explain what you meant by that?
 - What are the potential dangers that the journal posed
- 16 for the College of Music and for rational discourse in
- 17 music theory?
 - A. Well, the journal's representative of the
- 19 college, being a journal that's printed out of the
- 20 College of Music. And so, really, any -- anything that
- 21 the journal does wrong will reflect badly on the
- 22 college, but will also reflect badly on just the field
- 23 of music theory, in general, which is certainly what
- 24 happened, considering that Volume 12 of the JSS
- 25 basically ruins the credibility that -- any credibility

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

76

75

- 1 that Schenkerian analysis could ever have.
- **Q.** So, it's your view that the symposium ruined
- 3 the credibility of Schenkerian analysis throughout the
- 4 United States?
- A. Absolutely.
- **Q.** And, therefore, you believe that what the
- 7 graduate students eventually called for at UNT, that the
- **8** journal be eliminated, that is a worthy goal.
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you also say here, "Dr. Jackson was
- 11 woefully ignorant about politically correct discourse
- and race relations," right? Do you see that?
- **13 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** What do you mean, "politically correct
- **15** discourse"? Can you describe what you mean by that?
- 16 A. I was thinking specifically of just knowing the17 very basic terminology around race, like what a person
- 18 of color is.
- **Q.** And you hold by your previous statement that
- 20 Timothy Jackson does not know, or at least until this21 conversation you referred to, did not know what a person
- 22 of color is.
 - **A.** It appeared that way.
- **Q.** Anything else that you consider Timothy
 - Jackson's woeful ignorance of politically correct

- 2 A. Well, there were a few instances. At one
- 3 point, when discussing Meyerbeer Opera, he used the term
- negro, not necessarily in a case that would have been
- 5 warranted historically. And in another case, he
- expressed worry about when he was in school being mugged 6
- 7 by black people when he was carrying around his scores.
- That he carried scores around New York a lot, and he 8
- would see black people look at him a certain way and 9
- 10 would be worried that he was going to be mugged, which
- seemed incredibly ignorant. 11
- 12 When was this, like in the 1970s, 1980s, do you
- 13 know?
- I don't recall exactly when he went to school, 14
- 15 but I would guess 1980s.
- Q. Do you know what the crime rates were at that 16
- 17 time?
- 18 A. Nope.
- 19 Do you think there's any objective basis to
- fear that he might be mugged on the streets of New York 20
- in the 1980s? 21
- 22 A. I think that there is a basis to fear mugging
- 23 anywhere in the U.S., in any state at any time, but not
- specifically by one group over another. 24
- 25 So, you would believe that it is racist to

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

78

- believe that statistics showing that certain groups of 1
- people are more likely, on average, to commit crimes 2
- 3 than other groups would not be a rational basis for
- opinions. 4
- 5 Α.
- Whether or not those statistics have any basis 6 Ο.
- 7 in reality.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 And that was part of Jackson's woeful ignorance
- 10 about politically correct discourse, correct?
- 11
- And you would believe that any professor, not 12
- just Professor Jackson, should hue and observe 13
- politically correct discourse, is that your basic 14
- belief? 15
- 16 Yes.
- 17 And is there anything in the category race
- 18 relations that you believe is included in politically
- correct discourse that we haven't discussed as part of 19
- 20 politically correct discourse?
- MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, vague. 21
- A. Yeah, I'm a little turned around by that 22
- 23 question. Could you rephrase it?
- (By Mr. Allen) Yeah. Let me strike that 24
- question. What I'm trying to get at, Mr. Walls, is 25

- we've discussed politically correct discourse, and you
- 2 say in your sentence, politically correct discourse and
- race relations. What I'm trying to ask you is, what are
- you referring to in the phrase "race relations" that we
- have not discussed in terms of politically correct
- discourse? 6

7

12

15

- **A.** I suppose just defining race relations would be
- the really relating to societal structure, including
- things like hegemony, like which classes of people tend
- 10 to get resources and which don't. That's, I think, what
- I meant by race relations. 11
 - Q. So, differences in the distribution of wealth,
- 13 is that what you mean?
- 14 Yes, among other things.
 - What other factors do you mean by hegemony?
- I'm really unsure what hegemony means. 16
- 17 A. Just basically the status quo. In this case,
- 18 the -- really the uneven distribution of wealth
- 19 following as -- what's the word -- as a consequence of
- 20 an entire group of people having been enslaved 200 years
- 21 ago.
- 22 Q. And by that, you mean black Americans.
- 23 A.
 - And anything else you mean by hegemony in race Q.
- relations?

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

80

79

- 1 A. I suppose not.
- 2 Q. You also say -- I'm skipping down yet again to
- the bottom of that page -- "I feared retaliation from
- Timothy Jackson because" -- let me start that again. "I
- feared retaliation from Timothy Jackson: He is an
- incredibly well-connected and influential figure in
- Schenkerian circles."
- 8 So, I think you had expressed in that first
- text message thread with Christopher Segall that you
- 10 feared retaliation, correct?
- 11 Yes. Α.
 - Q. And you're repeating that fear here.
- 13 Yes. A.

12

- And I believe that this being July 27th is 14 0.
- approximately the same time frame, is that correct? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 And we established already that you can't
- 18 really identify any specific incident in which you were
- 19 retaliated against, correct?
- 20 A. No. Because I was very careful not to give him
- 21 reason to retaliate against me up until that point.
- Q. So, your position is that you might have been 22
- retaliated against, but for not saying things or 23
- something of that nature. 24
 - A. I was sure I would have been.

1

4

- Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21 What made you sure? 1 2 Talking to people who have been retaliated 3 against, and just knowing -- just how he is, in terms of getting his own way about things. 4 5 Who had Timothy Jackson retaliated against in 6 the past? 7 A. Yiyi Gao. 8 Anyone else you can think of? I don't remember her last name, but a previous 9 Schenker RA, Rachel something. 10 Would it be Rachel Gain? 11 12 A. It was not Rachel Gain.
- 13 So, you can't remember the name of this other Schenker RA? 14 15 A. I don't recall her last name. We never really
- spoke in person. I was just told about their problems 16 from another person, David Falterman, who also 17 18 expressed -- expressed grievances about retaliation. 19 David Falterman? 20 Α. David.
- 21 Q. 22 Yeah. A. 23 Can you spell his last name, if you know it?

David

F-A-L-T-E-R-M-A-N. 24 25 Q. And would the Rachel be Rachel Anderson, by any

> Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

> > 82

- chance? 1
- 2 I'm really not sure. Α.
- 3 Q. So, you can't identify the second person,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. I just simply don't remember their last name.
- And you knew about it only through other people 6 7 telling you things.
- 8 Α. I knew about it through David, who talked to
- 9 them. 10 And do you know if David Falterman -- if David
- Falterman experienced any retaliation? 11 He said that he did, although he didn't go into 12 A.
- detail. 13
- And do you know any of the details about the 14 0. supposed retaliation against the Schenker RA? 15
- 16 I don't know the specific details.
- 17 How about Yiyi Gao, what do you know about
- supposed retaliation against this individual? 18
- That one, I know more about. I know that there 19
- 20 was a point where they were asked to keep typesetting
- 21 materials after an independent study had ended, and they
- couldn't because they were going home to see family. 22
- 23 And when they said that, Dr. Jackson retroactively
- changed their passing grade to a failing grade. 24
- And do you know if this is documented anywhere? 25

A. I'm sure it is because the issue was, as I

- 2 understand, taken up with administration.
- 3 Q. So, this was vetted with the administration, as
- 5 A. As far as I know.

far as you know?

- 6 Q. Do you agree that a student should not get a
- 7 passing grade for work that's not passing?
- 8 But the work was passing. That's why I got a 9 passing grade.
- 10 How do you know that? Ο.
- 11 Because I got a passing grade.
- 12 I thought you said it was changed from a
- 13 passing to failing grade.
- It was changed from a passing to failing grade, 14
- 15 when the student didn't do what they wanted -- what Dr.
- Jackson wanted. 16
- 17 What was that? Ο.
- 18 To keep typesetting materials after the
- 19 independent study had ended.
- 20 So, your view is or your understanding of this
- so-called retaliation was requiring a student to keep 21
- 22 typesetting work after a semester had ended for which
- 23 they got a passing grade.
 - Yes. A student should not be ordered to
- continue work that they are no longer getting school

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

84

- 1 credits for.
- 2 Q. So, she should have got a non-passing grade and
- 3 not have been afforded the opportunity to finish that
- work. Is that what you understand?
- 5 A. They got a passing grade because I assume they
- finished the work or else they wouldn't have gotten a
- 7 passing grade. It's only when they refused to keep
- 8 doing work that they were given a failing grade.
- 9 Q. Do you have any knowledge of whether the work 10
- up to that point was unsatisfactory or not?
- A. No. I assume it was just by virtue of the fact 11 that it was given a passing grade. 12
- 13 Q. So, the basic point is, you don't really
- understand the circumstances that led to this passing 14
- grade supposedly being given, correct? 15
- 16 A. I don't know all the circumstances, I just know 17 that it was wrong.
- 18 Q. And did you hear this from Yiyi Gao directly?
- I don't remember who I heard it from. It might 19 20 have been from Yiyi, or it might have been from a mutual 21 friend
- So, you can't identify now where you heard 22
- 23 this.
- 24 I think it was Yiyi, I'm just not 100 percent 25 certain

	Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21		Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21
	85		87
1	Q. Do you remember when you talked to her?	1	I don't think that we should stop studying
2	A. I remember it was around Christmas sometime.	2	any one person entirely, but I've long had grievances
3	Q. Christmas 2019?	3	over the just absolute inundation of music theory and
4	A. I think it was before that.	4	musicology with work on Beethoven or, really, any single
5	Q. Of the previous year, Christmas 2018?	5	figure.
6	A. I want to say it was Christmas 2018, but I'm	6	Q. Do you think studying Beethoven makes someone
7	very uncertain.	7	racist?
8	Q. And what did Yiyi Gao say or do that caused	8	A. I don't think studying Beethoven makes someone
9	Timothy Jackson to retaliate against her?	9	racist.
10	A. She refused to keep doing work after her	10	MR. ALLEN: And I'll mark another exhibit,
11	independent study had ended.	11	please, as Exhibit 12.
12	Q. Was there any indication that she disagreed	12	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 12 MARKED.)
13	with Timothy Jackson, and that caused him to retaliate	13	Q. (By Mr. Allen) Do you recognize this document,
14	against her?	14	Mr. Walls?
15	A. Disagreed with him about what?	15	A. I recognize it. I don't recall it as much as
16	Q. I don't know. How do you define retaliation,	16	the previous one, but I can tell that it's my writing.
17	Mr. Walls?	17	Q. And, again, you're discussing, for lack of a
18	MR. BOHUSLAV: Asked and answered.	18	better term, some of the classics in your field, right,
19	MR. STOWERS: Let's take a break.	19	things like Rilke, Ophelia, and so forth, correct?
20	MR. ALLEN: You want a break?	20	A. Yes.
21	MR. STOWERS: Yeah, let's take a break.	21	Q. And I want to call your attention to the last
22	MR. ALLEN: Yeah, we can take a break.	22	paragraph, and it says, "This talk of English literature
23	(OFF THE RECORD FROM 3:10 TO 3:14 P.M.)	23	reminds me. Would you mind signing my degree plan?
24	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 11 MARKED.)	24	Just the "major professor" line near the bottom of the
25	Q. (By Mr. Allen) Mr. Walls, I've had an exhibit Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21	25	front page. You'll have to do it electronically, which Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21
	<u> </u>		
1	86		88
1 2	86 pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy	1 2	88 should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you
2	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you	2	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson?
	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do		should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes.
2	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you	2 3	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson?
2 3 4	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College	2 3 4	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do
2 3 4 5	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical	2 3 4 5	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse
2 3 4 5	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician?	2 3 4 5 6	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me.
2 3 4 5 6 7	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan as your major professor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist? A. Yes. Q. And could you examine this document, please,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan as your major professor? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist? A. Yes. Q. And could you examine this document, please, and tell me if you recognize it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan as your major professor? A. Yes. Q. What's a major professor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist? A. Yes. Q. And could you examine this document, please, and tell me if you recognize it? A. Yes, I recognize it. Q. And what are you saying to Professor Jackson in this document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan as your major professor? A. Yes. Q. What's a major professor is just the primary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist? A. Yes. Q. And could you examine this document, please, and tell me if you recognize it? A. Yes, I recognize it. Q. And what are you saying to Professor Jackson in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan as your major professor? A. Yes. Q. What's a major professor? A. The major professor is just the primary professor that you do work under, and would serve as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist? A. Yes. Q. And could you examine this document, please, and tell me if you recognize it? A. Yes, I recognize it. Q. And what are you saying to Professor Jackson in this document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan as your major professor? A. Yes. Q. What's a major professor? A. The major professor is just the primary professor that you do work under, and would serve as the head of the committee when you do your dissertation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist? A. Yes. Q. And could you examine this document, please, and tell me if you recognize it? A. Yes, I recognize it. Q. And what are you saying to Professor Jackson in this document? A. He was talking about some Beethoven work, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan as your major professor? A. Yes. Q. What's a major professor? A. The major professor is just the primary professor that you do work under, and would serve as the head of the committee when you do your dissertation. Q. Is that synonymous with dissertation advisor? A. I don't know if it is. I assume it is. I would imagine it's synonymous.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist? A. Yes. Q. And could you examine this document, please, and tell me if you recognize it? A. Yes, I recognize it. Q. And what are you saying to Professor Jackson in this document? A. He was talking about some Beethoven work, and I said I'd be interested in seeing it, although at the time, I wasn't because I had a lot going on, but I wanted to be nice. And near the end of that paragraph,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan as your major professor? A. Yes. Q. What's a major professor? A. The major professor is just the primary professor that you do work under, and would serve as the head of the committee when you do your dissertation. Q. Is that synonymous with dissertation advisor? A. I don't know if it is. I assume it is. I would imagine it's synonymous. Q. It's sort of the is it the single professor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist? A. Yes. Q. And could you examine this document, please, and tell me if you recognize it? A. Yes, I recognize it. Q. And what are you saying to Professor Jackson in this document? A. He was talking about some Beethoven work, and I said I'd be interested in seeing it, although at the time, I wasn't because I had a lot going on, but I wanted to be nice. And near the end of that paragraph, I say that it's important to continue studying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan as your major professor? A. Yes. Q. What's a major professor? A. The major professor is just the primary professor that you do work under, and would serve as the head of the committee when you do your dissertation. Q. Is that synonymous with dissertation advisor? A. I don't know if it is. I assume it is. I would imagine it's synonymous. Q. It's sort of the is it the single professor who is your chief mentor among the faculty for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist? A. Yes. Q. And could you examine this document, please, and tell me if you recognize it? A. Yes, I recognize it. Q. And what are you saying to Professor Jackson in this document? A. He was talking about some Beethoven work, and I said I'd be interested in seeing it, although at the time, I wasn't because I had a lot going on, but I wanted to be nice. And near the end of that paragraph, I say that it's important to continue studying Beethoven, and that something valuable might come out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan as your major professor? A. Yes. Q. What's a major professor? A. The major professor is just the primary professor that you do work under, and would serve as the head of the committee when you do your dissertation. Q. Is that synonymous with dissertation advisor? A. I don't know if it is. I assume it is. I would imagine it's synonymous. Q. It's sort of the is it the single professor who is your chief mentor among the faculty for the purpose of your dissertation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	pre-marked as Exhibit No. 11, and I'm handing you a copy of that right now. And I'm going to before you examine this, I'm going to ask you a question. Do you are you aware that Philip Ewell of Hunter College has characterized Beethoven as a mediocre classical musician? A. Yes, I think I heard that. Q. And are you aware of his argument that Beethoven's prominence in the canon of classical music is another example of the white racial frame which he identifies as racist? A. Yes. Q. And could you examine this document, please, and tell me if you recognize it? A. Yes, I recognize it. Q. And what are you saying to Professor Jackson in this document? A. He was talking about some Beethoven work, and I said I'd be interested in seeing it, although at the time, I wasn't because I had a lot going on, but I wanted to be nice. And near the end of that paragraph, I say that it's important to continue studying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	should be straightforward," and so forth. Do you remember writing that to Professor Jackson? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what you're asking him to do in this last sentence the last few sentences? Excuse me. A. I'm asking him to sign my degree plan. Q. Why do you need Professor Jackson to sign your degree plan? A. Because he was still my major professor. Q. So you were asking him to sign your degree plan as your major professor? A. Yes. Q. What's a major professor? A. The major professor is just the primary professor that you do work under, and would serve as the head of the committee when you do your dissertation. Q. Is that synonymous with dissertation advisor? A. I don't know if it is. I assume it is. I would imagine it's synonymous. Q. It's sort of the is it the single professor who is your chief mentor among the faculty for the

- **A.** May 19th, 2020.
- **Q.** So this is well after you were concerned,
- 3 apparently, according to your Facebook post, that
- 4 Professor Jackson had produced disgusting viewpoints in
- **5** the symposium, correct?
- A. Yes.
- **7 Q.** And yet you still asked him to be the major
- 8 professor for your degree plan, correct?
- 9 A. Yes
- 10 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 13 MARKED.)
- **Q.** (By Mr. Allen) I'm going to ask you if you are
- aware of this document, or if you recognize it, Mr.
- 13 Walls?
- 14 A. I'm aware of it. I remember when it was sent
- 15 to me, and I read through it briefly.
- **Q.** So, you did receive Exhibit 13, correct?
- **17 A.** That's this one?
- Q. It is.
- **19 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** And I only ask because I don't see your e-mail
- 21 or name on the "To" line or the "cc" line, but you do --
- 22 you do acknowledge that you received this and examined
- 23 it at some point, correct?
- 24 A. I think so. I think I remember somebody
- 25 sending it to me. Maybe it was Graf.

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

90

- **Q.** And how would you describe this document, Mr.
- 2 Walls?
- **A.** So, the document is just laying out the duties
- 4 of the center or the Center for Schenkerian Studies RA.
- **Q.** And it says, in fact, "Center for Schenkerian
- 6 Studies Research Assistant Position Description,"
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- **9 Q.** And so, is it fair to characterize it as a job
- 10 description?
- 11 A. Sure
- **Q.** And do you see where it says, "Editor, Journal
- 13 of Schenkerian Studies"?
- **A.** Where?
- **Q.** There's a Roman Numeral I, and it says,
- 16 "Editor, Journal of Schenkerian Studies."
- **17 A.** Yes
- **Q.** And this was a fair description of your job as
- 19 the graduate student editor of the Journal of
- 20 Schenkerian Studies, correct?
- **A.** I don't remember what it says. Could I read
- **22** it?
- Q. Please.
- **A.** Yeah. That first paragraph, it talks about
- 25 communicating with reviewers and, you know, doing the

- administrative tasks, like talking to authors about like
- 2 formatting and like copy editing, and then following the
- 3 style guide.

1

7

21

24

- Q. And do you see the first sentence, "solicit
- 5 articles, reviews and other special contributions for
- 6 each issue of the journal." You see that?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And that was also understood to be a part of
- 9 your position, to solicit articles, reviews and other
- 10 special contributions, correct?
- **11 A.** Yes. Although some articles, especially when
- 12 it came to the plenary, were sent not to me but directly
- 13 to Dr. Jackson, so I didn't necessarily solicit those.
- **Q.** And it's -- but it's part of the editorial
- 15 duties to solicit journal articles, correct?
- 16 A. Yes
- **Q.** And I understand maybe you didn't solicit every
- **18** article in any particular volume, but soliciting
- 19 articles is nothing unusual for the Journal of
- 20 Schenkerian Studies, correct?
 - A. Yeah, correct.
- **Q.** And you see that this was apparently circulated
- 23 by Benjamin Brand around December 19th, 2019, right?
 - A. Yes
- **Q.** Did you receive this, incidentally, from

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

92

- 1 Benjamin Brand?
- **A.** I don't recall who I received it from. If it
- 3 wasn't from Benjamin Brand, it was from Benjamin Graf.
- **Q.** Do you have any knowledge that anyone objected
- 5 to this job description?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- **Q.** Did anyone suggest that it wasn't legitimate to
- 8 solicit articles for the JSS?
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. And you never heard Benjamin Brand voice any
- 11 criticism of the way the editorial position was
- 12 organized, in terms of your position there when you
- 13 transitioned into that role after Benjamin Graf
- 14 departed, did you?
- **A.** Around what time?
- **16 Q.** I think we'll get to that. I'm not aware of
- 17 the specific date. I think there's a document that
- 18 shows it. But let me ask you, when do you remember
- 19 taking up the role of student editor, and Benjamin Graf
- 20 essentially stepping down?
- 21 A. I mean, Benjamin Graf didn't step down until
- 22 after Volume 12 was done. We did it together, although
- 23 I did a lot of the typesetting and administrative
- 24 duties. But I know I started the position during the
- summer before that academic year, so that would have

- ${f 1}$ been -- that would have been my second year in a Ph.D.,
- **2** so summer of 20 -- no, summer of 2019?
- **Q.** Was it before this job description was
 - circulated or after?
- **A.** I mean, must have been before. I know it was
- 6 in the summer, and summer of 2020 seems like it would be
- 7 too late.
- **Q.** And just to repeat my question, though, you
- 9 don't remember any discussion about illegitimacy of
- 10 soliciting articles for the JSS as an editorial duty, do
- **11** you?
- **12 A.** No.
- **Q.** Do you remember any discussion or criticism of
- **14** soliciting special contributions?
- **15 A.** No. There just wasn't much discussion about
- 16 this document. It was just sent to me, and I was told
- 17 to read it.
- **Q.** Were you aware that Benjamin Brand had been
- 19 directly involved in working out this job description?
- **20 A.** I didn't know who put it together.
- **Q.** Did you raise any objections to the job
- 22 description, incidentally?
- **23 A.** No.
- 24 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 14 MARKED.)
- **Q.** (By Mr. Allen) So, I've had this marked as

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

94

- 1 Exhibit 14, Mr. Walls. Can I ask you to read this
- 2 document, and ask you whether you're familiar with it?
- **A.** I'm not familiar with it. I know that Dr. Graf
- 4 did the -- like the stuff with the bios and the
- **5** contributor agreements, but I never saw this e-mail.
- **Q.** And this is sent from the Schenker@UNT.edu
- **7** e-mail account, correct?
- 8 A. Oh, yes.
- **Q.** Do you see that in the March 14, 2020 line,
- 10:00 p.m., Schenker@UNT.edu?
- **11 A.** Yes
- **Q.** And it's signed by Ben at the end, and you
- 13 believe that's Benjamin Graf, correct?
- 14 A. Lassume.
- **Q.** Now, looking at a sentence that's on the top of
- 16 the second page, it says, "the additional content that
- 17 we collected this winter following Ewell's SMT plenary
- we conceded this winter following Ewell's Stri pichary
- **18** makes a great addition to an already remarkable
- 19 publication." Do you see that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- **Q.** Do you remember Benjamin Graf expressing to you
- those viewpoints?
- **23 A.** No.
- **Q.** Do you have any reason to believe he would lie
- **25** about that?

- 1 MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, calls for
- 2 speculation.
- **A.** I don't think that he would -- it's not really
- 4 lying, per se, in this e-mail. It's just that this is
- 5 how he conducts himself in -- or how he seemed to
- **6** conduct himself in journal-related correspondences.
- **7** He's just a very positive person.
- **Q.** Did you ever -- let me -- strike that.
 - Did you view Benjamin Graf as a mentor to
- 10 you, in the position as student editor of the JSS?
- **11 A.** Yeah. I mean, if I had questions, I asked him.
- **Q.** Did you have a fear of retaliation from
- 13 Benjamin Graf, for any reason?
- **14 A.** No.

9

15

19

24

1

15

21

- Q. Do you see at the bottom, it says, Ben Graf
- 16 wrote to Barry Wiener. "Thank you, Barry! I should
- 17 note that I enjoyed reading your response to Ewell." Do
- 18 you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** Do you know if Benjamin Graf expressed any
- 21 criticism of Barry Wiener for being racist concerning
- 22 his contribution to the journal?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And what -- in what communication did he
- 25 communicate that to Barry Wiener?

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

96

- A. I don't know if he communicated it to Barry
- 2 Wiener. It was just in a conversation between us, we
- 3 talked about how we didn't care for Wiener's response.
- **Q.** But yet here he said, "Thank you, Barry! I
- 5 should note that I enjoyed reading your response to
- 6 Ewell," correct?
- **7 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** Do you remember when this conversation was that
- 9 you discussed Barry Wiener's supposed racism amongst
- 10 ourselves, as you said?
- 11 A. I don't recall exactly when it was. It was
- 12 probably around February 2020.
- **Q.** Was that in a timeframe that you went to talk
- 14 to Benjamin Brand?
 - A. I think it would have been after that.
- **Q.** Incidentally, there is a -- there was an
- 17 incident discussed by the ad hoc panel in which you
- 18 apparently sat in Timothy Jackson's car and discussed
- 19 censorship on the journal. Do you remember that
- 20 discussion?
 - A. Yes
- **Q.** All right. Can you describe that meeting in
- 23 its entirety?
- **A.** I was on my way to my office, which was in
- 5 Bain, so I was crossing the parking lot, and we ran into

- 1 each other. And I don't remember what struck up the
- 2 conversation, I'm sure it was either about analysis or
- 3 the journal, and -- but it began to lightly snow, and he
- 4 suggested we go in his car. I, of course, didn't
- 5 object. And in the car, we talked about -- I know we
- 6 talked about Suzanne Clark's contribution, and I think
- **7** that's how we got onto the topic of just general
- 8 contributions that we didn't agree with.
- **9** And he said that we shouldn't censor
- 10 people's -- the contents of people's writing. And
- 11 considering that this was the day after my exchange with
- 12 Wiener, I assumed that it was in relation to that, as I
- 13 was expecting to be approached about that communication.
- **Q.** Were you ever approached about your
- 15 communications with Barry Wiener?
- **16 A.** Not explicitly, but I took this communication
- in the car to be directly related to that.
- **Q.** And we discussed censorship before, and you
- 19 said to me -- you, at least at that time, agreed that it
- 20 wasn't the job of the editor to censor the authors,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. I told him I agreed. I didn't actually agree.
- 23 I just said that I agreed because that was what he
- 24 wanted.
- **Q.** So, you lied, in other words.

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

- **1 A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. And Suzanne Clark, was that the name of the
- 3 author you remember discussing directly?
- A. Yes.
- **Q.** Was she pro or anti-Ewell?
- **6 A.** She was pro-Ewell.
- **Q.** So, this discussion about censorship you had in
- 8 the car was actually about Suzanne Clark, not about
- **9** Barry Wiener. Am I understanding that correctly?
- **10 A.** It started out about Suzanne Clark, and the way
- 11 I remember the conversation, it tilted more about the12 responses, in general.
- 12 responses, in general
- **Q.** Was the clear message that you shouldn't censor
- 14 Suzanne Clark, as well?
- **15 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** And now you believe that was wrong, that you
- 17 should have censored people like Wiener, but not
- **18** censored people like Clark.
- **19 A.** I don't think there's anything in Clark's
- 20 response that merited censorship.
- **Q.** But my question was, now you believe
- 22 differently, that you should have censored people like
- 23 Barry Wiener and not censored people like Clark,
- 24 correct?
- **25 A.** I always believed that Barry Wiener should have

- been censored.
- **Q.** So that vitriolic opinions that were anti-
- 3 Ewell should have been censored, correct?
 - A. If they were racist, then I believed that they
- 5 should be censored, because that's not something that
- 6 should have a place in a respected academic journal.
 - MR. ALLEN: Can I have that marked as an
- 8 exhibit? That will be Exhibit 15.
- 9 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 15 MARKED.)
- **10** Q. (By Mr. Allen) Do you recognize this e-mail,
- 11 Mr. Walls?

7

15

98

- **12 A.** Ye
- **Q.** Can you identify who this e-mail is to?
- **14 A.** This e-mail is to Jack Boss.
 - **Q.** I have to admit that's an awesome name to have,
- 16 don't you agree? He is definitely the boss. He seems
- 17 to be at the University of Oregon, is that correct,
- **18** given his e-mail?
- A. Yes.
- **Q.** What position does he have at the University of
- 21 Oregon?
- **A.** Well, it says here, Professor of Music Theory
- 23 and Composition.
- **Q.** Was he a contributor to the symposium?
- **25 A.** Yes

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

100

99

- **Q.** And I see that he is the Chair of SMT
- 2 Publications Committee. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know what he does in that position?
- **5 A.** I don't really, no.
- **Q.** And was his contribution published?
- A. Yes
- **Q.** Was his contribution censored in any way?
- **9 A.** No
- **Q.** Were there substantive critiques of his
- 11 position by Timothy Jackson that he was forced to
- 12 incorporate into his contribution?
- **13 A.** Could you -- sorry. Could you ask that again?
- **Q.** Were there any substantive critiques of Jack
- **15** Boss' pro-Ewell contribution that he was forced to
- **16** incorporate from Timothy Jackson?
 - **A.** Not that I know of.
- **Q.** Do you recall at any time getting any
- 19 communication from Jack Boss objecting to the way in
- **20** which the symposium was put together?
- **21 A.** No. The few times we spoke, it was just about
- 22 the typesetting of this example and probably some like
- 23 general copy editing stuff.
- **Q.** And before July 2020, did he object to the call
 - for papers?

- **1 A.** Not that I know of.
- **Q.** Did he object to Philip Ewell not being
- 3 directly invited?
- **4** A. Not that I know of.
- 5 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 16 MARKED.)
- **Q.** (By Mr. Allen) Do you recognize this e-mail
- 7 string, Mr. Walls?
- **8** A. Yes. But I need to re-read it to remember
- 9 exactly what was in it.
- 10 Q. Please. Take as much time as it requires to
- 11 get familiar with the document.
- **12 A.** All right.
- **Q.** Do you recall this e-mail exchange?
- **14 A.** Yeah.
- **Q.** Who was Pelligrin? Am I pronouncing that
- 16 correctly?
- 17 A. I assumed it was Pelligrin, but it could be
- 18 either.
- **Q.** I'm sure you're correct. Pelligrin? What's
- 20 the first name of this individual?
- 21 A. Rich. I'm sure it's Richard, but he goes by
- 22 Rich.
- Q. Do you know what Rich Pelligrin's position is?
- 24 A. I don't. I assume he's at a university.
- **Q.** And you don't know where he works or what he

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

102

- 1 does, then?
- 2 A. I mean, I think he's a music theorist, but I
- 3 don't know where he worked.
- **Q.** And was he a contributor to the symposium?
- A. Yes.
- **Q.** Was he a pro or anti-Ewell contributor?
- **7 A.** From what I recall, he was kind of in the
- 8 middle, so I'm not sure it would be correct to call him
- 9 a pro-Ewell or an anti-Ewell.
- **Q.** And do you see the e-mail that's March 13th,
- 11 2020, from you?
- **12 A.** Yap.
- **Q.** That's your UNT e-mail account, right?
- **14 A.** Yeah.
- **Q.** And you discuss, "Rich and I discussed his
- 16 response when I sent notes." Was this discussion with
- 17 Rich Pelligrin about something substantive in his
- 18 article?
- 19 A. It was mainly about just like clarity related
- 20 things, you know, copy editing. I don't recall
- 21 everything that we talked about, but generally, when I
- 22 talk to contributors, it was not focused on content, but
- 23 rather on just like arguments, structure and like
- 24 rhetoric.
- **Q.** And, in fact, you say, "minor rhetorical

- 1 additions," but also, you add, "that better connects the
- 2 defense of hierarchy to Ewell's ideas." Could you
- 3 describe what ideas you were referring to there in
- 4 Philip Ewell's work?
- **A.** I assume -- I assume I meant the idea in
- 6 Ewell's lecture that the white racial frame translates,
- 7 in some cases, into a concern for hierarchy in analysis.
- **Q.** What kind of analysis?
- **9 A.** Musical analysis.
- **Q.** And what's wrong with that?
- **11 A.** I mean, at one point, I thought that there was
- 12 nothing wrong with that, that, you know, hierarchy was
- 13 just a standard part of music, but having taken other
- 14 classes since then, including a class on music and
- 15 gender and an ethnomusicology course, it seems as though
- 16 the implicit inherent connection of music with hierarchy
- 17 is incredibly western.
 - And you could argue for hierarchy in
- 19 non-western music, but you could also argue that in some
- 20 non-western music that there isn't a sense of hierarchy,
- 21 and yet the fact that we immediately look for hierarchy
- 22 in all music just could be problematic.
- Q. And what do you mean by "problematic"? Do you
- 24 mean racist?

18

25

3

A. Yes

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

104

- 1 Q. So, problematic is kind of a synonym among the
- **2** graduate students for racist, correct?
 - MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection.
- 4 Q. (By Mr. Allen) You're a graduate student, are
- 5 you not, Levi Walls?
- 6 A. Levi.
- **Q.** I apologize. You're a graduate student, Levi
- 8 Walls, correct?
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And so you understand the politically correct
- 11 discourse as you put it in your Facebook post amongst
- 12 the graduate students, do you not?
- **13 A.** I have a good understanding of it. It's
- 14 difficult to have a complete understanding of
- 15 politically correct discourse as it's an ever shifting
- **16** thing.
- **Q.** And you're a direct participant in that
- 18 discourse as a graduate student, are you not, Mr. Walls?
- 19 A. Sure, yeah.
- **Q.** So, it doesn't call for speculation to ask you
- 21 whether problematic in this discourse means racist, does
- 22 it?

23

- **A.** I did mean racist. Problematic, I think for a
- 24 lot of people, is just a synonym for racist.
 - Q. Thank you. Wouldn't you agree these are

1

7

23

6

16

20

21

23

- substantive conversations about Professor Ewell's 1 plenary discussion in the SMT paper in 2019? 2
- 3 A. I mean, they're somewhat substantive. From what I recall, just this bringing up the, you know, 5 connection of like hierarchy to Ewell's ideas, I think
- it was just something that he was already talking about 6 7 that I thought he could flesh out in some way. 8 Q. And yet, it says here, at least in your words,
- he expressed discomfort towards pushing back too much 9 10 against Ewell, specifically because he didn't want his response to be misconstrued as racist, correct? 11
- A. 12 Yes.
- 13 And that goes to the heart of the substance of his piece, does it not, Mr. Walls? 14
- 15 A. What do you mean?
- Well, it seems to me you're discussing the 16 substantive issue of hierarchy in Ewell's ideas, and he 17 18 doesn't want to go too far towards pushing back against 19 Ewell because he will be misconstrued as a racist, 20 correct?
- Yeah, that's what he told me. 21 Δ.
- 22 Q. And that seems to be a substantive point, not a 23 purely rhetorical point, is it not?
- 24 I wasn't telling him one way or the other to --25 you know, he just told me that he liked to do it a

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

106

- certain way, and I said I understood. 1
- 2 Q. And, of course, you didn't want to exercise censorship, correct? 3
- A. I found no reason to censor his work, so I 4 wouldn't have wanted to, if I could. 5
- Q. Did you push him toward rhetorical changes that 6 7 might lead him to, as you put it here, push back more 8 against Ewell than he actually did?
- 9 A. If I did, I didn't mean to. I didn't really 10 want him to push back more against Ewell than he already did. I knew that he pushed back a little bit, so I 11 think what I suggested was just, you know, in support of 12 what his argument already was. 13
- Q. And did you consider this a normal part of your 14 15 editorial work?
- 16 Mostly. I mean, it was a little bit more than 17 I would normally do, because most of the time, I was 18 just concerned with copy editing and argumentative structure, but this one got a little bit closer to 19 20 content.
- 21 And at the end of that e-mail, you say, "yes, the idea that Schenkerian analysis inherently ignores 22 23 parts of an analysis that don't fit into the fundamental
- 24 structure is a severe misunderstanding." Do you see

25

that?

A. Yes.

2 Q. And I confess, I'm going to ask you to explain

it to me. I just don't understand enough about

- Schenkerian analysis to understand what you're
- 5 discussing there. So, can you try to put that in terms
- 6 a layperson can understand?
 - A. Let me just re-read that part to remind myself
- of the context. Okay. Yeah, so, there's a common
- argument expressed or criticism expressed towards
- 10 Schenkerian analyses that say they ignore parts of an
- analysis that don't fit the fundamental structure, the 11
- 12 ersatz that you find in the backgrounds, the things that
- 13 theoretically exists at the background of most tone 14 music, according to the Schenkerian perspective.
- 15 And I expressed the view that it was a slight misunderstanding, because a lot of good analyses 16 17 talk about how pieces don't adhere to that structure.
- 18 Q. Are those kinds of judgments used to make 19 distinctions between music and some sort of hierarchal 20 system that refers to inferior as opposed to superior 21 music?
- 22 A. It could be. Because, generally, music that kind of, you know, stands out from the crowd by doing something novel, is -- has historically been interpreted as more deserving of value. And so a piece that's --

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

108

- purposefully doesn't adhere to a structure could be seen in that light.
- 3 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 17 MARKED.)
- Q. (By Mr. Allen) This is an e-mail from 4
- 5 February 13th, Mr. Walls. Do you recognize this?
- 7 And you were a recipient of it, is that
- 8 correct, or did you send it? It's not clear to me.
- 9 A. I mean, this was something I sent because it's 10 my writing, but I don't remember who started the thread.
- Q. And who was the target of censorship discussed 11 12 in this e-mail?
- 13 And there wasn't targeted censorship, we were just talking about the Clark, Beaudoin and Lett, which 14
- weren't -- were anti-Schenkerian. 15
 - Q. So, they were pro-Ewell pieces, correct?
- 17 A.
- 18 And you thought it was important to publish
- 19 them without censorship, correct?
 - Α. Yes.
 - We wouldn't want the JSS account of the debate
- 22 to appear one-sided. That was your goal, correct?
 - Α.
- 24 And what was Timothy Jackson's response to this impulse amongst the editorial staff not to censor the

- pro-Ewell viewpoints?
- **A.** Not to censor -- I don't recall, specifically,
- 3 but I know that he wasn't in favor of censoring any of
- the contributions.
- **Q.** And isn't this about the time that you had that
- 6 meeting in the car with Professor Jackson?
- A. Yes.
- **Q.** And, in fact, some of the same authors are
- 9 being discussed, correct? In particular, Clark?
- A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And I'm going to say Beaudoin is the
- 12 pronunciation of that name. Am I wrong?
- **A.** Oh, I have no idea. I've never had to say it
- 14 out loud really. Beaudoin maybe.
- **Q.** And do you know that individual's first name?
- **16 A.** I think it was Richard.
- **Q.** And Lett, the first name of that individual?
- 18 A. Stephen.
- **Q.** And these, as you said, were all pro-Ewell
- 20 papers, correct?
- **21 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** The other papers that you have received,
- 23 Wiener, Pomeroy, Wen, Cadwallader, et cetera, those were
- 24 anti-Ewell pieces?
- **25 A.** Yes.

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

110

1

3

- 1 Q. And are those pieces you now consider to be
- 2 racist?
- **A.** I consider Wiener's to be, but Pomeroy's I
- 4 thought was pretty objective and rational. Wen and
- 5 Cadwallader I think ended up not submitting responses.
- **Q.** So, was that because they were censored, or
- 7 because they just simply pulled out?
- 8 A. I think they just pulled out for whatever
- 9 reason. I don't really know exactly.
- 10 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 18 MARKED.)
- **Q.** (By Mr. Allen) This is a document I think
- you'll be familiar with. Do you recognize this
- **13** document, Mr. Walls?
- **14 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** Can you describe this document?
- **A.** So, this is the call for the symposium, and
- 17 above that, we were having trouble with the SMT list. I
- 18 think because neither of us were subscribed to it, we
- 19 couldn't post to it.
- **Q.** So the paper wasn't immediately -- excuse me --
- 21 the call for papers wasn't immediately circulated to the
- 22 SMT list, is that it?
- **A.** It took a few days, from what I remember.
- **Q.** And that delay was caused by the SMT, is that
- 25 correct?

- A. I mean, I wouldn't say it was caused by SMT.
- It's just we had some like tech difficulties with
- 3 accessing SMT lists.
 - Q. Was the delay -- was the delay motivated in any
- 5 way to give pro-Ewell respondents less time to write
- **6** contributions to the symposium?
 - A. No.

7

- **Q.** And can you describe for me the process by
- 9 which you worked out the call for papers, which I
- 10 believe is the third e-mail or statement on this
- 11 document that begins, Journal of Schenkerian Studies,
- 12 Volume 12, 2019, Call for Papers, starting on what's
- 13 Jackson 0083? Do you see that?
- **14 A.** Yeah.
- **15 Q.** Is this the final form of the CFP that was sent
- **16** out?
- **17 A.** I think this was the final form.
- **Q.** Can you describe, then, the process by which
- 19 this call for papers was generated?
- 20 A. I know that Dr. Graf and I talked about it, and
- 21 there was some discussion in an e-mail thread with
- 22 Jackson and Slottow and, also, I think we sent it to
- 23 Chung, Bakulina and Cubero to get some like -- just like
- second opinion, and I think it went like a draft or two,
- 25 and this is just the final draft.

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

112

- Q. You mentioned Andrew Chung.
- A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. You mentioned Ellen Bakulina, and you mentioned
- 4 Diego Cubero, right?
- A. Yes.
- **Q.** And they were all direct participants in
- **7** generating this call for papers, correct?
- **8 A.** I wouldn't say they were direct participants.
- 9 We just sent them a version of the call and asked if
- 10 they had any comments, and all of them might not have
- 11 even commented. I remember that Bakulina said
- 12 something, although I don't remember what it was, and
- ${\bf 13} \quad \hbox{ I'm not sure if Chung or Cubero had input.}$
- **Q.** Do you remember any of them objecting that the
- 15 symposium was racist before -- let me put it this way:
- 16 Do you remember any of them objecting that the symposium
- was racist, before July 2020?
 - A. Not based on this call.
- **19 Q.** Do you remember that any of them objecting that
 - the call for papers wasn't being sent, individually, to
- 21 Philip Ewell?
 - A. No. It didn't come up.
 - **Q.** Do you remember any of them objecting that the
- 24 call for papers was sent too late?
 - **A.** No.

18

20

22

23

9 discussion leading up to the publication of the

10 symposium?

A. So, Graf is saying that he agrees with point 2

12 about changing Schenkerian community to theory

13 community. And then, he's talking about the deadline.

14 Hold on. I'm just finding the next page. That would be

15 777?

Q. Correct.

17 A. Oh, okay, it doesn't have the 77 on it.

Q. Did it get cut off?

19 A. It's fine. I got it.

Q. It starts with February 1st, February 15th?

A. Right. So, he goes on to talk about the

deadlines, kind of breaking it up into when the journal

23 should be done. Yeah. And it looks like the way he

24 sent it out, it would print in April or May.

Q. And he also says, "from an editor's

perspective, we really cannot delay the submission

2 further," right?

4

12

15

18

21

24

25

3

A. Oh, yes.

Q. And he also below this sort of what in

5 retrospect, given the Corona Virus seems like an

6 incredibly optimistic schedule, correct? After that

7 schedule he says, again, from the editor's perspective,

8 it would be best not to delay further. The responses

9 should not be very long, so I hope we can stick to

10 January 13th. Do you see where he says that?

A. Yes.

Q. So, the initiative to kind of get the call for

13 papers out and get responses back was personally

14 promoted by Benjamin Graf.

A. Yes. He was anxious about the timeline.

Q. And did this have anything to do with

17 discouraging pro-Ewell responses?

A. No.

Q. Was it the intent to issue the call to papers

20 to solicit pro-Ewell responses?

A. No.

Q. By that, do you mean it was supposed to be, at

23 least with regard to Ewell, value neutral?

A. Yes.

Q. And was that something you also advocated for?

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

116

115

A. Yeah. I wanted there to be a mix, as long as

people wrote their responses in a reasonable manner.

Q. There's one thing I'm curious about in this

4 document. It looks like Stephen Slottow, at the end of

5 the document, he says, will backdate submissions to say

6 November 1st, 2019. Do you know what he's talking about

7 there?

8 A. No. Actually, I think I just don't recall this

9 last part of the e-mail, because if it was the end of

10 the chain, I might have kind of just moved on at that

11 point.

12

14

15

17

Q. I believe this is actually kind of the

13 beginning --

A. Oh, is it?

Q. -- if I'm not mistaken. Well, it goes from

16 earliest in time at the back of the --

A. Oh, okay.

Q. Am I wrong?

19 MS. HARRIS: Yeah, it's reversed. Well,

20 if you go to 72 --

MR. STOWERS: Only one person should be

talking during the deposition. You can talk to your

23 attorney.

MR. ALLEN: Renaldo's correct. Can we go

25 off the record for a sec?

- 1 (OFF THE RECORD FROM 4:08 TO 4:09 P.M.)
- **Q.** (By Mr. Allen) Mr. Walls, I apologize for the
- 3 interruption, but I think you'll see that on -- if you
- 4 look at the top, with the blue lettering, or on yours,
- **5** it's light gray, at the very top of the pages.
- **A.** Uh-huh.
- **Q.** You'll see those are Court stamps from this
- 8 document being submitted as an exhibit. And on page 5,
- 9 you'll see that there's a November 25th, 2019 date, and
- 10 then those e-mails proceed forward in time to the end of
- 11 the line. And there seem to be another string above
- 12 that which proceeds from November 29th, and so forth.
- 13 Do you see that?
- **A.** I don't see the November 29th. Oh, wait.
- **Q.** At the very top of the string, at the very
- 16 first page of the document.
- **17 A.** Oh, the 71?
- **Q.** Correct.
- **19 A.** Yeah, I see it.
- **Q.** And I apologize for the -- we don't always get
- 21 the documents in pristine order. I apologize for the
- 22 confusing nature of the document. However, if you look
- 23 to the last e-mail, this is the question I wanted to get
- 24 to is this backdating submissions to November 1st, 2019,
- 25 I was just wondering if you knew what that meant, what
 - Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

- 1 it would mean to backdate a submission to November?
- 2 A. I'm actually not sure I know what that means,
- 3 to backdate a submission. Since it's -- I mean, I
- 4 assume it has something to do with when SMT was, since
- 5 the backdating is to the beginning of November, but I'm
- 6 not sure exactly what he means in here.
- **7 Q.** And to the best of your knowledge, was this
- 8 idea of backdating submissions followed in any way by
- 9 Professor Slottow?
- **10 A.** I mean, it would help if I knew what it means
- 11 to backdate a submission.
- **Q.** And we're as much in the dark as you. But is
- 13 it safe to say, since you don't know what he meant, that
- **14** this idea was not executed?
- **15 A.** Yeah.
- **Q.** Just skipping up to Bates No. Jackson 73.
- **17 A.** Uh-huh.
- **Q.** Do you see Andrew Chung has written an e-mail
- 19 and contributed to this discussion?
- 20 A. Yes.
- **Q.** And you see he says, "I think it's great that
- 22 JSS is looking to engage Ewell's SMT talk. What do you
- 23 think about mentioning very briefly some of the content
- and context of Ewell's remarks vis-a-vis Schenker?"
- 25 What do you think he's referring to there?

- A. I assume he's just referring to the portion of
- 2 Ewell's talk where he talks about Schenker.
- **Q.** And is this still about incorporating this or
- 4 that or editing the call for papers?
- **A.** Yeah. This is for -- this is when we asked
- 6 them if they had any like input for the CFP.
- **Q.** And he also says in the closing, "the thing to
- 8 be careful about, of course, is not to implicitly
- 9 encourage response of one kind and discourage response
- 10 of another kind," correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- **Q.** And was that the general sentiment of the
- 13 editorial staff?
- **14 A.** For the most part, we accepted all the
- 15 responses we got. But on the other hand, there were
- 16 pro-Schenker responses being specifically solicited.
- 17 Q. I understand that. What I'm asking is a
- **18** different question. In constructing the CFP, was it the
- 19 general sentiment of the editorial staff that you wanted
- 20 to craft the CFP in order to not -- excuse me -- in
- 21 order not to implicitly encourage responses of one kind
- 22 and discourage responses of another kind, as Andrew
- 23 Chung said in this e-mail?
 - A. Yes.

24

118

Q. Thank you. And there's an e-mail at the top

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

120

- ${f 1}$ from February 29th, 2019, and that appears to be from
- 2 Timothy Jackson, correct?
- **A.** The top of 73?
- **Q.** This is at the first page of the entire
- 5 document, Jackson 71. It says, "From: Timothy Jackson,
- 6 November 29th, 2019." Do you see that e-mail?
- 7 A. Yes
- **Q.** And you are the direct recipient of this
- 9 e-mail, correct?
- **10 A.** Yes. With the other people cc'd.
- **Q.** And Professor Jackson says he hates to be a fly
- 12 in the ointment, correct?
- **A.** Uh-huh, yes.
- **Q.** And isn't it your understanding that usually
 - when people say that, they are being a fly in the
- 16 ointment?

- **17 A.** Yeah. That would generally be the case.
- **Q.** And he suggests revising the CFP. If you skip
- 19 down a couple of paragraphs, it says, "therefore, we
- 20 need to make the call draw attention to Ewell's
- 21 conclusions in the paper he actually delivered and not
- 22 in his abstract. Here is some language derived from
- 23 Ewell's talk." And he goes on to quote things. Did
- 24 this language find its way into the CFP?
- **A.** I think only in the form of Ewell's original

1

7

12

13

- abstract.
- **Q.** So, Ewell's original abstract was used, in
- 3 other words, his own words, but this what I would
- 4 consider an interpretation provided by Timothy Jackson
- 5 of some of Ewell's argument was not included in the CFP,
- 6 correct?
- A. No.
- **Q.** So the editorial staff was perfectly capable of
- 9 rejecting Timothy Jackson's ideas when he was, in his
- 10 own words, not being a fly in the ointment, correct?
- **11 A.** I don't know if we specifically rejected them.
- 12 They ended up just not making their way into the CFP.
- **Q.** Do you remember any discussion about Timothy
- 14 Jackson's suggestions about how to craft the CFP with
- 15 regard to these what I would consider rather overtly --
- Fragula to those mater mount constant rather events
- 16 let me strike that.
- 17 Would you agree with me, Mr. Walls, that
- 18 statements like diversity as a cynical strategy to
- 19 reinforce inequality, that Ewell reduces the study of
- 20 western music theory to two -- that it wants to reduce
- 21 the study of music theory to two semesters, and so
- 22 forth, that this takes a rather more polemical view of
- 23 Ewell's paper than was presented in the final version of
- 24 the CFP?

1

25 A. Yeah.

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

Q. And yet, this rather more polemical version was

122

1

12

- 2 not accepted by the members of the editorial staff and
- 3 the faculty, such as Andrew Chung, Ellen Bakulina, and
- 4 Diego Cubero who participated in finalizing the call for
- 4 Diego Cubero wilo participated in illializing the call
- **5** papers, correct?
- **6 A.** Uh-huh.
- 7 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 20 MARKED.)
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Allen) And do you recognize the
- 9 document marked as Exhibit 20, Mr. Walls?
- **10 A.** Yes.
- **Q.** And is this message in red on an e-mail from
- 12 you to Dr. Jackson?
- 13 A. Yes.
- **Q.** And you say you agree that a response in the
- **15** JSS would be very appropriate. What are you responding
- 16 to there?
- **17 A.** So, in his e-mail, he suggests having the
- 18 symposium, and I said I agree.
- **Q.** Did you agree at that time, or were you lying?
- **20 A.** At that time, I thought it was an okay idea. I
- 21 wasn't lying when I said that I thought it would be
- **22** appropriate.
- **Q.** And did it change your mind that the viewpoints
- **24** expressed by some of the anti-Ewell papers were racist?
- 25 Is that the thing that changed your mind?

- A. Yeah.
- **Q.** And you say, "did you have any particular
- 3 Schenkerians in mind?" Correct? Do you see that?
 - A. Yes
- **5 Q.** So, it seems to me that you were acknowledging
- 6 that there would be solicitation of papers, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And, in fact, Dr. Graf and you could discuss
- 9 some candidates tomorrow at a weekly meeting and get
- 10 requests out as early as tomorrow evening. Do you see
- 11 that, the next sentence?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Did you discuss candidates with Dr. Graf?
- **14 A.** We briefly discussed a few. We were trying to
- 15 talk about Schenkerians that were, you know, like people
- 16 of color or women. We couldn't come up with very many,
- 17 unfortunately, although I'm sure there's more out there
- 18 that we just, you know, aren't familiar with. But, I
- 19 mean, that didn't really go anywhere.
- **Q.** So you couldn't come up with any candidates
- 21 that you wanted to solicit as contributors to the
- 22 journal, correct?
- 23 A. I mean, we really just went with the people who
- wrote in, but also just the people that Jackson and
- 25 Slottow thought should be involved

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

124

123

- Q. And how about -- is his name Chris Wen?
- 2 A. Eric Wen?
- **Q.** Eric Wen. Who is Eric Wen?
- **4 A.** I mean, I know he is a Schenkerian and has a
- 5 recent textbook on Schenkerian analysis. I don't know
- 6 what university he's at, though.
- **Q.** Do you consider Eric Wen a person of color?
- 8 A. Yeah
- **9 Q.** Did you and Benjamin Graf reach out to him?
- **10 A.** No
- 11 Q. Did Professor Jackson or Stephen Slottow?
 - A. I know that Wen was thinking of writing one. I
- 13 think Dr. Jackson reached out to him.
- **Q.** So that would have been an admirable thing to
- 15 do, to reach out to a person of color, as Mr. Jackson
- 16 did in that case, correct?
- **17 A.** I mean, reaching out to one person of color is
- 18 a very small thing, but I suppose you could say that
- 19 it's admirable in itself.
- **Q.** But you and Dr. Graf couldn't come up with any
- 21 ideas, you just described to me, of who to reach out to
- 22 to increase the representation of people of color in the
- 23 journal, at least in the symposium.
- 24 A. I mean, we floated --
 - MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection. Is there a

1 question?

2 A. We floated two names, and now -- I know that

3 one was a -- one was an Asian woman. I was like vaguely

familiar with her work from like a previous, previous

5 journal, JSS journal, but right now, I just don't recall

6 the name.

7 And I think we also brought up Hedi Siegel,

8 not as a person of color, but as just a female

9 perspective. Because along with being overwhelmingly

white, the Schenker community tends to be overwhelmingly

11 male, so we just wanted to get some like other voices in

12 there. But we didn't really follow-up.

Q. Neither you nor Benjamin Graf followed up?

14 A. No. Because we just started getting responses

15 from people, and then we had a lot of responses that

16 were solicited.

Q. Did you discuss recruiting these two

18 individuals you must mentioned with Professor Jackson or

19 Professor Slottow?

20 A. I don't recall. I might have mentioned in

21 e-mail, but I might not have.

Q. So, you don't recall.

23 A. I don't recall.

Q. Incidentally, this November 19th timeframe, how

25 long was this after the -- do you recall how long after

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

126

1 the actual plenary papers at the SMT meeting in 2019

2 this e-mail took place?

A. Probably a little more than two weeks, since

4 it's a Tuesday, and I think that SMT happened in the

5 first week of November. I would assume it was two weeks

6 and a few days, since the conference happened over the

7 weekend.

9

Q. And is this the origins of the ideas of the

symposium -- the idea for a symposium? Excuse me.

10 A. Probably. I think this was one of the first

11 places that the idea of doing the symposium came up.

12 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 21 MARKED.)

Q. (By Mr. Allen) Incidentally, do you have any

14 knowledge that Timothy Jackson asked Hedi --

15 A. Hedi Siegel.

16 Q. Hedi Siegel. Do you have any knowledge of

17 whether Timothy Jackson reached out to solicit a

18 response from Hedi Siegel?

19 A. Not that I know of. I know that they were in

20 communication about another project, and so he might

21 have. I just -- I don't know.

Q. So back to Exhibit 21, if you could direct your

23 attention to this document. Do you recognize these

24 communications?

25 A. This one?

Q. Correct.

A. Okay. Yap.

Q. Could you describe these communications for me,

4 please?

1

2

15

18

21

5 A. So, I know that Jackson had been really

6 interested in the Bach passion at that time. And so,

7 knowing that he wanted to talk about it, I asked if he

8 wanted to get together to talk about the Bach. Even

9 though it's kind of outside of my area of interest,

f 10 being very early, and I just -- I'm not that interested

11 in Baroque.

12 And I also mentioned that I hadn't had time

13 to look at the Berlioz lately, because I think he asked

14 about it in whatever e-mail this is responding to.

Q. And do you see the bottom page? That's an

16 e-mail from you on November 15th, 2019. Could you read

17 that e-mail into the record for me, please?

A. Read it or -- read it myself?

19 Q. Could you read it to --

A. Oh, out loud?

Please.

22 A. Oh, okay. "I would be very interested in

23 discussing a particular Schenker paper from SMT. You've

14 likely heard about it, as it caused quite a stir. I was

25 very ambivalent about it because it suggested that

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

128

127

1 analysis that utilizes levels of hierarchy is inherently

2 racist, which strikes me as naive. Reinhold Brinkmann

3 made a very similar claim about Lorenz, saying that his

4 desire to have every part of a piece serve some

5 structural whole was totalitarian (and obviously linking

6 that idea to his political beliefs)."

Q. What paper are you referring to from SMT?

8 A. Ewell's.

Q. That's Ewell's plenary address?

10 A. Yes.

9

12

Q. Did you attend that conference, by the way?

A. No. I was going to, but I came down with a

13 staph infection like the day before the flight, and I

14 stayed home.

Q. Oh, I'm sorry. So, what did you -- did you

16 discuss -- incidentally, did you discuss this paper with

17 Timothy Jackson following this e-mail?

18 A. Yeah. I think in e-mail. I think we briefly

19 discussed it in the hall, at some point, but from what I

20 recall, neither of us had seen it -- either neither of

21 us had seen it at that point, or I had seen it and he

22 hadn't yet.

Q. So, is it fair to say he learned about it from

24 you?

23

25

A. I assumed he knew about it before I e-mailed

about it. 1

6

2 And this is November 15th, so that's before

3 Exhibit 20, correct? Which was November 19th. It's

- about four days before. And how long after the SMT
- 5 conference would this e-mail exchange have been?
- - About two weeks.
- 7 So that you believe the SMT took place on Ο.
- 8 November 1st, around there?
- 9 Yeah. I think that sounds right. Yeah.
- 10 Probably. Because I think SMT usually starts on a
- Thursday. 11
- 12 Q. And here you also said that an argument
- 13 advanced saying that levels of hierarchy -- utilizing
- levels of hierarchy is inherently racist strikes you as 14
- 15 naive, correct?
- Α. Yeah, at the time. 16
- 17 Q. And that is in reference to Ewell's paper,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Were you lying about that, or was this more
- your view at the time? 21
- 22 A. This was more of my view at the time.
- 23 So you didn't fear retaliation if you didn't
- express this kind of opinion at this time, did you? 24
- 25 I mean, not at this time. I was at first

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

130

- actually concerned about the hierarchy thing, although I 1
- 2 kind of thought differently over the next few weeks and
- 3 months

6

17

- Q. Do you now believe that any reference hierarchy 4
- or that hierarchy can be legitimate is racist? 5
 - A. No, I think it's perfectly fine to discuss
- 7 hierarchy, but you have to address the fact that
- 8 hierarchy, especially when it's focused on to such a
- 9 great extent, is a very western thing. And so,
- 10 especially when you're looking at non-western music and
- you go straight towards looking at hierarchy, there is 11
- an issue. 12
- 13 And, also, if you're taking like a
- perspective of pieces where you're connecting certain 14
- phrases or musical ideas to a certain social aspect, 15
- 16 then hierarchy can be problematic.
 - (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 22 MARKED.)
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Allen) Again, this is an e-mail from
- you. It's in that same time frame, November 18th. 19
- 20 Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. You're writing to Dr. Jackson, is that correct?
- 22 Α.
- 23 Ο. Can you describe what you're talking about in
- 24 this e-mail?
- 25 A. So, Dr. Jackson had written about a criticism

- in Ewell's paper of not acknowledging that Schenker was 1
- 2 Jewish. And I said it was troubling, although honestly
- I didn't really think it was a big deal, but I knew that
- it was a big thing for him, so I kind of just, you know,
- 5 went along with it.

10

11

- 6 And I said it is marked as implicitly
- 7 anti-Semitic, which I thought was a good middle ground,
- 8 in terms of not like coming out directly and saying it
- is anti-Semitic, but also saying, maybe you're right.
 - Q. Do you think Philip Ewell could be implicitly biased against Jews?
- 12 A. I mean, anybody can be implicitly biased
- 13 against anybody. I can't really say whether or not
- Ewell is implicitly bias towards any specific group. 14
- 15 **Q.** And you say at the end, "Ewell's talk certainly
- failed in that regard." What do you mean by that? 16
- 17 So, that's in reference to -- sorry. Let me
- 18 just get the -- I need to go back a sentence to get the
- 19 wider context. Oh, okay. So, I said that it is
- 20 possible to criticize Schenker studies without
- 21 demonizing the methodology.
- And I said that Ewell's talk failed in that 22
- 23 regard, although I think that all the criticisms it
- brings up are very justified. And really Ewell, as
 - opposed to a lot of the criticism, he's not advocating

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

- for a throwing out of Schenker. I mean, he's done
- Schenker work in the past and has admitted that it's a
- 3 useful methodology. So, any statement that he's
- 4 demonizing the entire methodology, like I said here, is
- 5 not really correct.
- 6 Q. Do you think calling for the elimination of a
- journal dedicated to Schenkerian studies goes a good
- 8 step towards eliminating Schenkerian studies?
- 9 A. No. The Journal of Schenkerian Studies isn't that influential. Schenkerian studies will exist 10
- 11 without the Journal of Schenkerian Studies.
- 12 Q. What other journals are dedicated to the 13 promotion of Schenkerian studies?
- A. Well, none, but it's very unusual for there to 14 be entire journals dedicated to a single methodology. 15
- 16 Do you know of any others that are dedicated to 17 a single methodology, any other journals?
- 18 **A.** There are some that are dedicated to a specific
- time period, like 19th century music, but as far as I 19
- 20 know -- granted, I don't have knowledge of all the
- 21 journals out there -- there are hardly any that are
- dedicated, specifically, to a single methodology. 22
- 23 Incidentally, do you know if the Schenkerian analysis has any application to practical performance of 24
- music?

	Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21		Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21
	133		135
1	A. Yeah. I think it could.	1	Matt, this is the it's actually
2	Q. And in the same way, music history informs many	2	Exhibit 3 from the ad hoc panel report. I hope that
3	actual performers' practice, correct?	3	doesn't add confusion, but it's all Exhibit 3.
4	A. Sure. Yeah.	4	MR. BOHUSLAV: Gotcha. Recognize it.
5	Q. Do you know of any practicing musicians that	5	Q. (By Mr. Allen) Do you recognize this, Mr.
6	have relied upon the Journal of Schenkerian Studies?	6	Walls?
7	A. I mean, I don't I don't really know	7	A. Yap.
8	anything. I'm sure that there are practicing musicians	8	Q. Did you sign this document as a petition?
9	who have read an article in the Journal of Schenkerian	9	A. I did.
10	Studies and found interest or some form of what's the	10	Q. Do you consider it a petition or an open
11	word nutrients is not the right word but some form	11	letter? How would you describe the document?
12	of like helpful dialogue.	12	A. Probably more of an open letter.
13	Q. Sustenance?	13	Q. Do you know who drafted this open letter?
14	A. Oh, sustenance. That is the word I was looking	14	A. I don't recall exactly. I think Bryan Stevens
15	for.	15	was one of the people who drafted it.
16	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 23 MARKED.)	16	Q. Is that "Bryan" with a Y-A-N?
17	Q. (By Mr. Allen) I don't want to spend too much	17	A. Yes.
18	time on this, but this appears to be an e-mail from	18	Q. What other individuals helped contribute to
19	Benjamin Graf to you. If I'm not mistaken, "me" stands	19	this letter?
20	for you, Levi Walls, does it not?	20	A. I mean, really, I'm not sure. I just recall
21	A. Yes.	21	Bryan, you know, accepting the responsibility of putting
22	Q. And so, you received this on April 22nd, 2019.	22	it together. So, I can't really say for sure who else
23	A. Yap.	23	would have been involved in it.
24	Q. And this designates the time that you accepted	24	Q. Do you know how it was circulated?
25	the position of the editor of the Journal of Schenkerian	25	A. Through e-mail, I think.
	Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21	123	Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21
	134		136
1	Studies?	1	Q. Was it circulated by any other social media, to
2	A. Yes.	2	your knowledge?
3	Q. And Benjamin Graf says, I'm sure he, meaning	3	A. It might have been, but to my knowledge, I
4	you, will thrive in his new role, and I look forward to	4	don't recall if this was I mean, it probably was
		5	
5	mentoring him starting in August, September, right?		through like Facebook or something.
6	A. Yes.	6	Q. When was it composed, this document?
7	Q. Did you and Benjamin Graf discuss this	7	A. I mean, I can't say exactly when, but I would
8	appointment in this timeframe?	8	imagine the last few days of July.
9	A. The August-September appointment?	9	Q. Do you remember being on a Zoom call while
10	Q. You accepting the position of the	10	people were composing this document?
11	April 22nd, 2019.	11	A. Yeah. There was a Zoom call where we were just
12	A. I think we talked briefly about the	12	generally talking about, you know, what the document
13	appointment. Just, you know, generally like what the	13	should say.
14	process would be like, that he would kind of guide me	14	Q. Who was participating in those Zoom calls?
15	through the process for the first issue, then I would be	15	A. There was no way I can remember. It was most

16 more on my own for the following issue. 17 And was 13 the issue where you would sort of 18 co-editor under his mentorship, and then --

Thank you. I'm going to show you an exhibit

But it's already been introduced into the

that was used in an earlier deposition as Exhibit 3, so

it's going to be out of order.

Uh-huh.

Α.

Q.

record.

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

16 of the grad students in MHTE. 17 Q. And how many grad students are there, total, in MHTE? 18 Volume 12 was where I was under his mentorship. 19 That, I don't know. 20 Q. Do you know within a range? 21 I feel like I could guess, but I feel like it 22 would also be wrong. A lot of people I don't know, 23 because they're in like the dissertation stage, so I don't see them around campus. So, I mean, I honestly 24 25 wouldn't even like be able to guess the number of grad

- students in MHTE. 1
- 2 Q. Do you know how many are in your cohort?
- 3 A. In the theory, like Ph.D.?
- Ph.D. students in your cohort? By that I would 4
- 5 include all graduate students who entered MTHE in the
- same year you did as Ph.D. students. 6
- 7 A. Oh, in the same year, so not necessarily like
- 8 after I came?
- 9 Q. Correct. So, in your year, when you entered
- the Ph.D. program, do you know how many people are in 10
- your cohort as graduate students in MHTE? 11
- A. I believe there's only one other theorist who 12
- 13 entered the same year as me.
- Were there graduate students in other 14
- 15 departments or divisions or specialties within the MHTE,
- in addition to the theorists? 16
- 17 A. In the Zoom call?
- 18 Q. No, I'm asking -- I'm trying to get a sense of
- 19 how many students -- graduate students are involved in
- 20 graduate work at the MHTE. And so, I'm asking for your
- cohort. You said you joined in 2018? You started your 21
- Ph.D. in 2018? 22
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Do you know how many students began a Ph.D. at
- University of North Texas in the MHTE division in 2018 25

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

138

- in your cohort? 1
- 2 A. I really have no idea. I only know that there
- was one other theorist who started the Ph.D. the same 3
- year as I did. I would assume a few others, if we 4
- combined musicology and ethnomusicology. 5
- So, if you would skip down in this document to 6
- No. 3, on the last page, it says, "hold accountable 7
- 8 every person responsible for the direction of the
- publication." 9
- 10 Is it accurate to say in Zoom calls or in
- other discussions with graduate students at this time, 11
- leading to the formulation of this document, that you 12
- denied that you were accountable for the direction of 13
- the 1552 14
- A. Yeah. There was a lot of stuff in it that I 15
- 16 just didn't agree with.
- 17 Q. And what are the "past bigoted behaviors of
- 18 faculty" referred to in this paragraph?
- A. So, here, I assume they're referring to the 19
- 20 general reputation of Dr. Jackson, in terms of
- retaliation, race and gender issues, and sexism. 21
- Q. And are there any other incidents, other than 22
- those you've already testified about, that you would 23
- identify as past bigoted behaviors by Professor Jackson? 24
- 25
- A. I mean, I feel like I don't have a lot of my

- own stories, so --1
- 2 Q. And the incidents you have referred to earlier
- were from other sources, and sometimes twice removed,
 - correct?
- 5 A. I mean, some of them. Some of them were
- directly from me. 6
- 7 Q. And which ones do you include as those which
- 8 were directly from you?
- 9 A. The conversation about people of color. The
- use of the word negro. The discussion of muggings 10
- perpetrated by black people. 11
- Q. Incidentally, do you deny that muggings are 12
- 13 perpetrated by black people?
- 14 A. I don't deny that at all. Muggings are
- 15 perpetrated by all races and classes and genders.
- Do you have any personal knowledge of the 16
- 17 statistical distribution of crime rates in the United
- 18 States?
- 19 Α. You asked me that before, and I said no.
- 20 It's just your position that it's inherently
- 21 racist to discuss those crime rates, if they have a
- disproportionate -- if there's disproportionate evidence 22
- 23 that some racial groups commit crimes rather than
- others, is that so?
 - MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, leading;

Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21

140

- objection, vague; objection, argumentative.
- 2 MR. ALLEN: It's an adverse witness. I
- can lead the witness, if I want to. 3
- 4 (By Mr. Allen) Can you answer the question,
- 5 please?

- MR. BOHUSLAV: Objection, argumentative.
- MR. ALLEN: You have an objection as
- 8 opposed to argumentative FOR the entire deposition, if
- you would like, Matt. 9
- 10 A. Could you repeat the question?
- 11 Q. (By Mr. Allen) I'll strike the question. Can
- you identify any other specific actions of Dr. Jackson, 12
- both past and present, that are particularly racist and 13
- unacceptable? 14
- 15 A. No. Not any specific instances, other than the
- 16 article that he wrote.
- 17 Q. So, in your view, is that the main motivating
- 18 factor for this open letter, was the article that he
- wrote in JSS? 19
- 20 A. Yes. If the article hadn't been written, then
- I don't think this letter would have come about. 21
- MR. ALLEN: Let's see. We've got about 22
- fifteen minutes before 5 o'clock, and I ask that we go 23
- off the record. I'm going to consult, and then we'll 24
- come back, and I think we can wrap that up, unless

			Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21
	Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21		Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21 143
	141	1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1	there's something I'm forgetting, okay?		FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	MR. BOHUSLAV: Okay.	2	SHERMAN DIVISION
3	(OFF THE RECORD FROM 4:46 TO 4:51 P.M.)	3	TIMOTHY JACKSON,)
4	Q. (By Mr. Allen) Mr. Walls, I just had one last)
5	question, and it goes back to the meeting in the car you	4	Plaintiff,)
6	had with Professor Jackson, which you described in the	5) Case No. v.)
7	middle of a snowstorm in February at some point. Did I) 4:21-cv-00033-ALM
8	characterize that correctly?	6	LAURA WRIGHT, et al,)
9	A. I wouldn't call it a snowstorm. It was just)
10	lightly snowing.	7	Defendants.)
11	Q. And did you go into the car to escape the	_)
	weather?	8 9	
12		10	DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE
13	A. That was how he suggested it.	11	LEVI NIGEM XENON WALLS
14	Q. Did he use force in any way?	12	MAY 18, 2021
15	A. No.	13	
16	Q. Did he use coercion in any way?	14	
17	A. No. I could have said "no".	15	I, Nita G. Cullen, Certified Shorthand
18	Q. Thank you. And so, he didn't threaten you, if	16 17	Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the following:
19	you did not go into his car.	18	That the witness, LEVI NIGEM XENON WALLS, was
20	A. No. But he suggested that we go into the car,	19	duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the
21	and I just have trouble saying no to people who are my	20	oral deposition is a true record of the testimony given
22	advisor. And so, even though I was uncomfortable, I	21	by the witness;
23	went into the car.	22	I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule
24	Q. But you said you could have said no, correct?	23 24	30(f)(1) that the signature of the deponent: was requested by the deponent or a
25	A. Yes.	25	party before the completion of the deposition and is to
			Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21
	Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21		Levi Nigerii Xeriori Walis 3/10/21
	<i>Levi Nigem Xenon Walls 5/18/21</i> 142		144
1	142	1	
1 2	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the	2	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and
2	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav.	2	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons
2 3	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of	2 3 4	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor;
2 3 4	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial.	2 3 4 5	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a
2 3 4 5	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you	2 3 4 5 6	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of June, 2021.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of June, 2021.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of June, 2021. NITA G. CULLEN, Texas CSR #1563 Expiration Date: 08-31-2022
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of June, 2021. NITA G. CULLEN, Texas CSR #1563 Expiration Date: 08-31-2022 JULIA WHALEY & ASSOCIATES
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of June, 2021. NITA G. CULLEN, Texas CSR #1563 Expiration Date: 08-31-2022 JULIA WHALEY & ASSOCIATES Firm Registration No. 436 2012 Vista Crest Drive Carrollton, Texas 75007-1640
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of June, 2021. NITA G. CULLEN, Texas CSR #1563 Expiration Date: 08-31-2022 JULIA WHALEY & ASSOCIATES Firm Registration No. 436 2012 Vista Crest Drive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of June, 2021. NITA G. CULLEN, Texas CSR #1563 Expiration Date: 08-31-2022 JULIA WHALEY & ASSOCIATES Firm Registration No. 436 2012 Vista Crest Drive Carrollton, Texas 75007-1640
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of June, 2021. NITA G. CULLEN, Texas CSR #1563 Expiration Date: 08-31-2022 JULIA WHALEY & ASSOCIATES Firm Registration No. 436 2012 Vista Crest Drive Carrollton, Texas 75007-1640
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ALLEN: Okay. That's all. I pass the witness to you, Mr. Bohuslav. MR. BOHUSLAV: We'll reserve till time of trial. MR. ALLEN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your time. Good luck with your graduate studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons therefor; X was not requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties or attorneys to the action in which this deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 14th day of June, 2021. NITA G. CULLEN, Texas CSR #1563 Expiration Date: 08-31-2022 JULIA WHALEY & ASSOCIATES Firm Registration No. 436 2012 Vista Crest Drive Carrollton, Texas 75007-1640

#	89:16,	122:7, 122:9,	50 [1] -	3:10, 6:18,	8	11:1, 11:8,
"	134:17	129:3	3:14	6:20, 14:19,	<u> </u>	11:12, 47:25
#1563 [1] -	13108 [1] -	20-minute [1] -	2020	14:20,	8 [8] - 3:13,	accessing [1] -
144:18	3:20	56:22	93 [1] - 3:18	113:14	50:3, 50:5,	111:3
	13th [3] -	200 [1] - 79:20	2020101 [1] -	404 [1] - 2:5	50:14, 57:3,	according [2] -
'	102:10,	2012 [1] -	3:19	436 [1] -	57:5, 62:15,	89:3, 107:14
'80s [1] - 30:3	. 108:5, 115:10	144:20	2021 [6] - 1:13,	144:20	66:14	account [5] -
'90s [1] - 30:3	115.10 14 [5] - 3:17,	2016 [1] - 14:11	1:20, 8:25,	4:04 [1] - 113:20	860.469.2783 [1] - 2:7	8:13, 10:1, 94:7, 102:13,
303 [1] - 30.3	3:18, 93:24,	2018 [6] -	9:8, 143:12, 144:15	4:08 [1] - 117:1	860.772.4738	108:21
0	94:1, 94:9	14:13, 85:5,	21 [3] - 3:23,	4:09 [1] - 117:1	[1] - 2:6	accountable
	1450 [1] - 1:23	85:6, 137:21,	126:12,	4:21-cv-00033	8:56 [1] - 63:3	[2] - 138:7,
0076 [1] -	14th [1] -	137:22,	126:22	-ALM [2] -	0.00[.]	138:13
113:25	144:14	137:25	214.668.5578	1:5, 143:5	9	accounts [1] -
0083 [1] -	15 [4] - 3:18,	2019 [17] -	[1] - 144:21	4:46 [1] - 141:3		8:15
111:13	3:23, 99:8,	20:21, 37:20,	22 [3] - 3:23,	4:51 [1] - 141:3	9 [7] - 3:14,	accurate [1] -
06375 [1] - 2:6	99:9	67:15, 85:3,	3:25, 130:17	4:52 [2] - 1:20,	3:14, 62:19,	138:10
08-31-2022 [1]	15th [3] -	91:23, 93:2,	22nd [2] -	142:7	62:20, 67:10,	acknowledge
- 144:19	114:20,	105:2,	133:22,		70:13, 70:15	[1] - 89:22
4	127:16,	111:12,	134:11	5	940.565.2717	acknowledgi
1	129:2	116:6, 117:9,	23 [2] - 3:24,	F 0.44	[1] - 2:18	ng [2] -
1 [2] - 3:22,	16 [2] - 3:19, 101:5	117:24, 120:1, 120:6,	133:16	5 [12] - 3:11, 11:22, 11:23,	Λ	123:5, 131:1
20:5	101:5 17 [3] - 3:20,	120:1, 120:0,	25 [1] - 3:15	17:22, 11:23, 17:18, 20:5,	Α	acknowledg ment [1] -
10 [6] - 3:15,	3:20, 108:3	127:16,	25-December	22:3, 31:23,	able [4] - 19:3,	48:16
3:18, 18:9,	18 [6] - 1:13,	133:22,	25th [3] - 63:1,	36:23, 36:24,	41:23, 74:1,	acknowledg
18:10, 70:5,	1:20, 3:20,	134:11	68:5, 117:9	39:4, 117:8,	136:25	ments [1] -
70:17	3:23, 110:10,	2019	26 [3] - 9:24,	140:23	above-styled	48:9
100 [3] - 30:7,	143:12		35:2, 35:5		[1] - 1:19	acquired [2] -
30:9, 84:24	18th [1] -	130 [1] - 3:24	27 [2] - 9:24,	6	absolute [1] -	13:22, 17:14
10:00 [1] -	130:19	2019	35:2		87:3	acronym [2] -
94:10	19 [5] - 3:21,	133 [1] -	2770 [1] -	6 [5] - 3:10,	Absolutely [1]	13:13, 58:23
11 [4] - 3:16,	3:22, 113:5,	3:25	3:15	3:11, 34:15,	- 76:5	action [2] -
18:8, 85:24, 86:1	113:7,	2019	27th [2] -	34:16, 41:14 646 [1] - 57:7	abstract [3] - 120:22.	144:9,
1155 [1] - 2:17	113:22	126 [1] - 3:23	70:11, 80:14	040 [1] - 37 .7	120.22,	144:13
12 [16] - 3:16,	1970s [1] - 77:12	2019	29th [5] - 44:5,	7	academia [1] -	actions [1] -
12:11, 18:6,	1980s [3] -	113 [1] - 3:22 2019110 [1]	117:12,		53:19	140:12
20:13, 20:16,	77:12, 77:15,	- 3:20	117:14, 120:1, 120:6	7 [2] - 3:12,	academic [11]	activities [1] - 16:17
21:7, 32:5,	77:21	2019122 [1] -	2:05 [1] - 50:6	45:19	- 20:14,	actual [2] -
37:21, 40:13,	19th [5] - 89:1,	3:22	2:07 [1] - 50:12	7/26/2020	21:9, 22:17,	126:1, 133:3
63:9, 75:24,	91:23,	2020 [24] -	2:22 [1] - 50:12		23:14, 25:6,	ad [4] - 69:17,
87:11, 87:12,	125:24,	3:18, 8:25,		45 [1] - 3:13	25:8, 30:11,	69:20, 96:17,
92:22,	129:3,	9:9, 9:24,	3	71 [2] - 117:17,	30:17, 44:19,	135:2
111:12, 134:19	132:19	11:18, 15:10,		120:5	92:25, 99:6	add [3] - 25:25,
134.19 12/19/2019	1:01 [1] - 6:9	33:10, 33:11,	3 [4] - 134:21,	72 [1] - 116:20	academics [1]	103:1, 135:3
89 [1] - 3:17	1:02 [1] - 6:9	34:7, 34:8,	135:2, 135:3,	73 [2] - 118:16, 120:3	- 30:15 accepted [6] -	addition [2] -
12548 [1] -	1st [4] -	35:2, 35:5,	138:7	75007-1640 [1]	24:16, 24:18,	94:18,
2:12	114:20, 116:6,	39:25, 44:6, 50:21, 58:9,	3,000 [1] - 31:9	- 144:21	25:2, 119:14,	137:16
12750 [1] -	116.6, 117:24,	63:1, 89:1,	30 [1] - 144:1 30(f)(1 [1] -	76203 [1] -	122:2,	additional [1] - 94:16
1:23	129:8	93:6, 94:9,	143:23	2:17	133:24	additions [1] -
12:57 [1] - 1:20	.20.0	96:12,	3:10 [1] - 85:23	77 [1] - 114:17	accepting [2] -	103:1
13 [15] - 3:17,	2	100:24,	3:14 [1] - 85:23	777 [1] -	134:10,	address [2] -
3:19, 33:9,	_	102:11,	3:58 [1] -	114:15	135:21	128:9, 130:7
40:8, 40:12,	2 [2] - 3:3,	112:17	113:20	78711 [1] -	access [12] -	adhere [2] -
40:22, 46:2,	114:11	2020		2:12	8:20, 8:23,	107:17,
46:14, 46:19,	20 [8] - 3:22,	62 [1] -	4		9:7, 9:11,	108:1
47:2, 47:6,	34:6, 56:2,	3:15			10:3, 10:7,	ADJOURNED
68:19, 89:10,	62:17, 93:2,	2020	4 [8] - 3:4, 3:6,		10:9, 10:21,	[1] - 142:7

adjunct [5] -	aggressions	5:11, 5:17,	analyzing [1] -	appointment	articles [32] -	138:4,
19:7, 19:11,	[1] - 58:21	6:8, 11:21,	29:1	[3] - 134:8,	9:19, 20:15,	138:19
19:13, 19:15,	ago [2] - 58:20,	34:14, 50:3,	AND [1] - 2:14	134:9,	21:6, 21:9,	assumed [4] -
36:19	79:21	50:6, 50:11,	Anderson [1] -	134:13	21:13, 21:25,	22:21, 97:12,
adjustment [1]	agree [14] -	62:18, 70:3,	81:25	appreciate [1]	23:21, 23:23,	101:17,
- 15:14	24:10, 25:12,	85:20, 85:22,	Andrew [5] -	- 28:12	24:15, 24:17,	128:25
administratio	31:25, 73:7,	87:10, 99:7,	74:25, 112:1,	approach [3] -	24:19, 24:23,	AT [1] - 142:7
n [2] - 83:2,	83:6, 97:8,	113:13,	118:18,	57:19, 69:14,	26:5, 26:10,	atmosphere
83:3	97:22, 99:16,	113:19,	119:22,	72:3	33:23, 41:11,	[1] - 57:11
administrativ	104:25,	116:24,	122:3	approached	42:15, 44:20,	attached [6] -
e [2] - 91:1,	121:17,	140:2, 140:7,	angry [1] -	[2] - 97:13,	47:13, 48:8,	7:9, 45:2,
92:23	122:14,	140:22,	55:13	97:14	65:17, 65:19,	63:14, 75:4,
admirable [2] -	122:18,	142:1, 142:5	answer [5] -	appropriate	66:11, 68:14,	75:7, 144:2
124:14,	122:19,	Allen	4:18, 5:21,	[2] - 122:15,	91:5, 91:9,	attend [1] -
124:19	138:16	[1] -	5:22, 113:11,	122:22	91:11, 91:15,	128:11
admissible [1]	agreed [9] -	3:6	140:4	appropriation	91:19, 92:8,	attention [6] -
- 5:24	4:25, 22:9,	allow [1] -	answered [1] -	[1] - 72:15	93:10	17:17, 31:22,
admit [2] -	72:8, 73:9,	40:25	85:18	April [4] - 3:25,	arts [1] - 26:2	87:21,
38:20, 99:15	73:11, 74:21,	allowed [2] -	anti [11] -	114:24,	Asian [3] -	113:24,
admitted [1] -	97:19, 97:22,	22:15, 65:5	67:23, 74:7,	133:22,	60:22, 61:2,	120:20,
132:2	97:23	almost [1] -	98:5, 99:2,	134:11	125:3	126:23
admitting [1] -	agreements	113:14	102:6, 102:9,	archives [2] -	aside [1] -	ATTORNEY [2]
22:19	[1] - 94:5	alone [1] - 28:7	108:15,	16:13, 16:15	20:14	- 2:10, 2:11
advance [3] -	agrees [1] -	alphabet [1] -	109:24,	area [1] - 127:9	aspect [1] -	attorney [7] -
29:2, 32:24,	114:11	13:15	122:24,	arguably [1] -	130:15	4:7, 6:22,
48:6	ahead [1] - 38:11	ALSO [1] - 2:20	131:7, 131:9	72:1	assigned [2] - 15:17, 15:22	7:12, 46:7,
advanced [4] -	aired [1] - 58:1	alternate [1] -	anti-Ewell [6] - 74:7, 98:5,	argue [2] -	Assistant [1] -	116:23, 144:7,
26:9, 26:10,	al [2] - 1:6,	42:11	102:6, 102:9,	103:18, 103:19	90:6	144:12
26:12, 129:13	143:6	ambivalent [1]	109:24,	argued [3] -	ASSISTANT	attorneys [3] -
advantages	Allen [45] - 4:6,	- 127:25	122:24	5:23, 40:10,	[1] - 2:10	7:17, 7:22,
[1] - 25:18	5:18, 6:10,	America [1] -	anti-	40:11	assistant [12] -	144:9
adverse [1] -	6:19, 11:24,	53:22	Schenkerian	argument [6] -	15:8, 15:9,	audience [1] -
140:2	18:14, 20:4,	Americans [1]	[1] - 108:15	42:17, 86:8,	15:12, 15:22,	60:1
advisor [3] -	30:17, 31:22,	- 79:22	anti-Semitic	106:13,	18:9, 18:20,	August [2] -
37:5, 88:18,	32:16, 34:17,	analyses [3] -	[3] - 67:23,	107:9, 121:5,	18:21, 19:9,	134:5, 134:9
141:22	37:19, 45:20,	29:10,	131:7, 131:9	129:12	19:13, 19:16,	August-
advisors [1] -	49:3, 49:10,	107:10,	anxious [1] -	argumentativ	19:17, 36:21	September
32:3	50:13, 54:9,	107:16	115:15	e [5] - 42:16,	associate [7] -	[1] - 134:9
advisory [3] -	59:6, 62:7,	analysis [30] -	anyway [1] -	106:18,	18:18, 18:20,	Austin [1] -
20:7, 22:15,	62:21, 64:6,	27:18, 27:21,	22:11	140:1, 140:6,	19:8, 19:18,	2:12
39:9	65:6, 70:6,	27:23, 28:3,	apologize [4] -	140:8	19:22	author [6] -
Advisory [1] -	72:3, 78:24,	28:4, 28:19,	104:7, 117:2,	arguments [4]	ASSOCIATE	42:24, 49:18,
3:17	85:25, 87:13,	28:24, 29:8,	117:20,	- 26:8,	[1] - 2:15	72:12, 72:19,
advocated [1]	89:11, 93:25,	29:11, 29:12,	117:21	26:11, 43:10,	associate's [1]	72:22, 98:3
- 115:25	99:10, 101:6, 104:4, 108:4,	29:14, 30:2,	appear [3] -	102:23	- 14:5	Authors [1] -
advocating [1]	104:4, 108:4,	38:19, 38:21,	57:2, 72:14,	art [1] - 26:1	associated [1] - 65:7	3:17
- 131:25	113:6,	39:2, 52:15, 52:17, 76:1,	108:22	article [19] -	- 05:7 ASSOCIATES	authors [7] -
affect [2] -	113:21,	76:3, 97:2,	Appearances	25:9, 26:12,	[1] - 144:19	42:3, 42:5,
6:11, 6:14	117:2, 122:8,	103:7, 103:8,		26:15, 33:7,	assume [19] -	49:18, 73:10, 91:1, 97:20,
affirmatively	126:13,	103:7, 103:8,	. [1] - 3:3	33:8, 42:25, 43:2, 43:6,	4:21, 10:23,	109:8
[1] - 35:19	130:18,	106:22,	appeared [5] -	43.2, 43.6, 43:7, 43:20,	13:1, 32:21,	authors' [1] -
afforded [1] -	133:17,	106:23,	7:2, 21:6,	43:21, 43:22,	46:23, 67:7,	48:4
84:3	135:5, 140:4,	107:4,	46:14, 48:4,	49:24, 91:18,	68:13, 84:5,	average [1] -
afraid [2] - 44:12, 55:15	140:11,	107:11,	76:23	102:18,	84:11, 88:19,	78:2
afterwards [1]	141:4	124:5, 128:1,	application [1]	133:9,	94:14,	avoid [1] - 28:3
- 23:8	ALLEN [27] -	132:24	- 132:24	140:16,	101:24,	aware [10] -
agenda [2] -	2:4, 2:5, 4:5,	analytical [1] -	applied [1] -	140:18,	103:5, 118:4,	6:2, 8:23,
27:25, 28:14	4:24, 5:5,	38:21	74:6	140:20	119:1, 126:5,	11:13, 19:23,
220, 20. 14			-			, ,

86:4, 86:8,	76:17, 78:14,	78:15	33:6, 55:7,	49:19, 50:9,	66:15	123:20
	84:13		96:2, 107:19		briefly [6] -	
89:12, 89:14,		beliefs [4] -	,	54:6, 59:4,	-	cannot [1] -
92:16, 93:18	basis [5] -	56:7, 56:8,	bias [5] -	62:2, 64:2,	56:23, 89:15,	115:1
awesome [1] -	5:16, 77:19,	73:3, 73:5	57:20, 58:24,	65:1, 68:23,	118:23,	canon [2] -
99:15	77:22, 78:3,	beliefs) [1] -	59:9, 131:14	71:24, 78:21,	123:14,	53:19, 86:9
awkward [1] -	78:6	128:6	biased [2] -	85:18, 95:1,	128:18,	capable [1] -
42:8	Bates [2] -	below [2] -	131:11,	104:3,	134:12	121:8
	57:8, 118:16	30:23, 115:4	131:12	113:18,	Briefly [1] -	Capitol [1] -
В	bathroom [1] -	Ben [10] -	big [4] - 22:3,	124:25,	57:23	2:12
	113:17	10:10, 12:5,	27:22, 131:3,	135:4,	bring [1] - 69:3	car [11] -
Bach [2] -	baton [1] -	17:21, 17:24,	131:4	139:25,	bringing [1] -	96:18, 97:4,
127:6, 127:8	32:6	36:7, 36:9,	bigoted [2] -	140:6, 141:2,	105:4	97:5, 97:17,
backdate [4] -	Beach [6] -	39:6, 74:21,	138:17,	142:3	brings [1] -	98:8, 109:6,
116:5, 118:1,	26:7, 26:8,	94:12, 95:15	138:24	Bohuslav [2] -	131:24	141:5,
118:3,	26:12, 26:16,	Benjamin [55]	bios [1] - 94:4	5:18, 142:2	Brinkmann [1]	141:11,
118:11	33:7, 33:8	- 3:12, 3:13,	bit [6] - 13:4,	book [1] -	- 128:2	141:19,
backdating [3]	Beach's [1] -	3:24, 10:19,	54:7, 55:20,	67:24	brought [1] -	141:20,
- 117:24,	24:25	17:25, 18:1,	106:11,	Boss [2] -	125:7	141:23
118:5, 118:8	Beaudoin [3] -	18:5, 18:6,	106:11,	99:14,		care [1] - 96:3
backed [2] -		19:13, 19:24,	106.16,	100:19	Bryan [3] -	
23:18, 68:22	108:14,				135:14,	career [13] -
*	109:11,	20:5, 31:25,	black [10] -	boss [1] -	135:16,	13:21, 17:14,
background	109:14	32:19, 33:1,	38:25, 60:21,	99:16	135:21	32:24, 34:11,
[3] - 13:4,	became [2] -	33:4, 33:14,	61:14, 61:16,	Boss' [1] -	Bryan's [1] -	36:9, 55:19,
28:17,	15:12, 44:23	33:17, 37:3,	61:20, 77:7,	100:15	35:12	63:11, 63:13,
107:13	become [3] -	37:9, 38:3,	77:9, 79:22,	bottom [6] -	bubble [11] -	70:16, 71:18,
backgrounds	5:24, 19:22,	40:7, 44:7,	139:11,	57:7, 71:16,	17:18, 17:24,	71:22, 72:1
[1] - 107:12	60:24	44:9, 54:5,	139:13	80:3, 87:24,	18:3, 20:4,	careful [2] -
backlash [5] -	becoming [3] -	56:22, 57:13,	blacks [1] -	95:15,	22:3, 22:7,	80:20, 119:8
23:1, 63:7,	25:5, 25:8,	57:21, 57:24,	25:10	127:15	31:23, 31:24,	carried [1] -
63:8, 70:10,	45:13	58:1, 60:12,	blowing [1] -	bounce [1] -	40:6, 40:7	77:8
70:16	Beethoven [7]	62:11, 62:14,	37:4	36:24	bubbles [1] -	Carrollton [1] -
badly [2] -	- 86:5,	62:15, 91:23,	blue [7] -	Box [2] - 2:5,	37:1	144:21
badly [2] - 75:21, 75:22		62:15, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3,	blue [7] - 17:18, 17:24,	Box [2] - 2:5, 2:12		
	- 86:5,				37:1	144:21
75:21, 75:22	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23,	92:1, 92:3,	17:18, 17:24,	2:12	37:1 Burkhart [5] -	144:21 carrying [1] -
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] -	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23,	2:12 Brand [27] -	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15,	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18,	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] -	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] -	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] -	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23,	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] -	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8,	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] -
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5,	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] -	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17,
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3,	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] -	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1,
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10]	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4,
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11,	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] -	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17,
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22,
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] -	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] -	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17,
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16,
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] -	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] -	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] -
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] -	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] -	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] -	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23,	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] -
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] -	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] - 42:21, 72:22,	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11 behaviors [2] -	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7 better [5] -	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25, 56:13, 56:16,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21 break [6] -	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5 California [3] -	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17 caused [5] -
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] - 42:21, 72:22, 95:16, 95:21,	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11 behaviors [2] - 138:17,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7 better [5] - 43:11, 59:21,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25, 56:13, 56:16, 69:1, 69:2,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21 break [6] - 50:7, 85:19,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5 California [3] - 14:2, 14:7,	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17 caused [5] - 85:8, 85:13,
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] - 42:21, 72:22, 95:16, 95:21, 95:25, 96:1,	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11 behaviors [2] - 138:17, 138:24	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7 better [5] - 43:11, 59:21, 72:14, 87:18,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25, 56:13, 56:16, 69:1, 69:2, 74:10	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21 break [6] - 50:7, 85:19, 85:20, 85:21,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5 California [3] - 14:2, 14:7, 14:24	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17 caused [5] - 85:8, 85:13, 110:24,
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] - 42:21, 72:22, 95:16, 95:21, 96:4, 96:9,	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11 behaviors [2] - 138:17, 138:24 behind [1] -	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7 better [5] - 43:11, 59:21, 72:14, 87:18, 103:1	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25, 56:13, 56:16, 69:1, 69:2, 74:10 BOHUSLAV	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21 break [6] - 50:7, 85:19, 85:20, 85:21, 85:22,	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5 California [3] - 14:2, 14:7, 14:24 camps [1] -	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17 caused [5] - 85:8, 85:13, 110:24, 111:1,
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] - 42:21, 72:22, 95:16, 95:21, 95:25, 96:1, 96:4, 96:9, 97:15, 98:9,	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11 behaviors [2] - 138:17, 138:24 behind [1] - 29:2	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:10, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7 better [5] - 43:11, 59:21, 72:14, 87:18, 103:1 between [14] -	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25, 56:13, 56:16, 69:1, 69:2, 74:10 BOHUSLAV [31] - 2:10,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21 break [6] - 50:7, 85:19, 85:20, 85:21, 85:22, 113:14	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5 California [3] - 14:2, 14:7, 14:24 camps [1] - 43:19	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17 caused [5] - 85:8, 85:13, 110:24, 111:1, 127:24
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] - 42:21, 72:22, 95:16, 95:21, 95:25, 96:1, 96:4, 96:9, 97:15, 98:9, 98:23, 98:25	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11 behaviors [2] - 138:17, 138:24 behind [1] - 29:2 belief [9] -	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7 better [5] - 43:11, 59:21, 72:14, 87:18, 103:1 between [14] - 3:11, 3:11,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25, 56:13, 56:16, 69:1, 69:2, 74:10 BOHUSLAV [31] - 2:10, 5:3, 5:7,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21 break [6] - 50:7, 85:19, 85:20, 85:21, 85:22, 113:14 breaking [1] -	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5 California [3] - 14:2, 14:7, 14:24 camps [1] - 43:19 campus [1] -	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17 caused [5] - 85:8, 85:13, 110:24, 111:1, 127:24 cc [1] - 89:21
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] - 42:21, 72:22, 95:16, 95:21, 95:25, 96:1, 96:4, 96:9, 97:15, 98:9, 98:23, 98:25 based [3] -	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11 behaviors [2] - 138:17, 138:24 behind [1] - 29:2 belief [9] - 22:14, 23:18,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:10, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7 better [5] - 43:11, 59:21, 72:14, 87:18, 103:1 between [14] - 3:11, 3:11, 7:21, 12:4,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25, 56:13, 56:16, 69:1, 69:2, 74:10 BOHUSLAV [31] - 2:10, 5:3, 5:7, 5:15, 6:6,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21 break [6] - 50:7, 85:19, 85:20, 85:21, 85:22, 113:14 breaking [1] - 114:22	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5 California [3] - 14:2, 14:7, 14:24 camps [1] - 43:19 campus [1] - 136:24	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17 caused [5] - 85:8, 85:13, 110:24, 111:1, 127:24 cc [1] - 89:21 cc'd [1] -
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] - 42:21, 72:22, 95:16, 95:21, 95:25, 96:1, 96:4, 96:9, 97:15, 98:9, 98:23, 98:25 based [3] - 24:11, 40:19,	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11 behaviors [2] - 138:17, 138:24 behind [1] - 29:2 belief [9] - 22:14, 23:18, 24:6, 24:9,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:10, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7 better [5] - 43:11, 59:21, 72:14, 87:18, 103:1 between [14] - 3:11, 3:11, 7:21, 12:4, 16:1, 16:3,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25, 56:13, 56:16, 69:1, 69:2, 74:10 BOHUSLAV [31] - 2:10, 5:3, 5:7, 5:15, 6:6, 30:13, 31:18,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21 break [6] - 50:7, 85:19, 85:20, 85:21, 85:22, 113:14 breaking [1] - 114:22 Brian [2] -	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5 California [3] - 14:2, 14:7, 14:24 camps [1] - 43:19 campus [1] - 136:24 candidates [3]	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17 caused [5] - 85:8, 85:13, 110:24, 111:1, 127:24 cc [1] - 89:21
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] - 42:21, 72:22, 95:16, 95:21, 95:25, 96:1, 96:4, 96:9, 97:15, 98:9, 98:23, 98:25 based [3] - 24:11, 40:19, 112:18	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11 behaviors [2] - 138:17, 138:24 behind [1] - 29:2 belief [9] - 22:14, 23:18,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7 better [5] - 43:11, 59:21, 72:14, 87:18, 103:1 between [14] - 3:11, 3:11, 7:21, 12:4, 16:1, 16:3, 19:17, 27:22,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25, 56:13, 56:16, 69:1, 69:2, 74:10 BOHUSLAV [31] - 2:10, 5:3, 5:7, 5:15, 6:6, 30:13, 31:18, 32:14, 37:14,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21 break [6] - 50:7, 85:19, 85:20, 85:21, 85:22, 113:14 breaking [1] - 114:22 Brian [2] - 3:11, 35:4	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5 California [3] - 14:2, 14:7, 14:24 camps [1] - 43:19 campus [1] - 136:24 candidates [3] - 123:9,	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17 caused [5] - 85:8, 85:13, 110:24, 111:1, 127:24 cc [1] - 89:21 cc'd [1] - 120:10 censor [14] -
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] - 42:21, 72:22, 95:16, 95:21, 95:25, 96:1, 96:4, 96:9, 97:15, 98:9, 98:23, 98:25 based [3] - 24:11, 40:19,	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11 behaviors [2] - 138:17, 138:24 behind [1] - 29:2 belief [9] - 22:14, 23:18, 24:6, 24:9,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:10, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7 better [5] - 43:11, 59:21, 72:14, 87:18, 103:1 between [14] - 3:11, 3:11, 7:21, 12:4, 16:1, 16:3,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25, 56:13, 56:16, 69:1, 69:2, 74:10 BOHUSLAV [31] - 2:10, 5:3, 5:7, 5:15, 6:6, 30:13, 31:18,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21 break [6] - 50:7, 85:19, 85:20, 85:21, 85:22, 113:14 breaking [1] - 114:22 Brian [2] -	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5 California [3] - 14:2, 14:7, 14:24 camps [1] - 43:19 campus [1] - 136:24 candidates [3]	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17 caused [5] - 85:8, 85:13, 110:24, 111:1, 127:24 cc [1] - 89:21 cc'd [1] - 120:10
75:21, 75:22 Bain [1] - 96:25 Bakulina [6] - 75:3, 75:5, 111:23, 112:3, 112:11, 122:3 Bakuline [1] - 3:14 balance [2] - 22:18, 23:24 balances [2] - 24:12, 24:14 Baroque [1] - 127:11 Barry [13] - 42:21, 72:22, 95:16, 95:21, 95:25, 96:1, 96:4, 96:9, 97:15, 98:9, 98:23, 98:25 based [3] - 24:11, 40:19, 112:18	- 86:5, 86:18, 86:23, 86:24, 87:4, 87:6, 87:8 Beethoven's [1] - 86:9 began [4] - 63:12, 63:13, 97:3, 137:24 beginning [10] - 8:24, 8:25, 34:7, 46:7, 47:18, 50:21, 113:22, 113:23, 116:13, 118:5 begins [1] - 111:11 behaviors [2] - 138:17, 138:24 behind [1] - 29:2 belief [9] - 22:14, 23:18, 24:6, 24:9, 24:10, 25:16,	92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 94:13, 94:21, 95:9, 95:13, 95:20, 96:14, 114:1, 115:14, 124:9, 125:13, 133:19, 134:3, 134:7 Berlioz [1] - 127:13 best [6] - 12:19, 35:6, 47:15, 62:16, 115:8, 118:7 better [5] - 43:11, 59:21, 72:14, 87:18, 103:1 between [14] - 3:11, 3:11, 7:21, 12:4, 16:1, 16:3, 19:17, 27:22,	17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 31:23, 36:25, 40:7, 117:4 Board [2] - 3:18, 3:21 board [27] - 20:7, 22:15, 23:20, 24:1, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 26:23, 26:24, 27:3, 27:13, 27:19, 27:24, 29:15, 39:9, 40:17, 41:24, 44:22, 51:8, 52:20, 54:25, 56:13, 56:16, 69:1, 69:2, 74:10 BOHUSLAV [31] - 2:10, 5:3, 5:7, 5:15, 6:6, 30:13, 31:18, 32:14, 37:14,	2:12 Brand [27] - 3:12, 3:13, 44:8, 44:9, 44:25, 50:20, 54:5, 55:8, 55:25, 56:22, 57:13, 57:24, 58:1, 60:12, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 66:25, 71:9, 71:12, 71:13, 91:23, 92:1, 92:3, 92:10, 93:18, 96:14 Brand's [1] - 57:21 break [6] - 50:7, 85:19, 85:20, 85:21, 85:22, 113:14 breaking [1] - 114:22 Brian [2] - 3:11, 35:4	37:1 Burkhart [5] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22 Burstein [3] - 26:24, 27:8, 27:9 business [1] - 10:5 busy [1] - 39:14 BY [1] - 4:5 C Cadwallader [2] - 109:23, 110:5 California [3] - 14:2, 14:7, 14:24 camps [1] - 43:19 campus [1] - 136:24 candidates [3] - 123:9,	144:21 carrying [1] - 77:7 CASE [1] - 1:5 Case [1] - 143:4 case [12] - 24:16, 25:17, 33:4, 34:1, 53:20, 77:4, 77:5, 79:17, 113:22, 120:17, 124:16, 144:12 cases [1] - 103:7 category [2] - 29:13, 78:17 caused [5] - 85:8, 85:13, 110:24, 111:1, 127:24 cc [1] - 89:21 cc'd [1] - 120:10 censor [14] -

73:5, 73:9,	109:23	62:5, 62:9	139:15	11:10, 18:11,	126:24,	129:5
74:4, 74:10,	CFP [13] -	chose [1] -	classical [2] -	36:7, 36:10	127:3	conferences
74:16, 74:18,	54:12, 67:12,	53:1	86:5, 86:9	color [22] -	community [9]	[2] - 30:24,
97:9, 97:20,	67:14,	Chris [9] -	classics [1] -	25:19, 26:3,	- 14:2,	30:25
98:13, 106:4,	111:15,	12:4, 12:6,	87:18	60:18, 60:19,	14:21, 14:22,	confess [1] -
108:25,	119:6,	12:9, 17:21,		60:20, 60:24,	29:20, 29:21,	107:2
100:23,			classist [1] -			
	119:18, 119:20,	22:8, 32:13,	67:21	61:3, 61:13,	74:9, 114:12,	conflict [1] -
censored [9] - 98:17, 98:18,		37:10, 39:13,	clear [9] - 4:18,	61:16, 61:19,	114:13,	33:6
, ,	120:18,	124:1	4:22, 5:14,	62:1, 62:5,	125:10	confrontation
98:22, 98:23,	120:24,	Chris11 [1] -	37:19, 42:12,	62:8, 76:18,	complete [2] -	al [6] - 25:4,
99:1, 99:3,	121:5,	3:11	42:17, 54:8,	76:22,	28:8, 104:14	43:10, 43:11,
99:5, 100:8,	121:12,	Christmas [5]	98:13, 108:8	123:16,	completion [2]	43:12, 43:14,
110:6	121:14,	- 39:15,	clearly [1] -	124:7,	- 143:25,	43:17
censoring [1] -	121:24	85:2, 85:3,	63:23	124:15,	144:6	confronted [1]
109:3	chain [1] -	85:5, 85:6	closed [1] -	124:17,	complicity [1]	- 52:10
censorship	116:10	Christopher	67:25	124:22,	- 71:3	confused [2] -
[10] - 73:18,	Chair [1] -	[1] - 80:9	closer [1] -	125:8, 139:9	compose [1] -	63:17, 72:15
73:22, 96:19,	100:1	Chung [8] -	106:19	combined [1] -	20:15	confusing [2] -
97:18, 98:7,	chair [5] -	75:1, 75:3,	closest [2] -	138:5	composed [1]	60:3, 117:22
98:20, 106:3,	44:9, 44:10,	111:23,	42:13, 42:20	coming [2] -	- 136:6	confusion [1] -
108:11,	54:5, 62:12	112:1,	closing [1] -	39:5, 131:8	composers [1]	135:3
108:13,	chance [2] -	112:13,	119:7	comment [3] -	- 16:7	connected [1]
108:19	6:23, 82:1	118:18,	clue [1] - 60:9	41:7, 46:8,	composing [1]	- 80:6
Center [6] -	change [1] -	119:23,	clumsy [2] -	51:24	- 136:10	Connecticut
15:22, 16:3,	122:23	122:3	42:8, 42:9	commented	Composition	[1] - 2:6
16:5, 16:10,	changed [5] -	Circle [1] -	co [1] - 134:18	[1] - 112:11	[1] - 99:23	connecting [1]
90:4, 90:5	8:23, 82:24,	2:17	co-editor [1] -	comments [10]	compound [1]	- 130:14
center [8] -	83:12, 83:14,	circles [1] -	134:18	- 22:9, 42:6,	- 54:6	connection [2]
16:1, 16:14,	122:25	80:7	coast [1] - 13:2	42:15, 48:17,	computer [1] -	- 103:16,
16:17, 17:7,	Changes [1] -	circulated [5] -	coding [2] -	63:15, 72:11,	16:24	105:5
18:5, 53:4,	144:2	91:22, 93:4,	17:1, 17:4	72:23, 74:2,	concern [4] -	connects [1] -
53:6, 90:4	changes [2] -	110:21,	coercion [1] -	74:18,	42:22, 45:6,	103:1
century [1] -	106:6, 144:3	135:24,	141:16	112:10	70:16, 103:7	connotation
132:19	changing [2] -	136:1	cohort [5] -	commit [2] -	concerned [6]	[1] - 59:1
certain [8] -	42:12,	circumstance	137:2, 137:4,	78:2, 139:23	- 45:1,	conscious [1]
33:19, 52:7,	114:12	[1] - 6:2	137:11,	Committee [1]	63:16, 66:24,	- 57:13
77:9, 78:1,	characterize	circumstance	137:21,	- 100:2	89:2, 106:18,	consequence
84:25, 106:1,	[8] - 7:5,	s [2] - 84:14,	138:1	committee [3]	130:1	[1] - 79:19
130:14,	33:12, 33:15,	84:16	colleague [1] -	- 69:17,	concerning [1]	consider [10] -
130:15	36:15, 42:24,	City [1] - 1:23	37:6	69:21, 88:17	- 95:21	53:5, 53:8,
certainly [4] -	53:10, 90:9,	Civil [1] - 1:25	colleagues [4]	committees	concerns [4] -	76:24,
41:2, 69:1,	141:8	claim [1] -	- 32:3, 32:9,	[2] - 32:4,	50:21, 50:24,	106:14,
75:23,	characterized	128:3	32:22, 33:13	32:10	58:1, 72:4	110:1, 110:3,
131:15	[3] - 55:4,	clarification	collected [1] -	common [1] -	concerts [1] -	121:4,
CERTIFICAT	66:12, 86:5	[2] - 4:15,	94:17	107:8	17:8	121:15,
E [1] - 143:10	check [2] -	4:21	collecting [2] -	communicate	conclusions	124:7,
Certificate	22:17, 23:23	clarity [1] -	7:23, 8:3	[1] - 95:25	[1] - 120:21	135:10
	checked [1] -	102:19	College [9] -	communicate	condemned	considered [2]
143 [1] -	9:16	Clark [8] -	13:17, 14:23,	d [1] - 96:1	[1] - 35:16	- 39:6, 39:19
3:7	checking [1] -	98:2, 98:8,	15:1, 21:3,	communicati	condition [1] -	considering
certifications	35:12	98:10, 98:14,	64:12, 75:12,	ng [1] - 90:25	6:13	[3] - 28:4,
[1] - 13:22	checks [2] -	98:18, 98:23,	75:16, 75:20,	communicati	conduct [1] -	75:24, 97:11
Certified [1] -	24:11, 24:14	108:14,	75.10, 75.20, 86:4	on [6] - 7:21,	95:6	considers [1] -
.	cherrypicked	109:9	college [6] -	95:24, 97:13,	conducts [1] -	61:25
143:15	CHELLADICKED		Jonege [0] -			
	7.		13.21 14.2	97:16.	95.5	COnstructing
certify [3] -	[1] - 72:13	Clark's [2] -	13:21, 14:2, 14:21, 14:22	97:16, 100:19.	95:5	constructing
certify [3] - 143:16,	[1] - 72:13 chief [1] -	Clark's [2] - 97:6, 98:19	14:21, 14:22,	100:19,	Conference	[1] - 119:18
certify [3] -	[1] - 72:13 chief [1] - 88:22	Clark's [2] - 97:6, 98:19 class [1] -	14:21, 14:22, 75:19, 75:22	100:19, 126:20	Conference [1] - 31:1	[1] - 119:18 consult [1] -
certify [3] - 143:16, 143:22, 144:7	[1] - 72:13 chief [1] - 88:22 child [1] -	Clark's [2] - 97:6, 98:19 class [1] - 103:14	14:21, 14:22, 75:19, 75:22 Collin [8] -	100:19, 126:20 communicati	Conference [1] - 31:1 conference [3]	[1] - 119:18 consult [1] - 140:24
certify [3] - 143:16, 143:22, 144:7 cetera [3] -	[1] - 72:13 chief [1] - 88:22 child [1] - 61:19	Clark's [2] - 97:6, 98:19 class [1] - 103:14 classes [3] -	14:21, 14:22, 75:19, 75:22 Collin [8] - 10:10, 10:12,	100:19, 126:20 communicati ons [3] -	Conference [1] - 31:1 conference [3] - 126:6,	[1] - 119:18 consult [1] - 140:24 consulted [2] -
certify [3] - 143:16, 143:22, 144:7	[1] - 72:13 chief [1] - 88:22 child [1] -	Clark's [2] - 97:6, 98:19 class [1] - 103:14	14:21, 14:22, 75:19, 75:22 Collin [8] -	100:19, 126:20 communicati	Conference [1] - 31:1 conference [3]	[1] - 119:18 consult [1] - 140:24

consulting [1]	12:4, 32:17,	104:8,	County [1] -	Cubero [5] -	36:10	degrees [1] -
- 48:5	39:4, 72:20,	104:10,	1:24	75:8, 111:23,	days [7] -	17:13
contains [1] -	76:21, 96:2,	104:15,	couple [2] -	112:4,	70:12, 70:17,	delay [5] -
144:3	96:8, 97:2,	105:11,	4:13, 120:19	112:13,	110:23,	110:24.
content [15] -	98:11, 139:9	105:20,	course [8] -	122:4	126:6, 129:4,	111:4, 115:1,
20:9, 42:13,	conversation	106:3, 108:8,	13:20, 29:19,	Cullen [2] -	136:8, 144:1	115:8
42:18, 42:20,	s [2] - 72:21,	108:16,	46:6, 97:4,	1:21, 143:15	deadline [9] -	delayed [1] -
45:17, 51:3,	105:1	108:19,	103:15,	CULLEN [1] -	39:10, 39:14,	40:23
55:4, 65:21,	copy [6] - 6:21,	108:22,	106:2, 119:8	144:18	39:25, 40:3,	delivered [1] -
66:10, 67:20,	86:1, 91:2,	109:9,	COURT [2] -	cultural [1] -	49:22, 49:23,	120:21
70:25, 94:16,	100:23,	109:20,	1:1, 143:1	72:15	50:1, 50:2,	Delta [2] -
102:22,	102:20,	110:25,	Court [5] -	culture [2] -	114:13	14:23, 14:25
106:20,	106:18	112:7, 114:4,	4:11, 12:3,	26:1, 26:2	deadlines [1] -	demonizing
118:23	core [7] -	115:6,	18:4, 50:19,	CUNY [2] -	114:22	[2] - 131:21,
contents [4] -	29:24, 31:13,	116:24,	117:7	21:3, 30:3	deal [1] - 131:3	132:4
50:18, 51:2,	31:16, 52:22,	119:10,	craft [2] -	curious [2] -	Dear [1] -	demoralizing
72:24, 97:10	52:23, 54:24,	120:2, 120:9,	119:20,	32:8, 116:3	114:1	[3] - 51:14,
context [4] -	66:9	120:12,	121:14	current [1] -	debate [1] -	51:25, 52:5
75:6, 107:8,	corollary [1] -	121:6,	crafted [1] -	30:5	108:21	denied [2] -
118:24,	4:20	121:10,	67:15	cut [1] - 114:18	debt [2] -	24:16,
131:19	Corona [1] -	122:5, 123:6,	credentials [1]	Cutler [1] -	53:16, 53:17	138:13
continue [2] -	115:5	123:22,	- 17:13	1:23	decades [1] -	denounceme
83:25, 86:22	correct [108] -	124:16,	credibility [3] -	cynical [1] -	30:5	nt [1] - 35:13
continued [1] -	11:19, 21:23,	129:3, 129:15,	75:25, 76:3	121:18	December [4] -	Denton [1] -
45:8	24:8, 27:4,	129.15, 130:21,	credits [1] -	_	3:20, 9:9,	2:17
contribute [4]	27:10, 34:18,	132:5, 133:3,	84:1	D	39:8, 91:23	deny [2] -
- 27:2,	35:6, 35:7,	139:4,	Crest [1] -	D-II	decided [1] -	139:12,
27:14, 39:4,	35:21, 38:5,	141:24	144:20	Dallas [2] -	67:4	139:14
135:18	40:8, 41:5,	Correct [9] -	crime [3] -	1:24	decision [3] -	departed [1] -
contributed	42:25, 45:7, 45:8, 45:11,	14:1, 21:10,	77:16,	damage [2] -	73:14, 74:3,	92:14
[3] - 49:25, 56:6, 118:19	46:16, 47:10,	46:20, 59:18,	139:17,	71:18, 71:22	74:6	department [4]
contribution	48:20, 49:5,	114:16,	139:21	Damschroder [6] - 54:1,	dedicated [6] -	- 13:8,
[6] - 95:22,	49:18, 55:1,	117:18,	crimes [2] -	54:16, 66:16,	132:7, 132:12,	13:11, 44:9,
97:6, 100:6,	55:5, 60:14,	123:3, 127:1,	78:2, 139:23	66:19, 66:22,	132:12,	62:12 departments
100:8,	63:1, 64:1,	137:9	criteria [1] - 67:18	67:7	132:16,	[2] - 55:22,
100:12,	64:20, 64:25,	correctly [8] -	criticism [16] -	dangers [2] -	132:18,	137:15
100:15	67:2, 67:16,	35:17, 37:7,	21:5, 21:12,	75:11, 75:15	132:22	deponent [3] -
contributions	69:7, 70:13,	54:18, 57:15,	21:21, 21:22,	dark [1] -	defend [2] -	143:23,
[6] - 91:5,	71:14, 71:20,	60:15, 98:9,	21:24, 22:11,	118:12	52:14, 52:17	143:24,
91:10, 93:14,	71:23, 74:4,	101:16,	22:13, 59:22,	date [6] -	DEFENDANT	144:5
97:8, 109:4,	74:13, 76:11,	141:8	68:5, 68:9,	34:24, 35:1,	S [1] - 2:9	DEPOSITION
111:6	76:14, 76:25,	Corresponde	92:11, 93:13,	88:25, 92:17,	Defendants [2]	[24] - 1:11,
contributor [4]	78:10, 78:14,	nce [1] - 3:21	95:21, 107:9,	117:9, 144:1	- 1:7, 143:7	1:17, 6:18,
- 94:5,	78:19, 78:20,	corresponde	130:25,	Date [1] -	defense [1] -	11:23, 34:16,
99:24, 102:4,	79:1, 79:2,	nce [2] -	131:25	144:19	103:2	45:19, 50:5,
102:6	79:5, 80:10,	52:19	criticisms [2] -	dated [5] -	deficient [1] -	62:20, 70:5,
contributors	80:15, 80:19,	corresponde	68:16,	3:12, 3:13,	41:8	85:24, 87:12,
[5] - 10:6,	82:4, 84:15,	nces [1] -	131:23	3:14, 3:18,	define [4] -	89:10, 93:24,
12:10, 42:21,	87:19, 89:5,	95:6	criticize [1] -	35:5	25:16, 61:4,	99:9, 101:5,
102:22,	89:8, 89:16,	counsel [2] -	131:20	daughter [1] -	61:9, 85:16	108:3,
123:21	89:23, 90:7,	59:10, 144:8	critiques [2] -	35:9	defining [1] -	110:10,
control [3] -	90:20, 91:10, 91:15, 91:20,	COUNSEL [2]	100:10,	David [8] -	79:7	113:5, 122:7,
13:15, 41:19,	91:15, 91:20, 91:21, 94:7,	- 2:15, 2:16 Counsel's [1] -	100:14	66:18, 81:17,	definitely [3] -	126:12,
70:25	94:13, 96:6,	7:19	crossing [1] -	81:19, 81:20,	39:6, 58:21,	130:17,
controversial	97:21, 98:24,		96:25	81:21, 82:8,	99:16	133:16,
[2] - 47:13,	99:3, 99:17,	country [1] - 29:18	crowd [1] -	82:10 Device 12:	degree [6] -	142:7,
57:10 conversation	101:19,	29.16 counts [1] -	107:23	Davis [5] -	14:15, 87:23,	143:10
[12] - 4:9,	102:8, 104:2,	67:24	CSR [2] - 1:21,	10:13, 10:15,	88:7, 88:9,	deposition [16] - 4:10,
[12] - 4.5,	,	· · · - ·	144:18	11:10, 36:8,	88:11, 89:8	[10] - 4.10,

4:11, 4:24,	desire [3] -	[2] - 33:13,	74:25, 93:9,	18:15, 18:17,	41:17, 61:3,	9:17, 10:1,
5:2, 5:19,	56:9, 56:12,	33:16	93:13, 93:15,	34:12, 44:10,	61:5, 70:24,	10:8, 10:22,
7:6, 38:1,	128:4	discomfort [2]	96:20, 98:7,	62:12,	72:6, 72:7,	11:1, 11:6,
50:8, 73:20,	detail [2] -	- 51:4, 105:9	102:16,	137:25	75:10, 80:2,	33:22, 45:20,
116:22,	25:7, 82:13	discourage [2]	105:2,	DIVISION [3] -	92:20, 92:21,	45:21, 45:23,
134:21,	details [2] -	- 119:9,	111:21,	1:2, 2:11,	120:19,	45:25, 46:4,
134.21,			114:9,	1.2, 2.11,	128:12,	46:12, 50:20,
140.8,	82:14, 82:16	119:22	•		138:6	51:5, 62:22,
143:25,	devalue [1] -	discouraging	118:19,	divisions [1] -		
143.25, 144:6,	72:12	[1] - 115:17	121:13,	137:15	Dr [31] - 3:16,	62:23, 62:24,
	development	discourse [19]	139:10	document [45]	3:16, 3:20,	68:1, 75:2, 75:4, 75:6,
144:10	[2] - 35:15,	- 25:6, 25:8,	discussions	- 6:25, 7:3,	3:23, 10:10,	, ,
derived [1] -	35:20	66:8, 75:13,	[2] - 41:25,	7:15, 11:25,	35:13, 50:20,	75:9, 88:25,
120:22	devoted [2] -	75:16, 76:11,	138:11	12:2, 13:3,	54:14, 55:7,	89:20, 94:5,
Describe [2] -	28:1, 28:15	76:15, 77:1,	disgusting [1]	46:8, 46:10,	55:25, 56:24,	94:7, 95:4,
41:22, 42:3	dialogue [1] -	78:10, 78:14,	- 89:4	50:14, 50:16,	58:2, 58:3,	99:10, 99:13,
describe [28] -	133:12	78:19, 78:20,	dislike [1] -	50:19, 50:25,	66:25, 73:6,	99:14, 99:18,
12:2, 13:20,	Diego [4] -	79:1, 79:2,	44:24	70:21, 86:13,	74:17, 76:10,	101:6,
16:2, 20:11,	75:8, 112:4,	79:6, 104:11,	displeased [2]	86:17, 87:13,	82:23, 83:15,	101:13,
20:18, 22:6,	114:1, 122:4	104:15,	- 66:9, 72:25	89:12, 90:1,	91:13, 94:3,	102:10,
25:7, 28:6,	difference [1] -	104:18,	disproportio	90:3, 92:17,	111:20,	102:13,
33:24, 36:1,	27:22	104:21	nate [2] -	93:16, 94:2,	122:12,	106:21,
39:11, 40:15,	differences [1]	discuss [18] -	139:22	101:11,	123:8,	108:4,
50:18, 51:21,	- 79:12	8:16, 40:2,	disquieting [1]	110:11,	123:13,	108:12,
64:9, 70:9,	different [2] -	41:23, 55:10,	- 51:22	110:13,	124:13,	111:10,
72:18, 76:15,	16:13,	56:23, 57:18,	disrespect [1]	110:15,	124:20,	111:21,
88:4, 90:1,	119:18	57:21, 74:23,	- 41:16	111:11,	130:21,	114:3, 114:6,
96:22, 103:3,	differently [2] -	75:5, 102:15,	disrespectful	113:11,	130:25,	114:8, 116:9,
110:15,	98:22, 130:2	123:8,	[1] - 58:5	113:15,	138:20,	117:23,
111:8,	difficult [5] -	123:13,	dissatisfactio	113:23,	140:12	118:18,
111:18,	28:3, 61:4,	125:17,	n [2] - 51:1,	116:4, 116:5,	draft [2] -	119:23,
127:3,	61:5, 61:9,	128:16,	51:16	117:8,	111:24,	119:25,
130:23,	104:14	130:6, 134:7,	dissatisfied	117:16,	111:25	120:6, 120:9,
135:11	difficulties [1]	139:21	[1] - 45:13	117:22,	drafted [2] -	122:11,
described [11]	- 111:2	discussed [18]	dissertation	120:5, 122:9,	135:13,	122:17,
- 14:18,	direct [10] -	- 7:22,	[6] - 32:2,	126:23,	135:15	125:21,
26:5, 28:21,	48:25, 64:8,	28:18, 56:21,	37:5, 88:17,	135:8,	draw [1] -	126:2,
29:24, 31:14,	64:9, 64:14,	60:12, 62:7,	88:18, 88:23,	135:11,	120:20	127:14,
52:21, 57:20,	66:8, 104:17,	71:13, 78:19,	136:23	136:6,	Drive [2] -	127:16,
73:19,	112:6, 112:8,	79:1, 79:5,	distinction [3]	136:10,	1:23, 144:20	127:17,
124:21,	120:8,	96:9, 96:17,	- 19:17,	136:12,	due [1] - 70:16	128:17,
141:6	126:22	96:18, 97:18,	28:25, 29:4	138:6,	duly [3] - 1:18,	128:18,
Description	direction [3] -	102:15,	distinctions	138:12	4:3, 143:19	129:5,
[1] - 90:6	53:2, 138:8,	108:11,	[1] - 107:19	documented	during [3] -	130:18,
DESCRIPTIO	138:13	109:9,	distributed [3]	[1] - 82:25	58:8, 92:24,	130:24,
N [1] - 3:9	directly [16] -	123:14,	- 58:15,	Documents [1]	116:22	133:18, 135:25
description [6]	15:1, 47:9,	128:19	58:18, 60:6	- 7:10	duties [3] -	
- 90:10,	47:21, 59:6,	discusses [2] -	distribution	documents [8]	90:3, 91:15,	E-mail [10] -
90:18, 92:5,	62:7, 65:2,	45:25, 46:12 	[3] - 79:12,	- 7:14, 7:23,	92:24	3:12, 3:13,
93:3, 93:19,	65:7, 84:18,	discussing	79:18,	7:25, 8:4,	duty [1] -	3:14, 3:17,
93:22	91:12, 93:19,	[12] - 9:5,	139:17	8:9, 9:25,	93:10	3:17, 3:18,
deserve [1] -	97:17, 98:3,	32:12, 33:22,	DISTRICT [4] -	117:21	dwindled [1] -	3:19, 3:20,
25:23	101:3, 131:8,	62:13, 72:19,	1:1, 1:1,	done [9] -	30:4	3:23, 3:24
deserves [1] -	139:6, 139:8	72:22, 77:3,	143:1, 143:1	19:24, 38:20,	_	e-mailed [1] - 128:25
38:23	disagree [2] -	87:17, 98:3,	diversity [7] -	39:15, 39:21,	E	e-mailings [1]
deserving [2] -	74:15, 74:17	105:16,	57:4, 57:12,	45:7, 59:19,		e-mailings [1]
26:2, 107:25	disagreed [1] -	107:5,	57:21, 58:2,	92:22,	e-mail [74] -	- 49:11 e-mails [7] -
design [1] -	85:12	127:23	58:11, 60:7,	114:23,	8:6, 8:13,	e-mails [/] - 33:17, 33:20,
16:25	Disagreed [1] -	discussion	121:18	132:1	8:15, 8:21,	33:21, 62:15,
designates [1]	85:15	[16] - 22:24,	division [8] -	down [15] -	9:4, 9:7,	71:14, 74:23,
- 133:24	disagreement	33:12, 60:13,	13:17, 13:19,	36:23, 40:6,	9:11, 9:13,	71.14, 74.23, 117:10

	ir r					
E	106:15,	- 119:9,	141:11	54:3, 54:17,	63:18, 77:14,	36:23, 36:24,
34 [1] -	108:25,	119:21	especially [6] -	54:23, 63:19,	96:11, 101:9,	50:3, 50:14,
3:12	113:3,	encouraged	44:16, 57:1,	64:5, 64:11,	110:9, 118:6,	57:3, 57:5,
Ear [1] - 14:19	119:13,	[3] - 35:24,	63:10, 91:11,	64:23, 65:3,	135:14,	62:15, 62:19,
earliest [1] -	119:19,	44:7, 45:3	130:8,	65:9, 67:11,	136:7	66:14, 67:10,
116:16	121:8, 122:2	end [15] - 8:25,	130:10	67:19, 67:22,	EXAMINATIO	70:13, 70:15,
early [6] -	editors [1] -	14:13, 16:18,	essays [5] -	69:3, 74:7,	N [1] - 4:4	86:1, 87:11,
20:25, 33:6,	36:7	22:19, 39:21,	37:6, 37:13,	74:10, 86:4,	Examination	89:16, 94:1,
39:8, 58:5,	editorship [1] -	41:9, 67:4,	38:7, 38:9,	95:17, 96:6,	[1] - 3:6	99:8, 113:6,
123:10,	18:7	86:21, 94:12,	38:12	98:5, 98:6,	examine [4] -	113:22,
127:10	education [1] -	106:21,	essentially [3]	99:3, 100:15,	6:23, 46:10,	122:9,
east [1] - 13:1	13:23	113:23,	- 44:13,	101:2, 102:6,	86:3, 86:13	126:22,
EASTERN [2] -	educational	116:4, 116:9,	72:8, 92:20	102:9,	examined [1] -	129:3,
1:1, 143:1	[2] - 13:21,	117:10,	established	105:10,	89:22	134:21,
economics [1]	17:14	131:15	[3] - 59:16,	105:19,	example [2] -	135:2, 135:3
- 25:25	educators [1] -	ended [6] -	59:19, 80:17	106:8,	86:10,	EXHIBIT [20] -
ed [1] - 14:8	36:4	82:21, 83:19,	estimate [2] -	106:10,	100:22	6:18, 11:23,
editing [6] -	effect [1] -	83:22, 85:11,	29:20, 29:22	108:16,	except [2] -	34:16, 45:19,
41:18, 91:2,	55:18	110:5,	estimation [1]	109:1,	4:25, 74:22	50:5, 62:20,
100:23,	efforts [3] -	121:12	- 30:10	109:19,	exception [1] -	70:5, 85:24,
102:20,	71:5, 71:7,	engage [2] -	et [5] - 1:6,	109:24, 111:5,	16:18	87:12, 89:10,
106:18,	71:10	61:11,	32:4, 32:10,	111.5, 112:21,	exchange [4] -	93:24, 99:9,
119:4	egalitarianis	118:22	109:23,	112:21,	36:2, 97:11,	101:5, 108:3, 110:10,
Editor [2] -	m [1] - 72:15	engaged [1] -	143:6	115:17,	101:13,	113:5, 122:7,
90:12, 90:16	either [5] -	71:11	ethical [1] -	115:20,	129:5	126:12,
editor [22] -	38:25, 48:5,	English [2] - 35:25, 87:22	69:11	121:19,	exclude [1] -	130:17,
10:13, 10:16,	97:2, 101:18,	enjoyed [2] -	ethics [3] -	122:24,	40:3	133:16
11:14, 11:17,	128:20	95:17, 96:5	22:17, 23:14, 23:16	131:10,	excluded [1] -	exhibits [1] -
15:11, 18:6,	electronically [1] - 87:25	enslaved [1] -	ethnomusico	131:14,	27:10	75:3
18:8, 18:9, 18:11, 21:17,	eliciting [1] -	79:20	logy [3] -	131:24	excuse [2] - 110:20,	EXHIBITS [1] -
32:1, 34:1,	64:16	entered [3] -	13:11,	Ewell's [34] -	119:20	3:8
40:15, 48:7,	eligible [1] -	137:5, 137:9,	103:15,	40:19, 40:20,	Excuse [2] -	exist [2] -
74:18, 90:19,	27:2	137:13	138:5	40:21, 48:24,	88:5, 126:9	20:10,
92:19, 95:10,	eliminate [2] -	ENTERS [2] -	EuroMAC [1] -	51:6, 52:1,	executed [1] -	132:10
97:20,	56:9, 67:19	6:17, 20:3	30:25	53:5, 55:4,	118:14	existing [1] -
133:25,	eliminated [4]	entire [6] -	evening [2] -	55:13, 63:19,		16:10
134:18				,,	exercise [1] -	10.10
		52:6, 79:20,	<u> </u>	65:21, 72:8,	exercise [1] - 106:2	exists [1] -
	- 67:5,		63:3, 123:10 eventually [1]	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2,	106:2	
editor's [2] - 114:25,		52:6, 79:20,	63:3, 123:10	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6,	106:2 exhibit [10] -	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] -
editor's [2] -	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22,	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4,	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1]	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5,	106:2	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13
editor's [2] - 114:25,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15,	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3]
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1]	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] -	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8,
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] -	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] -	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1]	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5,	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] -	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] -	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6,
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] -	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] -	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] -	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] -
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22,	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6 emphasizes	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22, 58:3, 58:11,	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 33:7, 37:17,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5, 121:23,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7 Expiration [1]
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 27:3, 40:17,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6 emphasizes [1] - 54:13	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22, 58:3, 58:11, 60:7	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 33:7, 37:17, 38:13, 38:16,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5, 121:23, 128:8, 128:9,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:16, 3:17,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7 Expiration [1] - 144:19
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 27:3, 40:17, 41:24, 42:4,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6 emphasizes [1] - 54:13 employed [3] -	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22, 58:3, 58:11, 60:7 Eric [10] - 54:1,	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 33:7, 37:17, 38:13, 38:16, 38:18, 38:20,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5, 121:23, 128:8, 128:9, 129:17,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:16, 3:17, 3:17, 3:18,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7 Expiration [1] - 144:19 explain [8] -
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 27:3, 40:17, 41:24, 42:4, 44:22, 47:12,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6 emphasizes [1] - 54:13 employed [3] - 30:11, 30:16,	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22, 58:3, 58:11, 60:7 Eric [10] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15,	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 33:7, 37:17, 38:13, 38:16, 38:18, 38:20, 39:1, 39:10,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5, 121:23, 128:8, 128:9, 129:17, 131:1,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:16, 3:17, 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7 Expiration [1] - 144:19 explain [8] - 13:20, 18:4,
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 27:3, 40:17, 41:24, 42:4, 44:22, 47:12, 51:8, 52:20,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6 emphasizes [1] - 54:13 employed [3] - 30:11, 30:16, 144:8	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22, 58:3, 58:11, 60:7 Eric [10] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22,	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 33:7, 37:17, 38:13, 38:16, 38:18, 38:20, 39:1, 39:10, 39:17, 40:3,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5, 121:23, 128:8, 128:9, 129:17,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:16, 3:17, 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20, 3:20, 3:21,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7 Expiration [1] - 144:19 explain [8] - 13:20, 18:4, 25:15, 28:9,
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 27:3, 40:17, 41:24, 42:4, 44:22, 47:12, 51:8, 52:20, 56:13, 56:15,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6 emphasizes [1] - 54:13 employed [3] - 30:11, 30:16, 144:8 employee [1] -	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22, 58:3, 58:11, 60:7 Eric [10] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22, 67:7, 124:2,	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 33:7, 37:17, 38:13, 38:16, 38:18, 38:20, 39:1, 39:10, 39:17, 40:3, 45:15, 46:1,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5, 121:23, 128:8, 128:9, 129:17, 131:1, 131:15,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:16, 3:17, 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20, 3:20, 3:21, 3:22, 3:23,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7 Expiration [1] - 144:19 explain [8] - 13:20, 18:4, 25:15, 28:9, 28:10, 51:11,
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 27:3, 40:17, 41:24, 42:4, 44:22, 47:12, 51:8, 52:20, 56:13, 56:15, 65:8, 67:6,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6 emphasizes [1] - 54:13 employed [3] - 30:11, 30:16, 144:8 employee [1] - 144:11	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22, 58:3, 58:11, 60:7 Eric [10] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22, 67:7, 124:2, 124:3, 124:7	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 33:7, 37:17, 38:13, 38:16, 38:18, 38:20, 39:1, 39:10, 39:17, 40:3, 45:15, 46:1, 46:13, 47:18,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5, 121:23, 128:8, 128:9, 129:17, 131:1, 131:15, 131:22	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:16, 3:17, 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20, 3:20, 3:21, 3:22, 3:23, 3:23, 3:24,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7 Expiration [1] - 144:19 explain [8] - 13:20, 18:4, 25:15, 28:9, 28:10, 51:11, 75:14, 107:2
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 27:3, 40:17, 41:24, 42:4, 44:22, 47:12, 51:8, 52:20, 56:13, 56:15, 65:8, 67:6, 69:1, 69:2,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6 emphasizes [1] - 54:13 employed [3] - 30:11, 30:16, 144:8 employee [1] - 144:11 employment	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22, 58:3, 58:11, 60:7 Eric [10] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22, 67:7, 124:2, 124:3, 124:7 error [1] - 60:4	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 33:7, 37:17, 38:13, 38:16, 38:18, 38:20, 39:1, 39:10, 39:17, 40:3, 45:15, 46:1, 46:13, 47:18, 47:19, 48:3,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5, 121:23, 128:8, 128:9, 129:17, 131:1, 131:15, 131:22 exact [4] -	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:16, 3:17, 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20, 3:20, 3:21, 3:22, 3:23, 3:23, 3:24, 6:20, 7:9,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7 Expiration [1] - 144:19 explain [8] - 13:20, 18:4, 25:15, 28:9, 28:10, 51:11, 75:14, 107:2 explicitly [5] -
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 27:3, 40:17, 41:24, 42:4, 44:22, 47:12, 51:8, 52:20, 56:13, 56:15, 65:8, 67:6, 69:1, 69:2, 69:5, 74:10,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6 emphasizes [1] - 54:13 employed [3] - 30:11, 30:16, 144:8 employee [1] - 144:11 employment [2] - 32:5,	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22, 58:3, 58:11, 60:7 Eric [10] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22, 67:7, 124:2, 124:3, 124:7 error [1] - 60:4 ersatz [1] -	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 33:7, 37:17, 38:13, 38:16, 38:18, 38:20, 39:1, 39:10, 39:17, 40:3, 45:15, 46:1, 46:13, 47:18, 47:19, 48:3, 48:4, 48:9,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5, 121:23, 128:8, 128:9, 129:17, 131:1, 131:15, 131:22 exact [4] - 21:1, 29:22,	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:16, 3:17, 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20, 3:20, 3:21, 3:22, 3:23, 3:23, 3:24, 6:20, 7:9, 11:22, 17:18,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7 Expiration [1] - 144:19 explain [8] - 13:20, 18:4, 25:15, 28:9, 28:10, 51:11, 75:14, 107:2 explicitly [5] - 47:21, 55:14,
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 27:3, 40:17, 41:24, 42:4, 44:22, 47:12, 51:8, 52:20, 56:13, 56:15, 65:8, 67:6, 69:1, 69:2, 69:5, 74:10, 91:14, 92:11,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6 emphasizes [1] - 54:13 employed [3] - 30:11, 30:16, 144:8 employee [1] - 144:11 employment [2] - 32:5, 32:11	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22, 58:3, 58:11, 60:7 Eric [10] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22, 67:7, 124:2, 124:3, 124:7 error [1] - 60:4 ersatz [1] - 107:12	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 33:7, 37:17, 38:13, 38:16, 38:18, 38:20, 39:1, 39:10, 39:17, 40:3, 45:15, 46:1, 46:13, 47:18, 47:19, 48:3, 48:4, 48:9, 48:16, 48:18,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5, 121:23, 128:8, 128:9, 129:17, 131:1, 131:15, 131:22 exact [4] - 21:1, 29:22, 34:24, 35:1	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:16, 3:17, 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20, 3:20, 3:21, 3:22, 3:23, 3:23, 3:24, 6:20, 7:9, 11:22, 17:18, 20:5, 22:3,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7 Expiration [1] - 144:19 explain [8] - 13:20, 18:4, 25:15, 28:9, 28:10, 51:11, 75:14, 107:2 explicitly [5] - 47:21, 55:14, 63:15, 74:18,
editor's [2] - 114:25, 115:7 Editorial [1] - 3:21 editorial [38] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:17, 24:18, 26:13, 26:19, 27:3, 40:17, 41:24, 42:4, 44:22, 47:12, 51:8, 52:20, 56:13, 56:15, 65:8, 67:6, 69:1, 69:2, 69:5, 74:10,	- 67:5, 67:21, 67:22, 76:8 eliminating [1] - 132:8 elimination [1] - 132:6 Ellen [4] - 3:14, 75:5, 112:3, 122:3 elsewhere [1] - 21:6 emphasizes [1] - 54:13 employed [3] - 30:11, 30:16, 144:8 employee [1] - 144:11 employment [2] - 32:5,	52:6, 79:20, 120:4, 132:4, 132:15, 140:8 entirely [2] - 86:25, 87:2 entirety [1] - 96:23 entity [1] - 16:9 equity [5] - 57:4, 57:22, 58:3, 58:11, 60:7 Eric [10] - 54:1, 54:15, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22, 67:7, 124:2, 124:3, 124:7 error [1] - 60:4 ersatz [1] -	63:3, 123:10 eventually [1] - 76:7 evidence [3] - 4:12, 5:24, 139:22 Ewell [78] - 3:22, 8:7, 20:17, 21:2, 22:1, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 33:7, 37:17, 38:13, 38:16, 38:18, 38:20, 39:1, 39:10, 39:17, 40:3, 45:15, 46:1, 46:13, 47:18, 47:19, 48:3, 48:4, 48:9,	65:21, 72:8, 94:17, 103:2, 103:4, 103:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:17, 118:22, 118:24, 119:2, 120:20, 120:23, 120:25, 121:2, 121:5, 121:23, 128:8, 128:9, 129:17, 131:1, 131:15, 131:22 exact [4] - 21:1, 29:22, 34:24, 35:1 exactly [12] -	106:2 exhibit [10] - 6:20, 6:23, 43:24, 70:7, 70:9, 85:25, 87:10, 99:8, 117:8, 134:20 Exhibit [53] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:16, 3:17, 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20, 3:20, 3:21, 3:22, 3:23, 3:23, 3:24, 6:20, 7:9, 11:22, 17:18,	exists [1] - 107:13 expecting [1] - 97:13 experience [3] - 19:2, 52:8, 52:9 experienced [2] - 59:6, 82:11 expert [1] - 61:7 Expiration [1] - 144:19 explain [8] - 13:20, 18:4, 25:15, 28:9, 28:10, 51:11, 75:14, 107:2 explicitly [5] - 47:21, 55:14,

express [6] -	74:24, 88:22,	114:20,	144:20	forced [3] -	62:11, 84:21	generating (4)
-	122:3,	114.20, 120:1, 141:7	first [20] - 4:3,		FROM [6] -	generating [1] - 112:7
56:3, 56:6,	138:18	,		46:8, 100:11, 100:15		
56:9, 56:12,		Federal [1] -	6:20, 9:2,		6:9, 50:12,	Gentlemen [1]
74:19,	faculty/	1:25	15:7, 22:21,	foreground [1]	85:23,	- 113:13
129:24	student [1] -	feedback [1] -	41:14, 50:8,	- 28:18	113:20,	given [10] -
expressed [19]	58:4	9:19	71:16, 80:8,	forget [1] -	117:1, 141:3	18:25, 19:2,
- 22:14,	fail [1] - 61:11	feeding [1] -	90:24, 91:4,	58:23	front [1] -	37:20, 50:13,
24:10, 39:13,	failed [3] -	69:24	101:20,	forgetting [1] -	87:25	84:8, 84:12,
42:22, 50:24,	64:3, 131:16,	fellow [2] -	109:15,	141:1	full [5] - 7:13,	84:15, 99:18,
51:1, 56:7,	131:22	15:5, 15:6	109:17,	forgot [1] -	26:23, 66:16,	115:5,
69:15, 70:15,	failing [4] -	felt [3] - 41:23,	117:16,	17:12	68:12, 68:17	143:20
77:6, 80:8,	82:24, 83:13,	43:6, 52:25	120:4, 126:5,	form [14] - 5:1,	fundamental	glad [2] -
81:18, 95:20,	83:14, 84:8	female [1] -	126:10,	5:9, 5:11,	[2] - 106:23,	17:21, 24:13
105:9, 107:9,	fails [1] - 52:6	125:8	129:25,	5:13, 23:4,	107:11	goal [2] - 76:8,
107:15,	failure [1] -	few [20] -	134:15	25:21, 48:6,	funding [2] -	108:22
122:24	60:14	10:17, 24:9,	fit [2] - 106:23,	64:2, 64:10,	15:15, 45:4	Gotcha [1] -
expressing [4]	fair [7] - 7:5,	24:23, 30:5,	107:11	111:15,		135:4
- 50:21,	37:24, 61:9,	30:25, 60:2,	flesh [1] -	111:17,	G	grad [5] - 28:5,
51:16, 73:19,	68:15, 90:9,	65:18, 69:15,	105:7	120:25,	_	58:14,
94:21	90:18,	73:24, 74:22,	flew [1] - 37:18	133:10,	Gain [2] -	136:16,
extension [1] -	128:23	77:2, 88:5,	flight [1] -	133:11	81:11, 81:12	136:17,
4:10	faith [1] - 57:1	100:21,	128:13	formal [1] - 4:9	Gao [4] - 81:7,	136:25
extent [4] -	fall [2] - 34:8,	110:23,	floated [3] -	formatting [1]	82:17, 84:18,	grade [14] -
26:11, 52:8,	58:8	113:8,	69:1, 124:24,	- 91:2	85:8	82:24, 83:7,
53:17, 130:9	fallout [1] -	123:14,	125:2	forming [1] -	gap [3] - 14:9,	83:9, 83:11,
extremely [1] -	45:16	126:6, 130:2,	fly [3] - 120:11,	4:17	14:10, 14:18	83:13, 83:14,
66:9	false [1] -	136:8, 138:4	120:15,	formulation	gender [5] -	83:23, 84:2,
	48:20	field [4] -	121:10	[1] - 138:12	25:24, 52:4,	84:5, 84:7,
F	Falterman [4] -	35:24, 36:6,	focus [1] -	forth [4] -	52:12,	84:8, 84:12,
•	81:17, 81:19,	75:22, 87:18	53:2	87:19, 88:1,	103:15,	84:15
F-A-L-T-E-R-	82:10, 82:11	fielding [1] -	focused [6] -	117:12,	138:21	graduate [21] -
M-A-N [1] -	familiar [7] -	40:16	51:15, 53:14,	121:22	genders [1] -	13:7, 15:3,
81:24	94:2, 94:3,	fields [1] -	53:19, 53:20,	forthcoming	139:15	15:18, 19:2,
face [1] - 52:15	94.2, 94.3, 101:11,	72:13	102:22,	[1] - 61:19	GENERAL [5]	19:5, 34:12,
Facebook [8] -	101.11,	fifteen [1] -	130:8	Forum [1] -	- 2:10, 2:11,	58:10, 76:7,
3:15, 8:10,	110.12,	140:23	folder [1] -	31:1	2:11, 2:15,	90:19, 104:2,
18:3, 70:18,	123:18,	figure [3] -	7:12		2:16	104:4, 104:7,
70:22, 89:3,	125:16,	17:11, 80:6,	follow [5] -	forward [3] - 72:11,	general [16] -	104:12,
104:11,		87·5	29:19, 47:2,	•	7:19, 14:3,	104:18.
136:5	family [2] -	00		117:10,		,
facet [1] -	63:17, 82:22	final [4] -	60:5, 60:11,	134:4	29:21, 41:17, 47:23, 51:7,	137:5, 137:11,
25:20	famous [1] -	111:15,	125:12	forwarded [1] -	51:11, 58:20,	137:11,
fact [16] -	13:12	111:17,	follow-up [3] -	72:25	72:19, 75:23,	137:14,
	far [8] - 10:9,	111:25,	29:19, 47:2,	fostering [1] -	97:7, 98:12,	137.19, 137:20,
23:19, 24:16, 25:17, 43:23,	31:21, 33:3,	121:23	125:12	57:13	100:23,	137.20,
44:5, 47:1,	47:5, 83:4,	finalizing [1] -	followed [2] -	four [3] - 26:5,	100.23, 119:12,	142:6
	83:5, 105:18,	122:4	118:8,	70:24, 129:4	· ·	
47:4, 52:6,	132:19	financially [1]	125:13	fourth [3] -	119:19,	graduated [1] -
74:12, 84:11,	favor [1] -	- 144:12	following [6] -	26:6, 26:7,	138:20	13:22
90:5, 102:25,	109:3	fine [6] - 5:17,	79:19, 91:2,	36:25	generally [9] -	Graf [47] -
103:21,	Fax [1] - 2:7	65:18, 73:12,	94:17,	frame [7] -	33:22, 42:2,	10:10, 10:19,
109:8, 123:8,	fear [5] -	113:18,	128:17,	48:24, 62:14,	47:24, 73:25,	12:5, 17:25,
130:7	77:20, 77:22,	114:19,	134:16,	68:5, 80:15,	102:21,	18:1, 18:6,
factor [1] -	80:12, 95:12,	130:6	143:17	86:10, 103:6,	107:22,	18:15, 19:3,
140:18	129:23	finish [2] -	follows [1] -	130:19	120:17,	19:13, 19:24,
factors [1] -	feared [4] -	39:7, 84:3	4:3	framed [1] -	134:13,	20:5, 31:25,
79:15	71:17, 80:3,	finished [1] -	FOR [5] - 1:1,	54:12	136:12	32:19, 33:1,
faculty [10] -	80:5, 80:10	84:6	2:3, 2:9,	FRCP [1] -	Generally [1] -	33:4, 33:14,
16:2, 18:25,	February [7] -	fired [1] -	140:8, 143:1	143:22	42:13	33:17, 36:7,
19:4, 56:18,	3:20, 96:12,	45:11	force [1] -	free [1] - 4:14	generated [1] -	37:3, 37:9,
58:4, 74:19,	108:5,	Firm [1] -	141:14	friend [2] -	111:19	38:4, 39:19,
		1				

74:21, 89:25,		35:20,	honestly [5] -	ignorant [3] -	128:16	125:18,
92:3, 92:13,	Н	118:10	12:14, 30:15,	25:5, 76:11,	incidents [2] -	135:18
92:19, 92:21,	Hahn [1] -	helped [1] -	37:4, 131:2,	77:11	138:22,	inequality [1] -
94:3, 94:13,	16:20	135:18	136:24		139:2	121:19
94:31, 95:9,		helpful [1] -		ignore [1] - 107:10	include [2] -	infection [1] -
94.21, 95.9, 95:13, 95:15,	hall [1] -	133:12	hope [2] -			128:13
	128:19		115:9, 135:2	ignores [1] -	137:5, 139:7	
95:20,	hand [2] -	hereby [1] -	hour [1] - 50:7	106:22	included [3] -	inferior [1] -
111:20,	40:22,	143:16	hue [1] - 78:13	illegitimacy [1]	33:18, 78:18,	107:20
114:1,	119:15	heritage [1] -	human [1] -	- 93:9	121:5	influential [2] -
114:11,	handful [1] -	61:6	25:20	illegitimate [2]	includes [1] -	80:6, 132:10
115:14,	27:17	Hi [1] - 17:21	Humble [1] -	- 52:14,	60:21	info [2] - 9:12,
123:8,	handing [1] -	hierarchal [1] -	14:7	52:23	Including [1] -	10:24
123:13,	86:1	107:19	Hunter [3] -	ills [1] - 52:11	13:25	informally [1] -
124:9,	happy [1] -	hierarchy [19]	21:2, 64:12,	imagine [5] -	including [5] -	51:5
124:20,	44:19	- 103:2,	86:4	11:9, 35:9,	30:3, 33:22,	information
125:13,	hard [10] -	103:7,		48:1, 88:20,	72:12, 79:8,	[2] - 11:6,
133:19,	29:24, 31:13,	103:12,	ı	136:8	103:14	72:13
134:3, 134:7	31:16, 34:8,	103:16,	_	immediately	inclusion [5] -	informed [1] -
Graf's [3] -	41:4, 41:7,	103:18,	idea [18] -	[5] - 19:25,	57:4, 57:12,	60:17
18:5, 36:9,	52:22, 52:23,	103:20,	20:7, 20:10,	69:12,	57:22, 58:11,	informs [1] -
40:7	54:24, 66:9	103:21,	24:11, 29:25,	103:21,	60:7	133:2
granted [2] -	hardly [1] -	105:5,	30:6, 30:15,	110:20,	incompetenc	inherent [3] -
49:1, 132:20	132:21	105:17,	56:4, 68:25,	110:21	e [1] - 4:16	25:18, 25:19,
Granted [1] -	HARRIS [4] -	128:1,	103:5,	implicit [4] -	incorporate	103:16
29:17	2:4, 18:13,	129:13,	106:22,	57:20, 58:24,	[2] - 100:12,	inherently [4] -
gray [1] -	20:3, 116:19	129:14,	109:13,	59:9, 103:16	100:16	106:22,
117:5	Harvard [3] -	130:1, 130:4,	118:8,	implicitly [7] -	incorporating	128:1,
great [4] -	58:23, 59:17,	130:5, 130:7,	118:14,	55:15, 119:8,	[1] - 119:3	129:14,
57:14, 94:18,	59:23	130:8,	122:20,	119:21,	incorrect [2] -	139:20
118:21,	hates [1] -	130:11,	126:9,	131:6,	25:4, 25:8	initial [1] - 35:5
130:9	120:11	130:16	126:11,	131:10,	increase [1] -	initiative [1] -
grievances [2]	hazier [1] -	high [1] - 15:1	128:6, 138:2	131:12,	124:22	115:12
- 81:18, 87:2	18:10	higher [1] -	ideas [10] -	131:14	incredibly [5] -	initiatives [2] -
ground [3] -	head [2] -	37:5	32:6, 54:4,	importance [1]	30:2, 77:11,	41:23, 57:22
4:13, 28:17,	37:18, 88:17	Hill [1] - 2:6	103:2, 103:3,	- 30:23	80:6, 103:17,	input [3] -
131:7	hear [3] - 5:20,	himself [3] -	105:5,	important [3] -	115:6	44:21,
group [4] -	21:20, 84:18	23:7, 95:5,	105:17,	60:24, 86:22,	independent	112:13,
75:9, 77:24,	heard [10] -	95:6	121:9,	108:18	[3] - 82:21,	119:6
79:20,	21:5, 21:20,	hired [1] -	124:21,	impression [1]	83:19, 85:11	instance [4] -
131:14	21:24, 24:7,	19:25	126:8,	- 63:24	independentl	1:18, 32:25,
groups [3] -	63:5, 84:19,	Hispanic [1] -	130:15	impressions	y [1] - 61:23	53:5, 60:17
78:1, 78:3,	84:22, 86:7,	60:22	identification	[1] - 32:23	-	instances [2] -
139:23	92:10,	historically [2]	[2] - 60:23,	impulse [2] -	INDEX [1] - 3:1 indicating [1] -	77:2, 140:15
growing [1] -	92.10, 127:24	- 77:5,	60:25	74:9, 108:25	43:5	instead [1] -
51:1	127.24 heart [1] -	107:24	identifies [2] -	IN [2] - 1:1,		71:22
guess [10] -	105:13	history [4] -	60:20, 86:11	143:1	indication [1] - 85:12	institutions [2]
7:8, 10:1,		13:10, 38:22,	identify [8] -	inadmissible		
29:23, 31:8,	Hedi [5] -	56:24, 133:2	9:4, 61:2,	[1] - 5:24	indigenous [1]	- 30:3, 30:12
35:1, 57:19,	125:7,	hoc [4] - 69:17,	80:18, 82:3,		- 60:21	intended [1] -
58:8, 77:15,	126:14,	69:20, 96:17,	84:22, 99:13,	incident [2] -	individual [5] -	41:16
136:21,	126:15,	135:2	138:24,	80:18, 96:17	27:7, 66:20,	intent [1] -
136:25	126:16,	Hold [1] -	140:12	Incidentally	82:18,	115:19
guide [2] -	126:18	114:14	identifying [1]	[6] - 66:14,	101:20,	interact [1] -
91:3, 134:14	hegemony [5]		- 54:23	96:16,	109:17	42:4
guilty [1] -	- 25:17,	hold [2] -	identity [1] -	125:24,	individual's	interactions
71:3	79:9, 79:15,	76:19, 138:7	52:12	126:13,	[1] - 109:15	[2] - 42:3,
gungho [1] -	79:16, 79:24	holding [2] -	ignoramus [1]	132:23,	individually	72:18
39:9	Heidlberger	7:13, 41:9	- 28:8	139:12	[1] - 112:20	interest [5] -
55.5	[1] - 75:4	home [3] -	ignorance [2] -	incidentally	individuals [4]	9:16, 28:16,
	help [4] -	25:12, 82:22,	76:25, 78:9	[3] - 91:25,	- 66:17,	29:6, 127:9,
	16:20, 35:14,	128:14	. 5.25, 7 5.5	93:22,	66:24,	133:10

interested [10]	51:9, 51:15,	127:5,	111:11,	132:21	107:23,	30:5, 65:12,
- 9:14,	53:1, 53:3,	127.5,	132:9,	JSS [12] - 3:17,	107.23, 114:22,	67:25, 81:9,
28:24, 29:9,	53:18, 57:4,	130:21,	132:11,	8:7, 75:24,	115:12,	81:15, 81:23,
65:15, 66:2,	57:19,	130:21,	133:6, 133:9,	92:8, 93:10,	116:10,	82:5, 87:21,
	138:21	138:20,	133:25	95:10, 95:10,	116:10,	88:5, 116:9,
86:19, 127:6,	issuing [2] -	138:24,	journal [85] -	*	119:9,	117:23,
127:10,	_	140:12,		108:21,	119:9,	136:8, 138:7,
127:22, 144:13	45:10, 61:5	140.12,	8:16, 9:15, 9:19, 10:5,	118:22, 122:15,	119:10,	141:4
	itself [4] -		, ,	,	· ·	
intermission	43:17, 51:15,	Jackson's [12]	10:14, 10:16,	125:5,	119:22,	late [4] - 33:5,
[1] - 50:8	52:5, 124:19	- 24:24,	11:1, 15:8,	138:14,	127:9, 129:24,	63:3, 93:7,
International	•	25:9, 35:13,	16:1, 16:9,	140:19		112:24
[1] - 31:1	J	56:24, 57:19,	16:19, 18:5,	judgments [1]	130:2, 131:4,	lately [2] -
interpret [1] -	Jack [3] -	60:13, 76:25,	21:17, 22:16,	- 107:18	134:14	57:14,
32:19		78:9, 96:18,	22:20, 23:4,	JULIA [1] -	kinds [1] -	127:13
interpretation	99:14,	108:24,	23:20, 23:21,	144:19	107:18	latter [1] -
[1] - 121:4	100:14,	121:9,	23:22, 24:5,	July [21] -	knowing [4] -	29:13
interpreted [1]	100:19	121:14	24:12, 24:24,	3:15, 3:15,	44:25, 76:16,	LAURA [2] -
- 107:24	JACKSON [3]	January [5] -	33:22, 39:7,	9:23, 11:18,	81:3, 127:7	1:6, 143:6
interrupt [1] -	- 1:3, 2:21,	3:14, 9:8,	39:21, 39:23,	15:10, 33:6,	knowledge	LAW [1] - 2:5
4:15	143:3	62:14, 68:5,	39:25, 40:2,	33:10, 34:6,	[19] - 12:19,	Law [1] - 1:22
interruption	Jackson [72] -	115:10	41:20, 41:22,	34:7, 35:2,	15:17, 15:21,	lawfirm.com
[1] - 117:3	3:16, 3:16,	Jessica [2] -	41:24, 42:1,	35:5, 44:5,	31:11, 35:6,	[1] - 2:7
introduced [1]	3:20, 3:23,	3:12, 35:4	44:3, 45:16,	44:17, 44:18,	47:15, 48:7,	lawyers [1] -
- 134:24	4:7, 8:7,	Jewish [1] -	45:17, 51:2,	45:14, 63:1,	49:16, 51:8,	57:8
inundation [1]	10:10, 10:21,	131:2	51:4, 55:12,	70:11, 80:14,	84:9, 92:4,	laying [1] -
- 87:3	26:5, 26:10,	Jews [1] -	55:14, 55:24,	100:24,	92:6, 118:7,	90:3
invent [1] -	32:2, 32:22,	131:11	56:6, 56:7,	112:17,	126:14,	layperson [2] -
57:9	33:1, 33:14,	Joaquin [2] -	56:10, 57:2,	136:8	126:16,	28:11, 107:6
invitation [3] -	34:5, 34:11,	14:23, 14:25	57:9, 63:14,	June [3] - 33:5,	132:20,	lead [2] -
48:25, 64:6,	36:1, 54:14,	job [29] -	63:25, 64:15,	33:10,	136:2, 136:3,	106:7, 140:3
64:14	56:13, 58:2,	36:15, 36:16,	64:22, 65:9,	144:15	139:16	leading [7] -
invite [2] -	58:3, 61:11,	36:18, 36:19,	65:13, 66:1,	June-July [1] -	knowledgeab	28:16, 44:17,
47:18, 69:11	61:25, 72:4,	36:22, 41:6,	66:6, 68:2,	33:10	le [7] - 26:17,	65:1, 71:24,
invited [6] -	72:17, 72:25,	41:17, 43:24,	68:6, 68:7,	justified [3] -	27:17, 27:21,	114:9,
23:9, 47:19,	73:6, 74:15,	44:3, 44:13,	68:22, 69:6,	22:13, 25:20,	27:22, 28:2,	138:12,
47:21, 47:22,	74:17, 76:10,	44:15, 44:16,	71:1, 71:20,	131:24	69:9, 69:18	139:25
48:2, 101:3	76:20, 78:13,	44:19, 44:24,	73:3, 73:5,		known [2] -	learned [1] -
inviting [2] -	80:4, 80:5,	45:1, 45:14,	73:10, 73:25,	K	21:19, 50:1	128:23
22:24, 64:5	81:5, 82:23,	72:17, 73:2,	74:3, 75:12,		Korean [2] -	least [9] -
involve [1] -	83:16, 85:9,	73:4, 73:9,	75:15, 75:19,	keep [8] -	61:21, 61:22	19:16, 36:20,
15:14	85:13, 86:16,	74:16, 74:18,	75:21, 76:8,	13:15, 18:24,	_	42:14, 69:22,
involved [8] -	88:2, 88:8,	90:9, 90:18,	91:6, 91:15,	46:24, 82:20,	L	76:20, 97:19,
41:18, 64:18,	89:4, 91:13,	92:5, 93:3,	95:6, 95:22,	83:18, 83:21,	la als co	105:8,
67:8, 69:2,	100:11,	93:19, 93:21,	96:19, 97:3,	84:7, 85:10	lack [2] -	115:23,
93:19,	100:16,	97:20	99:6, 113:2,	keeping [1] -	25:11, 87:17	124:23
123:25,	109:6,	jobs [1] - 36:5	114:22, 123:22,	12:14	language [2] -	leave [8] -
135:23,	111:13,	joined [1] -		keeps [2] -	120:22,	9:22, 43:5,
137:19	111:22,	137:21	124:23,	48:1, 49:8	120:24	44:7, 44:13,
issue [12] -	113:25, 118:16,	joint [2] - 58:4,	125:5, 132:7	kept [3] - 42:2,	large [7] -	44:15, 45:3,
23:13, 50:22,		58:14	journal's [1] - 75:18	60:6, 60:8	21:25, 29:20,	71:17,
57:11, 64:5,	120:2, 120:5,	Journal [24] -		keyword [1] -	31:4, 31:5,	113:15
83:1, 91:6,	120:11, 121:4,	8:7, 8:18,	journal-	8:6	42:15, 51:16,	LEAVES [1] -
105:17,		8:20, 11:14,	related [1] -	keywords [1] -	69:6	18:13
115:19,	122:12, 123:24,	11:18, 15:11,	95:6	8:8	largely [1] -	lecture [1] -
130:12,	123:24, 124:11,	15:18, 16:4,	journals [7] -	kind [24] -	32:5	103:6
134:15,	124.11, 124:13,	26:19, 29:16,	24:1, 69:10,	6:14, 16:9,	larger [2] -	lecturer [2] -
134:16,	124:15, 124:15,	36:4, 37:21,	69:18,	18:17, 47:2,	21:9, 31:24	18:16, 18:19
134:17	124.15, 125:18,	46:15, 54:25,	132:12,	64:6, 64:9,	Last [1] - 8:23	led [2] - 61:15,
issues [10] -	125.16, 126:14,	90:12, 90:16,	132:15,	67:5, 102:7,	last [18] - 4:24,	84:14
25:24, 28:17,	126:17,	90:19, 91:19,	132:17,	103:8, 104:1,	15:9, 20:16,	left [12] - 9:20,
	, ,					

0.00.40.04	lladar 07.05	100.10	104.0	04.44.50.0	10.45.40.00	0.40
9:23, 10:24,	lied [1] - 97:25	130:10,	101:6,	34:14, 50:3,	43:15, 46:23,	6:13
17:20, 17:24,	life [1] - 25:20	130:11,	101:13,	62:18, 87:10	48:1, 49:12,	medication [2]
43:13, 43:18,	light [3] -	133:14	102:10,	MARKED [20] -	49:13, 51:12,	- 6:5, 6:10
53:4, 53:6,	72:14, 108:2,	looks [3] -	102:13,	6:18, 11:23,	51:18, 53:13,	mediocre [1] -
58:4, 58:25,	117:5	70:12,	106:21,	34:16, 45:19,	56:1, 59:13,	86:5
71:20	lightly [2] -	114:23,	108:4,	50:5, 62:20,	59:17, 61:3,	meeting [12] -
legitimacy [1]	97:3, 141:10	116:4	108:12,	70:5, 85:24,	65:2, 65:4,	20:20, 31:7,
- 59:21	likely [2] -	Lorenz [1] -	111:10,	87:12, 89:10,	67:14, 68:4,	50:23, 55:7,
legitimate [2] -	78:2, 127:24	128:3	111:21,	93:24, 99:9,	68:25, 69:8,	55:10, 56:1,
92:7, 130:5	limit [2] -	lose [1] - 43:24	114:3, 114:6,	101:5, 108:3,	69:24, 69:25,	56:22, 96:22,
lengthy [1] -	39:16, 39:17	losing [1] -	114:8, 116:9,	110:10,	73:21, 73:24,	109:6, 123:9,
113:8	line [5] - 87:24,	44:2	117:23,	113:5, 122:7,	76:14, 76:15,	126:1, 141:5
less [5] -	89:21, 94:9,	lost [1] - 16:6	118:18,	126:12,	79:13, 79:15,	Meeting [1] -
33:17, 43:12,	117:11	loud [2] -	119:23,	130:17,	79:22, 79:24,	3:23
44:22, 59:2,	lines [4] - 43:9,	109:14,	119:25,	133:16	92:21, 93:5,	member [2] -
111:5	43:18, 70:2,	127:20	120:6, 120:9,	marked [10] -	95:11, 102:2,	11:11, 56:18
lesser [2] -	72:7	love [1] - 41:18	122:11,	6:20, 11:21,	103:11,	members [7] -
26:11, 53:16	linking [1] -	luck [1] - 142:6	122:17,	50:14, 70:3,	103:23,	23:25, 24:2,
Lett [7] -	128:5	lying [5] -	125:21,	86:1, 93:25,	103:24,	31:6, 31:9,
48:12, 49:18,	links [1] -	35:13, 95:4,	126:2,	99:7, 113:6,	104:23,	31:12, 74:19,
49:24, 49:25,	16:12	122:19,	127:14,	122:9, 131:6	105:3,	122:2
69:16,	list [9] - 46:24,	122:21,	127:16,	master's [3] -	105:15,	Members [1] -
108:14,	46:25, 47:24,	129:20	127:17,	14:11, 14:15,	106:9,	3:21
109:17	48:1, 49:4,	120.20	128:17,	15:7	106:16,	memory [1] -
Lett's [2] -	49:8, 49:11,	M	128:18,	material [1] -	108:9, 111:1,	62:16
48:11, 48:13	110:17,	IAI	129:5,	64:24	115:22,	mental [1] -
Letter [2] -	110:22	m.allen@	130:18,	materials [4] -	118:1, 118:3,	6:14
3:16, 3:16	listed [1] -	allen [1] - 2:7	130:24,	58:15, 60:6,	118:10,	mention [1] -
letter [6] -	26:13	m.allen@	133:18,	82:21, 83:18	123:19,	61:21
135:11,	lists [1] - 111:3	allen-	135:25	MATT [1] -	123:23,	mentioned
135:12,	lit [1] - 35:25	lawfirm.com	mailed [1] -	2:10	124:4,	[14] - 7:24,
135:13,		[1] - 2:7	128:25	Matt [3] - 4:24,	124:17,	8:10, 17:15,
135:19,	literally [1] - 16:23	machine [1] -	mailings [1] -	135:1, 140:9	124:24,	23:14, 24:13,
140:18,		1:22	49:11	matter [2] -	129:25,	48:8, 61:18,
140:10,	literature [1] -	mail [84] -	mails [7] -	5:25, 20:12	131:12,	66:15, 112:1,
lettering [1] -	87:22	3:12, 3:13,	33:17, 33:20,	matters [3] -	131:16,	112:3,
117:4	LITIGATION		33:21, 62:15,	8:16, 22:20	132:1, 133:7,	125:18,
letters [1] -	[1] - 2:11	3:14, 3:17,	71:14, 74:23,	matthew.	135:20,	125:16,
44:12	LLC [1] - 2:5	3:17, 3:18,	117:10	bohuslav @	136:4, 136:7,	127:12
	login [2] -	3:19, 3:20,	main [2] - 45:5,		136:24,	
levels [3] -	9:12, 10:24	3:23, 3:24,	140:17	oag.texas.	138:25,	mentioning [2]
128:1,	logins [1] -	8:6, 8:13,	major [6] -	gov [1] - 2:13	139:5	- 48:16,
129:13,	8:22	8:15, 8:21,	87:24, 88:10,	MAY [2] - 1:13,	meaning [3] -	118:23
129:14	look [11] -	9:4, 9:7,	88:12, 88:14,	143:12	57:12, 69:5,	mentor [3] -
LEVI [6] - 1:12,	53:25, 57:6,	9:11, 9:13,	88:15, 89:7	me	134:3	36:2, 88:22,
1:17, 3:5,	57:7, 58:24,	9:17, 10:1,	male [1] -	99 [1] -	means [6] -	95:9
4:2, 143:11,	61:6, 77:9,	10:8, 10:22,	125:11	3:19	32:8, 79:16,	mentoring [1]
143:18	103:21,	11:1, 11:6,	manipulating	mean [88] -	104:21,	- 134:5
Levi [15] -	117:4,	33:22, 45:20,	[1] - 40:3	5:5, 8:22,	118:2, 118:6,	mentorship [2]
3:10, 3:11,	117:22,	45:21, 45:23,	Mann's [1] -	11:7, 11:8,	118:10	- 134:18,
3:15, 3:16,	127:13,	45:25, 46:4,	30:4	16:5, 16:23,	meant [9] -	134:19
3:16, 3:19,	134:4	46:12, 50:20,		17:6, 17:7,	38:10, 54:5,	merely [1] -
32:6, 34:18,	looked [2] -	51:5, 62:22,	manner [1] - 116:2	17:25, 19:6,	61:13, 61:16,	28:15
41:13, 62:22,	16:19, 64:14	62:23, 62:24,		19:14, 20:18,	75:14, 79:11,	Merit [1] - 1:23
104:5, 104:6,	Looking [1] -	68:1, 75:2,	March [5] -	21:8, 21:18,	103:5,	merited [1] -
104:7,	20:4	75:4, 75:6,	3:18, 3:18,	23:5, 24:14,	117:25,	98:20
133:20	looking [10] -	75:9, 88:25,	3:19, 94:9,	27:11, 28:13,	118:13	meritocracy
LeviWalls @	18:2, 41:11,	89:20, 94:5,	102:10	28:15, 30:10,	media [2] -	[1] - 25:21
my.unt.edu	53:15, 53:24,	94:7, 95:4,	margin [1] -	30:22, 31:13,	70:23, 136:1	message [5] -
[1] - 45:21	70:24, 94:15,	99:10, 99:13,	60:3	33:10, 36:17,	medical [1] -	22:19, 80:9,
lie [1] - 94:24	118:22,	99:14, 99:18,	mark [4] -	38:8, 38:9,		98:13,

		-				
113:25,	48:24, 87:23,	111:4	139:14	30:25, 41:13,	new [4] -	nothing [2] -
122:11	122:23,	motivating [1]	music [37] -	41:15, 45:2,	22:20, 39:23,	91:19,
messages [1] -	122:25,	- 140:17	12:18, 12:20,	55:23, 63:14,	62:6, 134:4	103:12
113:24	123:3	mounted [1] -	13:2, 13:10,	66:16, 81:9,	New [3] - 21:3,	notice [2] -
Messages [2] -	minor [1] -	68:6	14:3, 14:5,	81:13, 81:15,	77:8, 77:20	48:18, 48:23
3:11, 3:11	102:25	mounting [1] -	14:8, 14:12,	81:23, 82:5,	next [10] -	novel [1] -
messaging [1]	minority [6] -	68:8	25:11, 29:1,	89:21, 98:2,	18:7, 39:3,	107:24
- 8:5	31:12, 31:16,	moved [1] -	29:18, 30:11,	99:15,	41:14, 46:14,	November [27]
Messenger [4]	31:17, 31:20,	116:10	30:16, 30:18,	101:20,	72:6, 73:2,	- 3:22, 3:22,
- 8:6, 8:10,	31:21	MR [63] - 2:4,	53:16, 75:13,	109:12,	75:10,	3:23, 3:23,
8:11, 18:3	minute [1] -	2:10, 2:15,	75:17, 75:23,	109:15,	114:14,	20:21, 20:25,
methodology	20:16	2:10, 2:15, 2:21, 4:5,	86:9, 87:3,	109:17,	123:11,	37:20, 39:8,
[7] - 53:21,	minutes [4] -	4:24, 5:3,	102:2,	124:1, 125:6	130:2	39:22, 44:17,
131:21,	56:2, 62:17,	5:5, 5:7,	103:13,	names [3] -	nice [1] - 86:21	44:18, 45:14,
131.21,	113:9,	5:5, 5.7, 5:11, 5:15,	103:14,	27:12, 57:9,	NIGEM [6] -	116:6, 117:9,
	140:23	5:17, 6:6,	103:14,	125:2		117:12,
132:15,			103:10,	naming [1] -	1:12, 1:17,	117:12,
132:17, 132:22	mischaracteri	6:8, 6:17, 11:21, 30:13,	103:19,	27:6	3:5, 4:2, 143:11,	117.14, 117:24,
	zing [1] -	31:18, 32:14,	103:20,	narratives [1] -	143:11,	117.24, 118:1, 118:5,
Meyerbeer [1] - 77:3	24:8 misconstrue	34:14, 37:14,	107:14,	53:18	143:18 NITA [1] -	120:6,
		48:21, 49:6,	107:14,	Nate [2] - 3:11,	NITA [1] - 144:18	120.6, 125:24,
MHTE [11] -	d [2] -	49:19, 50:3,	107:13,	35:4	Nita [2] - 1:21,	126:5.
13:11, 13:12, 18:15, 44:10,	105:11, 105:19	49.19, 50.3, 50:6, 50:9,	107:21,	nationality [1]	Nita [2] - 1:21, 143:15	120.5,
136:16,		50:11, 54:6,	121:20,	- 52:11	NO [2] - 1:5,	129:2, 129:3,
136:18,	mistaken [4] - 43:22, 44:6,	59:4, 62:2,	121:21,	nature [2] -	3:9	129:8,
137:1,	43.22, 44.0, 116:15,	62:18, 64:2,	130:10,	80:24,	no" [1] -	130:19
137:11,	133:19	65:1, 68:23,	132:19,	117:22	141:17	number [10] -
137:11,	misundersta	70:3, 71:24,	132:25,	naught [1] -	non [6] -	27:2, 29:22,
137:20,		78:21, 85:18,	133:2	71:5	60:21, 60:24,	29:25, 30:1,
137:25	nding [3] - 29:3, 106:24,	85:19, 85:20,	Music [8] -	near [5] - 8:24,	84:2, 103:19,	30:4, 30:5,
MICHAEL [1] -	107:16	85:21, 85:22,	13:17, 20:19,	16:18, 50:20,	103:20,	31:6, 39:16,
2:4	mix [1] - 116:1	87:10, 95:1,	30:20, 31:4,	86:21, 87:24	130:10	39:17,
Michael [1] -	mixed [1] -	99:7, 104:3,	75:12, 75:16,	necessarily [5]	non-passing	136:25
4:6	62:9	113:13,	75:20, 99:22	- 28:23,	[1] - 84:2	numbered [1] -
micro [1] -	models [1] -	113:18,	Musical [2] -	56:8, 77:4,	non-western	1:19
58:21	25:12	113:19,	31:1, 103:9	91:13, 137:7	[3] - 103:19,	numbers [1] -
micro-	moderate [2] -	116:21,	musical [2] -	necessity [1] -	103:20.	57:8
aggressions	53:8, 53:9	116:24,	28:8, 130:15	38:25	130:10	Numeral [1] -
[1] - 58:21	moment [3] -	124:25,	musician [1] -	need [11] -	non-white [2] -	90:15
micromanage	46:4, 66:15,	135:4,	86:6	28:8, 35:15,	60:21, 60:24	nutrients [1] -
d [1] - 44:23	68:1	139:25,	musicians [2]	46:9, 56:9,	none [1] -	133:11
middle [4] -	month [2] -	140:2, 140:6,	- 133:5,	68:19, 88:8,	132:14	
28:17, 102:8,	40:20, 40:21	140:7,	133:8	101:8, 113:8,	nonetheless	0
131:7, 141:7	months [2] -	140:22,	musicology	113:10,	[1] - 4:10	
might [21] -	44:17, 130:3	141:2, 142:1,	[4] - 13:10,	120:20,	normal [4] -	o'clock [3] -
11:7, 11:12,	most [9] -	142:3, 142:5	53:17, 87:4,	131:18	5:19, 47:12,	70:17,
21:20, 29:23,	5:22, 10:5,	MS [4] - 2:4,	138:5	needed [1] -	47:16,	113:14,
46:1, 47:25,	20:17, 20:20,	18:13, 20:3,	must [2] -	16:20	106:14	140:23
60:8, 63:13,	69:13,	116:19	93:5, 125:18	negative [1] -	normally [2] -	object [7] -
69:16, 77:20,	106:17,	MTHE [1] -	mutual [1] -	59:1	18:2, 106:17	5:18, 5:20,
80:22, 84:19,	107:13,	137:5	84:20	negro [2] -	NORTH [1] -	52:23, 52:25,
84:20, 86:23,	119:14,	mugged [3] -		77:4, 139:10	2:16	97:5, 100:24,
106:7,	136:15	77:6, 77:10,	N	neutral [2] -	North [5] -	101:2
112:10,	mostly [5] -	77:20		54:14,	13:5, 13:9,	objected [2] -
116:10,	16:10, 16:14,	mugging [1] -	naive [2] -	115:23	15:4, 53:22,	46:8, 92:4
125:20,	24:9, 33:21,	77:22	128:2,	never [7] -	137:25	objecting [6] -
		muggings [2] -	129:15	37:4, 37:12,	note [2] -	100:19,
125:21,	65:17					440 44
125:21, 126:20,		139:10,	name [26] -	38:4, 81:15,	95:17, 96:5	112:14,
	Mostly [2] -	139:12	4:6, 12:6,	38:4, 81:15, 92:10, 94:5,	95:17, 96:5 notes [1] -	112:16,
126:20,					notes [1] -	
126:20, 136:3	Mostly [2] - 42:6, 106:16	139:12	4:6, 12:6,	92:10, 94:5,	•	112:16,

112:23,	29:10	70:15	96:10	53:11, 53:14,	- 104:17	9:18, 10:9,
112.23,	ointment [3] -	Opera [1] -	outcome [1] -	54:3, 54:17,	participants	22:11, 22:14,
objection [7] -	120:12,	77:3	144:13	54:23, 55:4,	[2] - 112:6,	23:5, 23:19,
53:23, 54:4,	120:12,	operated [1] -	outside [1] -	55:13, 57:10,	112:8	23:20, 23:22,
64:23,	121:10	16:9	127:9	63:19, 63:20,	participate [3]	24:17, 25:18,
113:17,	okaying [1] -	Ophelia [3] -	overestimate	64:17, 105:2,	- 16:16,	25:19, 25:22,
140:1, 140:7	23:20	35:9, 35:11,	[1] - 31:10	110:20,	22:25, 47:20	26:3, 27:2,
Objection [20]	old [3] - 10:13,	87:19	overtly [1] -	120:21,	participated	27:17, 27:20,
- 30:13,	11:5, 11:7	opinion [3] -	121:15	121:23,	[3] - 47:9,	27:24, 28:2,
31:18, 32:14,	once [2] - 9:16,	48:10, overwhelmin		127:23,	48:3, 122:4	29:7, 29:9,
37:14, 48:21,	28:20	111:24,	gly [2] -	128:7,	participating	29:11, 29:13,
49:6, 49:19,	one [47] -	129:24	125:9,	128:16,	[1] - 136:14	40:2, 40:25,
54:6, 59:4,	12:10, 12:13,	opinions [8] -	125:10	129:17,	particular [5] -	41:19, 41:24,
62:2, 64:2,	16:7, 22:22,	53:23, 54:3,	own [11] -	131:1	49:18, 91:18,	47:16, 50:7,
65:1, 68:23,	27:7, 40:6,	54:17, 54:22,	13:4, 16:9,	Papers [3] -	109:9, 123:2,	51:7, 52:10,
71:24, 78:21,	42:21, 45:10,	54:24, 67:4,	24:11, 55:22,	3:20, 3:21,	127:23	52:21, 54:15,
95:1, 104:3,	47:5, 48:8,	78:4, 99:2	56:8, 63:19,	111:12	particularly [4]	55:13, 56:6,
124:25,	48:15, 51:24,	opportunity	81:4, 121:3,	papers [27] -	- 54:2,	59:20, 60:2,
139:25,	58:13, 64:8,	[1] - 84:3	121:10,	46:18, 47:1,	54:16, 54:22,	60:21, 60:22,
140:6	64:9, 64:22,	opposed [7] -	134:16,	47:7, 47:10,	140:13	61:19, 62:5,
objections [6]	65:15, 66:3,	20:12, 23:3,	139:1	48:6, 49:3,	parties [1] -	63:18, 68:1,
- 4:25, 5:7,	66:8, 72:12,	23:7, 23:8,		49:16, 52:2,	144:9	68:6, 68:8,
5:9, 5:12,	72:23, 74:12,	107:20,	Р	60:9, 67:15,	parts [2] -	68:10, 68:15,
5:16, 93:21	75:2, 77:2,	131:25,		74:7, 100:25,	106:23,	68:22, 69:1,
objective [2] -	77:24, 82:19,	140:8	p.m [5] - 1:20,	109:20,	107:10	69:5, 69:9,
77:19, 110:4	87:2, 87:16,	optimistic [1] -	63:3, 70:17,	109:22,	party [3] -	69:15, 69:18,
obligation [2] -	89:17,	115:6	94:10	110:21,	32:16,	72:18, 73:18,
5:21, 5:22	103:11,	oral [1] -	P.M [7] - 6:9,	111:9,	143:25,	73:24, 74:4,
observe [1] -	105:24,	143:20	50:12, 85:23,	111:19,	144:6	74:16, 77:7, 77:9, 78:2,
78:13	106:19,	ORAL [2] -	113:20,	112:7, 112:20,	pass [1] -	77.9, 78.2, 79:9, 79:20,
obvious [1] -	108:22,	1:11, 1:17	117:1, 141:3,	112:20, 112:24,	142:1	81:2, 82:6,
30:22	116:3, 116:21,	Oral [1] - 14:20	142:7	115:13,	passed [2] -	98:17, 98:18,
obviously [3] -	119:9,	order [8] -	P.O [2] - 2:5,	115:19,	49:22, 49:23	98:22, 98:23,
36:9, 42:11, 128:5	119:9,	32:23, 39:15,	2:12 Page [1] -	119:4, 122:5,	passing [15] -	104:24,
occupation [1]	124:12,	39:17, 39:24, 117:21,	144:3	122:24,	32:6, 82:24,	116:2,
- 12:17	124:17,	117.21,	PAGE [2] - 3:2,	123:6, 126:1	83:7, 83:8,	120:10,
occupy [1] -	125:3,	119.20, 119:21,	3:9	paragraph [6]	83:9, 83:11,	120:15,
19:4	126:10,	134:22	page [22] - 7:9,	- 65:13,	83:13, 83:14, 83:23, 84:2,	123:15,
occur [1] -	126:25,	ordered [1] -	20:5, 22:2,	75:11, 86:21,	84:5, 84:7,	123:23,
40:20	135:15,	83:24	36:25, 39:3,	87:22, 90:24,	84:12, 84:14	123:24,
OF [7] - 1:1,	137:12,	Oregon [2] -	39:4, 41:14,	138:18	passion [1] -	124:22,
1:11, 1:17,	138:3, 141:4	99:17, 99:21	57:6, 62:22,	paragraphs [1]	127:6	125:15,
2:11, 2:16,	One [1] - 6:6	organization	71:17, 72:7,	- 120:19	past [8] -	135:15,
2:16, 143:1	one-sided [3] -	[1] - 30:18	80:3, 87:25,	Parkhurst [1] -	11:11, 36:4,	136:10,
OFF [6] - 6:9,	65:15, 66:3,	organization	94:16,	21:8	52:11, 81:6,	136:22,
50:12, 85:23,	108:22	s [1] - 30:22	113:24,	parking [1] -	132:2,	137:10,
113:20,	ones [3] -	organized [2] -	114:14,	96:25	138:17,	139:9,
117:1, 141:3	23:22, 24:22,	13:17, 92:12	117:8,	part [16] - 5:19,	138:24,	139:11,
office [2] -	139:7	original [3] -	117:16,	43:18, 47:12,	140:13	139:13,
7:19, 96:24	online [1] -	68:21,	120:4,	53:20, 57:5,	pedestal [1] -	141:21
OFFICE [1] -	16:11	120:25,	127:15,	69:12, 78:9,	26:1	People [2] -
2:16	onwards [1] -	121:2	138:7	78:19, 91:8,	Pelligrin [4] -	52:12, 73:24
officer [1] -	13:21	originally [2] -	pages [1] -	91:14,	101:15,	people's [3] - 73:3, 97:10
143:19	open [4] -	20:15, 23:9	117:5	103:13,	101:17,	per [1] - 95:4
Offices [1] -	135:10,	origins [1] -	panel [2] -	106:14,	101:19,	per [1] - 95.4 perceived [1] -
1:22	135:12,	126:8	96:17, 135:2	107:7, 116:9, 119:14,	102:17	51:2
officially [1] -	135:13, 140:18	Otherwise [1] -	paper [24] -	119.14, 128:4	Pelligrin's [1] -	percent [1] -
9:23	140:18	21:19	20:17, 28:21,	participant [1]	101:23	84:24
often [1] -	openly [1] -	ourselves [1] -	52:1, 53:10,	paravipant [1]	people [88] -	- · · · ·
I						

perfectly [2] -	45:15, 53:5,	plus [1] - 37:6	92:11, 92:12,	10:19	67:22, 74:6,	18:21, 19:8,
121:8, 130:6	86:4, 101:2,	point [22] -	92:24, 95:10,	preferable [2] -	74:10, 98:5,	19:9, 19:10,
performance	103:4,	12:13, 13:24,	99:20, 100:4,	40:8, 40:24	98:6, 100:15,	19:13, 19:16,
[4] - 14:3,	112:21,	31:6, 44:21,	100:11,	preference [1]	102:6, 102:9,	19:18, 19:22,
14:4, 14:8,	131:10	44:24, 49:24,	101:23,	- 38:11	108:16,	36:21, 78:12,
132:24	phone [1] -	50:10, 77:3,	133:25,	preliminarily	109:1,	87:24, 88:10,
performers'	35:12	80:21, 82:20,	134:10,	[1] - 4:8	109:19,	88:12, 88:14,
[1] - 133:3	Phonetic) [1] -	84:10, 84:13,	139:20	preliminary [1]	111:5,	88:15, 88:16,
perhaps [1] -	24:25	89:23,	Position [1] -	- 6:1	115:17,	88:21, 89:8
51:21	phrase [1] -	103:11,	90:6	prepare [1] -	115:20,	Professor [19]
period [1] -	79:4	105:77,	positioning [1] 47:7		119:16	- 20:6,
132:19	phrases [1] -	105:22,			pro-Ewell [14]	61:25, 64:11,
	130:15	114:8,	positions [2] -	present [1] -	- 48:4,	74:15, 78:13,
permit [1] - 65:8	physical [2] -	114:0,	15:3, 25:23	140:13 PRESENT [1] -	67:11, 67:19,	86:16, 88:2,
	6:14, 61:6	116:11,	positive [2] -	2:20	67:22, 74:10,	88:8, 89:4,
perpetrated	piano [3] -	128:19,	59:1, 95:7		98:6, 100:15,	99:22, 105:1,
[3] - 139:11,	•	128:21,		presentation	102:9,	109:6, 118:9,
139:13,	14:3, 14:4,	141:7	possibility [2]	[2] - 59:12,	108:16,	120:11,
139:15	14:8	points [1] -	- 7:18, 65:10	59:24	109:1,	120.11,
person [26] -	picture [4] -	40:3	possible [6] -	presented [6] -	109:19,	124.11,
39:1, 60:17,	65:15, 66:3,		4:16, 38:15,	59:2, 59:11,	111:5,	125:16,
60:19, 60:20,	68:11, 68:12	polemical [2] - 121:22,	38:18, 49:23,	59:15, 59:24,	115:17,	138:24,
60:24, 61:1,	piece [3] -	121:22, 122:1	57:11, 131:20	64:11,	115:17,	141:6
61:2, 61:13,	105:14,			121:23	pro-Schenker	program [1] -
61:14, 61:16,	107:25,	political [2] - 35:15, 128:6	post [3] - 89:3,	presenting [2]	[1] - 119:16	137:10
61:17, 62:1,	128:4	·	104:11,	- 65:15, 66:2	problem [1] -	programming
62:8, 76:17,	pieces [5] -	politically [12] - 76:11,	110:19	preserved [1] -	64:20	[5] - 16:21,
76:21, 81:16,	107:17,	•	Post [1] - 3:15	9:25	problematic	16:23, 16:24,
81:17, 82:3,	108:16,	76:14, 76:25,	posted [1] -	pretty [1] -	[7] - 51:17,	17:6, 17:9
87:2, 95:7,	109:24,	78:10, 78:14,	70:17	110:4	51:18,	programs [1] -
116:21,	110:1,	78:18, 78:20,	potential [2] -	prevent [1] -	103:22,	17:7
124:7,	130:14	79:1, 79:2,	75:11, 75:15	6:2	103:22,	project [4] -
124:15,	place [6] -	79:5, 104:10,	Poundie [2] -	previous [6] -	103.23,	16:7, 41:5,
124:17,	35:16, 55:7,	104:15 politics [2] -	26:24, 27:7	76:19, 81:9,	104:21,	41:8, 126:20
125:8, 138:8	58:6, 99:6,	43:13, 43:18	power [2] -	85:5, 87:16,	130:16	prominence
personal [4] - 23:18, 24:6,	126:2, 129:7	Pomeroy [1] -	25:22, 113:1	125:4	Problematic	[1] - 86:9
23.16, 24.6, 24:9, 139:16	places [1] -	109:23	practical [1] -	previously [1]	[1] - 104:23	prominent [2]
personally [1]	126:11	Pomeroy's [1]	132:24	- 57:20	problems [1] -	- 26:17,
- 115:13	PLAINTIFF [1]	- 110:3	practice [5] -	primarily [4] -	81:16	26:22
	- 2:3		23:11, 24:4,	24:11, 29:14,	Procedure [1]	promote [1] -
perspective	Plaintiff [3] -	popular [1] - 30:2	47:12, 70:2,	52:21, 53:14	- 1:25	34:11
[5] - 107:14, 115:1, 115:7,	1:4, 1:18,		133:3	primary [4] -	proceed [1] -	promoted [1] -
, ,	143:4	popularity [1] -	practiced [1] -	27:25, 28:16,	117:10	115:14
125:9, 130:14	plan [5] -	28:4	53:21	30:17, 88:15	proceeds [1] -	promotion [1]
petition [2] -	87:23, 88:7,	population [1] - 69:6	practicing [2] -	print [3] -	117:12	- 132:13
135:8,	88:9, 88:11,		133:5, 133:8	55:12, 55:14,	process [10] -	promotions
135.6, 135:10	89:8	portion [1] - 119:1	praise [1] -	114:24	21:13, 24:15,	[2] - 32:3,
	plenary [23] -		26:2	printed [4] -	40:15, 42:4,	32:10
Ph.D [12] - 13:7, 13:8,	20:23, 33:7,	posed [2] - 75:12 75:15	Pre [1] - 44:18	63:15, 65:13,	67:6, 69:4,	pronouncing
13:7, 13:8, 14:13, 15:7,	37:17, 51:6,	75:12, 75:15	pre [2] - 44:18,	66:1, 75:19	111:8,	[1] - 101:15
	51:11, 51:14,	poses [1] -	86:1	pristine [1] -	111:18,	pronunciatio
93:1, 137:3, 137:4, 137:6	51:15, 51:16,	57:11	pre-marked [1]	117:21	134:14,	•
137:4, 137:6,	51:23, 51:24,	position [27] -	- 86:1	privately [1] -	134:14,	n [1] - 109:12
137:10, 137:22,	51:25, 52:2,	18:17, 19:3,	Pre-	54:14	produced [4] -	proper [4] -
137.22, 137:24,	52:3, 52:4,	19:4, 19:6,	November	privileged [1] -	1:17, 7:14,	25:12, 26:14,
137:24, 138:3	52:15, 52:24,	19:24, 21:16,	[1] - 44:18	7:21	8:4, 89:4	69:11, 69:14
	53:3, 91:12,	32:1, 36:17,	pre-SMT [1] -	pro [23] -	professional	properly [2] -
phenotype [1] - 61:6	94:17, 105:2,	41:19, 41:22,	44:18	38:15, 38:18,	[1] - 30:18	63:11, 63:13
	126:1, 128:9	45:3, 45:5,	precisely [2] -	38:19, 39:17,	professor [21]	provide [1] -
Philip [9] -	plenty [2] -	71:4, 73:17,	9:1, 10:17	40:3, 48:4,	- 18:20,	6:21
21:2, 23:4,	27:20, 38:12	80:22, 91:9,	predate [1] -	67:11, 67:19,	- 10.20,	provided [3] -

_						
7:12, 58:21,	putting [4] -	racial [3] -	121:15,	23:23, 30:6,	- 6:25,	71:8, 79:4,
121:4	17:6, 40:11,	86:10, 103:6,	121:13,	31:2, 31:19,	11:24, 34:20,	103:3,
		139:23	121.22,	34:7, 39:9,	37:9, 43:2,	118:25,
public [1] - 70:10	40:22,		139:23	42:18, 48:24,	50:16, 62:21,	119:1, 128:7,
	135:21	racism [6] -				
publication [7]		25:16, 51:2,	Rather [1] -	53:14, 55:13,	62:24, 70:7,	138:19
- 21:14,	Q	52:7, 57:19,	63:3	56:1, 59:13,	86:14, 86:15,	refers [2] -
24:18, 45:16,	Ougles # (4)	67:24, 96:9	rational [4] -	65:2, 65:10,	87:13, 87:15,	54:1, 107:20
48:13, 94:19,	Quaker [1] -	racist [47] -	75:13, 75:16,	65:20, 74:22,	89:12, 99:10,	reflect [2] -
114:9, 138:9	2:6	25:13, 25:14,	78:3, 110:4	74:23, 75:20,	101:6, 108:5,	75:21, 75:22
Publications	qualifies [1] -	42:25, 43:2,	RE [1] - 20:3	79:8, 79:16,	110:12,	refused [2] -
[1] - 100:2	36:21	43:6, 43:7,	re [4] - 3:21,	79:18, 80:18,	122:8,	84:7, 85:10
publications	quality [1] - 22:17	43:14, 43:16,	54:7, 101:8,	81:15, 82:2,	126:23, 135:5	regard [5] -
[1] - 48:4		43:17, 45:2,	107:7 84:13, 87:4,			71:14,
publish [9] -	quantify [1] -	45:17, 51:18,	RE-ENTERS	95:3, 100:5,	Recognize [1]	115:23,
22:15, 24:1,	30:6	51:20, 52:5,	[1] - 20:3	106:9,	- 135:4	121:15,
37:6, 37:13,	quasi [1] -	55:15, 56:6,	re-read [2] -	109:14,	record [11] -	131:16,
38:7, 38:9,	28:22	56:7, 63:15,	101:8, 107:7	110:9, 115:1,	6:7, 6:21,	131:23
65:9, 66:20,	quasi-	66:12, 67:20,	reach [3] -	123:19, 123:23,	7:13, 50:11,	Registration
108:18	Schenkerian	67:21, 73:20,	124:9,	· ·	113:19,	[1] - 144:20
published [14]	[1] - 28:22	74:1, 74:18,	124:15,	125:12,	116:25,	reinforce [1] -
- 20:12,	questionable	77:25, 86:11,	124:21	127:5, 131:3,	127:17,	121:19
37:21, 39:8,	[1] - 38:23	87:7, 87:9,	reached [2] -	131:13,	134:25,	Reinhold [1] -
42:25, 47:14,	questioned [1]	95:21, 99:4,	124:13,	131:24, 132:5, 133:7,	140:24,	128:2
48:19, 49:17,	- 38:23	103:24,	126:17	135:20,	143:20, 144:12	rejected [2] -
64:24, 66:7,	questions [5] -	104:2,	reaching [1] -	135:20,	RECORD [6] -	24:20,
67:11, 70:20,	5:21, 17:10,	104:21, 104:23,	124:17	138:2		121:11
74:1, 74:13,	40:16, 95:11,	104.23, 104:24,	reacting [1] -	Really [2] -	6:9, 50:12, 85:23,	rejecting [1] -
100:6	113:11	105:11,	55:3	29:25, 44:17	113:20,	121:9
publishing [2]	quit [2] -	105:11,	read [24] -	reason [11] -	117:1, 141:3	related [7] -
- 57:10,	15:10, 44:5	110:2,	35:17, 37:7,	10:11, 25:10,	recruiting [1] -	10:5, 35:24,
64:16	quite [5] -	110.2,	46:9, 54:11,	33:1, 34:9,	125:17	95:6, 97:17,
pulled [2] -	25:13, 26:23,	112:17,	54:17, 57:14,	49:10, 59:10,	red [1] -	102:19,
110:7, 110:8	53:13, 53:25,	122:24,	65:24, 68:7,	80:21, 94:24,	122:11	113:2, 144:8
purely [1] - 105:23	127:24	128:2,	68:9, 68:10,	95:13, 106:4,	reduce [1] -	relating [1] -
	quo [1] - 79:17	129:14,	68:14, 69:6,	110:9	121:20	79:8
purpose [2] - 4:11, 88:23	quote [1] -	130:5,	89:15, 90:21, 93:17, 94:1,	reasonable [1]	reduced [1] -	relation [2] -
· ·	120:23	139:21,		- 116:2	27:1	28:17, 97:12
purposefully [1] - 108:1	В	140:13	101:8, 107:7, 113:16,	reasoning [2] -	reduces [1] -	relations [7] -
	R	raise [1] -	127:16,	39:18, 39:20	121:19	76:12, 78:18,
pursuant [2] - 1:24, 143:22	RA [7] - 11:5,	93:21	127:10,	reasons [1] -	reeducation	79:3, 79:4, 79:7, 79:11,
pursuing [1] -	11:7, 15:7,	ran [2] - 48:16,	127:10,	144:3	[1] - 43:19	79.7, 79.11, 79:25
13:8	81:10, 81:14,	96:25	133:9	receipt [1] -	refer [1] -	relationship
push [3] -	82:15, 90:4	range [1] -	Read [1] -	144:1	37:24	[7] - 13:5,
106:6, 106:7,	race [19] -	136:20	127:18	receive [3] -	reference [4] -	16:1, 16:2,
106:10	25:24, 38:22,	rank [1] -	readability [1]	49:11, 89:16,	57:3, 129:17,	18:5, 18:14,
pushed [1] -	51:9, 52:3,	30:23	- 42:6	91:25	130:4,	29:7, 57:18
106:11	52:12, 56:25,	ranking [1] -	reading [6] -	received [7] -	131:17	relative [1] -
pushing [4] -	61:4, 61:7,	37:5	41:13, 48:6,	49:4, 49:12,	referred [3] -	144:11
65:19, 65:20,	61:9, 62:9,	rarely [1] -	68:3, 68:16,	67:11, 89:22,	76:21,	relevance [1] -
105:9,	76:12, 76:17,	36:10	95:17, 96:5	92:2, 109:22,	138:18,	5:8
105:18	78:17, 79:3,	rates [3] -	ready [1] -	133:22	139:2	relevant [3] -
put [10] -	79:4, 79:7,	77:16,	32:5	recent [5] -	referring [21] -	7:25, 10:2,
24:24, 30:7,	79:11, 79:24,	139:17,	reality [1] -	20:17, 20:20,	11:17, 20:8,	13:23
54:12, 65:6,	138:21	139:21	78:7	44:16, 75:3,	20:9, 21:2,	relied [1] -
93:20,	races [1] -	rather [11] -	realized [1] -	124:5	23:17, 33:20,	133:6
100:20,	139:15	28:23, 33:8,	71:4	recipient [3] -	37:12, 39:5,	relief [1] -
104:11,	Rachel [5] -	38:13, 40:20,	really [48] -	108:7, 114:3,	39:11, 48:14,	39:13
106:7, 107:5,	81:10, 81:11,	44:18, 69:21,	16:18, 16:21,	120:8	53:24, 57:17,	relieve [1] -
112:15	81:12, 81:25	102:23,	17:3, 23:12,	recognize [21]	63:6, 63:7,	5:21
			-,·· - ,			

rely [3] - 29:10,	80:12	59:20, 99:6	responsible	102:15,	scenario [1] -	132:7, 132:8,
32:22, 32:23	rephrase [2] -	respond [8] -	[1] - 138:8	102:17	49:23	132:9,
remained [1] -	65:22, 78:23	23:4, 23:10,	responsive [1]	Richard [2] -	schedule [2] -	132:10,
71:4	replace [1] -	23:12, 46:2,	- 8:17	101:21,	115:6, 115:7	132:11,
remarkable [1]	56:18	63:19, 65:3,	rest [1] - 37:25	109:16	scheduling [1]	132:13,
- 94:18	report [1] -	67:1, 68:19	resulting [1] -	rid [1] - 41:11	- 17:8	132:23,
remarking [1] -	135:2	responded [1]	45:16	right" [1] -	Schenker [21]	133:6, 133:9,
62:4	reported [1] -	- 68:21	retained [1] -	22:6	- 3:19, 8:18,	133:25
remarks [1] -	1:22	respondents	11:12	Rilke [1] -	8:20, 9:2,	Schenkeriani
118:24	Reporter [1] -	[1] - 111:5	retaliate [3] -	87:19	16:14, 33:21,	sm [4] - 28:1,
remember [40]	143:16	responding [5]	80:21, 85:9,	role [6] -	53:20, 53:21,	28:9, 28:13,
- 8:25,	Reporter's [1]	- 23:7, 23:8,	85:13	25:12, 33:25,	72:14, 81:10,	28:15
12:15, 33:3,	- 3:7	64:23,	retaliated [8] -	92:13, 92:19,	81:14, 82:15,	Schenkerians
34:22, 51:13,	represent [2] -	122:15,	33:1, 33:14,	113:3, 134:4	118:24,	[11] - 26:22,
58:22, 62:4,	7:22, 35:3	127:14	34:4, 34:9,	Roman [1] -	119:2,	27:25, 29:24,
62:13, 81:9,	representatio	response [28] -	80:19, 80:23,	90:15	119:16,	31:12, 31:13,
81:13, 82:5,	n [1] - 124:22	7:2, 7:14,	81:2, 81:5	ROOM [3] -	125:10,	31:16, 52:22,
84:19, 85:1,	representativ	8:1, 20:16,	retaliation [13]	6:17, 18:13,	127:23,	52:23, 54:24,
85:2, 88:2,	e [1] - 75:18	39:9, 46:1,	- 33:16,	20:3	131:1,	123:3,
89:14, 89:24,	represented	46:12, 49:2,	80:3, 80:5,	room [1] - 60:3	131:20,	123:15
90:21, 92:18,	[1] - 25:11	64:5, 65:13,	80:10, 81:18,	Rothstein [1] -	132:1, 132:2	scholars [3] -
93:9, 93:13,	reputation [3]	66:1, 67:9,	82:11, 82:15,	27:13	Schenker @	26:18, 29:1,
94:21, 96:8,	- 55:21,	67:11, 67:12,	82:18, 83:21,	rough [2] -	UNT.edu [5] -	29:21
96:19, 97:1,	55:23,	67:19, 67:22,	85:16, 95:12,	30:10, 36:20	9:6, 10:2,	school [5] -
98:3, 98:11,	138:20	70:10, 95:17,	129:23,	round [2] -	10:7, 94:6,	15:1, 55:21,
101:8,	requested [2] -	96:3, 96:5,	138:21	22:21, 22:25	94:10	77:6, 77:14,
108:10,	143:24,	98:20,	retroactively	rude [1] - 42:9	Schenkerian	83:25
110:23,	144:5	102:16,	[1] - 82:23	ruined [3] -	[70] - 11:14,	schools [1] -
112:11,	Requested [1]	105:11,	retrospect [1]	63:11, 63:13,	11:18, 15:11,	28:5
112:12,	- 7:10	108:24,	- 115:5	76:2	15:18, 15:23,	science [1] -
112:14,	requests [3] -	119:9,	returned [2] -	ruins [1] -	16:3, 16:4,	59:16
112:16,	7:15, 10:2,	122:14,	144:1, 144:2	75:25	16:5, 16:10,	scientific [4] -
112:19,	123:10	126:18	revamping [1]	Rule [1] -	26:18, 26:19,	59:3, 59:12,
112:23,	required [2] -	Response [1] -	- 17:7	143:22	27:18, 27:21,	59:14, 59:23
113:1,	58:10, 64:7	3:22	revelation [1] -	rules [3] -	27:23, 28:3,	scores [2] -
121:13,	requires [1] -	responses [33]	62:6	4:13, 5:4, 5:6	28:4, 28:19,	77:7, 77:8
136:9,	101:10	- 22:21,	reversed [2] -	Rules [1] -	28:22, 28:23,	screen [1] -
136:15	requiring [1] -	22:25, 37:17,	13:14,	1:25	28:24, 29:8,	22:11
remind [2] -	83:21	39:16, 39:17,	116:19	run [2] - 69:10,	29:11, 29:12,	se [1] - 95:4
46:3, 107:7	Research [1] -	41:1, 47:13,	review [1] -	69:19	29:14, 29:16,	searches [1] -
reminded [1] -	90:6	51:5, 51:23,	46:5		30:2, 36:5,	8:6
58:3	research [8] -	54:13, 54:15,	reviewers [1] -	S	37:21, 38:13,	sec [1] -
reminds [1] -	15:8, 15:22,	57:10, 63:18,	90:25		38:14, 38:15,	116:25
87:23	27:25, 28:14,	63:25, 64:17,	reviews [3] -	safe [6] -	38:19, 38:21,	second [14] -
remove [2] -	28:16, 29:6,	64:24, 66:21,	33:23, 91:5,	10:25, 11:10,	39:2, 46:15,	6:6, 6:7,
56:12, 56:15	41:18, 86:25	68:20, 74:10,	91:9	17:1, 27:16,	52:15, 52:17,	15:6, 20:4,
removed [2] -	reservations	98:12, 110:5,	revising [1] -	30:8, 118:13	54:25, 65:18, 66:10, 76:1,	22:2, 22:25,
66:11, 139:3	[1] - 42:2	115:8,	120:18	salvage [1] -		53:24, 57:6,
RENALDO [1]	reserve [2] -	115:13, 115:17,	rhetoric [1] -	39:24	76:3, 80:7, 90:4, 90:5,	62:21, 65:12,
- 2:15	5:12, 142:3	115.17,	102:24	SAMANTHA	90:13, 90:16,	82:3, 93:1,
Renaldo's [1] -	reserved [1] -	116:2,	rhetorical [3] -	[1] - 2:4	90:20, 91:20,	94:16,
116:24	5:1	119:15,	102:25,	San [1] - 14:23	106:22,	111:24
renaldo.	reserving [2] -	119:16,	105:23,	sat [2] - 50:22,	107:4,	secondhand
stowers @	5:6	119:21,	106:6	96:18	107:10,	[1] - 21:23
untsystem.	resistant [1] -	119:22,	rhetorically [1]	saw [5] -	107:10,	section [1] -
edu [1] - 2:18	52:13	125:14,	- 42:17	22:10, 42:16,	108:15,	39:23
repeat [3] -	resources [3] -	125:15	Rich [5] -	51:17, 52:5,	111:11,	see [41] - 7:10, 10:11, 17:5,
59:10, 93:8, 140:10	16:13, 58:22,	responsibility	101:21,	94:5	114:12,	39:5, 39:18,
repeating [1] -	79:10	[1] - 135:21	101:22,	scale [1] -	124:4, 124:5,	41:20, 43:24,
repeating [1] -	respected [2] -		101:23,	42:15		¬1.20, ¬0.2 4 ,

63:20, 76:12,	17:9, 33:19,	73:23	132:17,	snow [1] - 97:3	8:24, 13:10,	121:11,
77:9, 82:22,	40:19, 47:16,	SHERMAN [2]	132:22	snowing [1] -	17:23, 18:1,	132:22
89:20, 90:12,	69:3, 103:20,	- 1:2, 143:2	sit [1] - 24:2	141:10	35:8, 36:23,	spectrum [2] -
91:4, 91:6,	137:18	shifting [1] -	six [1] - 72:7	snowstorm [2]	37:17, 37:18,	29:5, 29:6
91:22, 94:9,	sent [14] -	104:15	sizeable [2] -	- 141:7,	41:14, 48:9,	speculating
94:19, 95:15,	62:15, 66:7,	ship [2] -	31:17, 31:21	141:9	49:25, 65:22,	[1] - 68:2
95:18, 100:1,	89:14, 91:12,	71:23, 71:25	Skills [1] -	so-called [1] -	100:13,	speculation
100:2,	93:16, 94:6,	short [3] -	14:20	83:21	128:15,	[10] - 30:14,
102:10,	102:16,	39:10, 39:14,	skip [4] - 40:6,	social [10] -	131:17	32:15, 37:15,
106:24,	108:9,	40:1 72:6, 120:18,		25:17, 51:15,	Sorry [6] -	48:22, 49:7,
111:13,	111:15,	shorthand [2]	138:6	53:3, 59:2,	10:13, 17:23,	49:20, 62:3,
114:1,	111:22,	- 1:22, 37:25	Skipping [2] -	59:12, 59:13,	18:1, 20:22,	68:24, 95:2,
115:10,	112:9,	Shorthand [1]	36:23, 39:3	59:23, 70:22,	35:11, 113:8	104:20
117:3, 117:7,	112:20,	- 143:15	skipping [5] -	130:15,	sort [4] -	spell [2] - 12:6,
117:9,	112:24,	shortly [1] -	22:2, 72:11,	136:1	88:21,	81:23
117:13,	114:24	72:16	75:10, 80:2,	societal [1] -	107:19,	spend [1] -
117:14,	sentence [11] -	shots [1] -	118:16	79:8	115:4,	133:17
117:19,	53:25, 54:11,	22:12	slight [1] -	society [4] -	134:17	spending [2] -
118:18,	65:12, 65:24,	show [2] -	107:16	25:18, 30:18,	sought [3] -	35:8, 35:11
118:21,	65:25, 79:2,	7:13, 134:20	Slottow [12] -	31:2, 31:3	19:4, 19:9,	split [1] - 65:17
120:6, 123:3,	88:5, 91:4,	showing [1] -	3:17, 10:23,	Society [4] -	19:10	spoken [1] -
123:10,	94:15,	78:1	26:5, 32:2,	8:7, 20:19,	sounds [6] -	36:11
127:15,	123:11,	shows [1] -	32:23, 56:15,	30:20, 31:4	27:1, 35:7,	stack [1] - 60:9
136:24,	131:18	92:18	111:22,	solicit [9] -	45:15, 63:16,	staff [11] -
140:22	sentences [5]	side [3] -	116:4, 118:9,	91:4, 91:9,	73:12, 129:9	10:25, 11:3,
seeing [5] -	- 41:16,	17:18, 17:20,	123:25,	91:13, 91:15,	soup [1] -	11:12, 24:2,
22:8, 31:6,	53:12, 67:25,	73:1	124:11,	91:17, 92:8,	13:15	65:8, 69:5,
51:5, 52:19,	70:25, 88:5	sided [3] -	125:19	115:20,	source [1] -	108:25,
86:19	sentiment [2] -	65:15, 66:3,	Slottow's [2] -	123:21,	23:16	119:13,
seeking [1] -	119:12,	108:22	24:25, 26:12	126:17	sources [1] -	119:19,
64:16	119:19	Siegel [4] -	small [3] -	solicitation [1]	139:3	121:8, 122:2
seem [5] -	September [3]	125:7,	31:17, 31:20,	- 123:6	speaking [4] -	stage [1] -
20:1, 36:8,	- 67:15,	126:15,	124:18	solicited [2] -	17:22, 22:4,	136:23
41:4, 68:1, 117:11	134:5, 134:9	126:16,	smaller [1] -	119:16,	31:25, 37:10	staging [1] -
Segall [6] -	series [2] - 58:25, 72:20	126:18	30:1	125:16	special [3] -	63:25
12:5, 12:9,	serious [1] -	sign [5] -	Smith [1] -	soliciting [4] -	91:5, 91:10,	
20:6, 32:13,	Serious [i] -	35:12, 88:7,		E4 4E 04 40		stake [2] -
20.0, 02.10,	72.0		1:23	54:15, 91:18,	93:14	32:4, 32:11
	72:8	88:8, 88:11,	SMT [36] - 8:7,	93:10, 93:14	93:14 specialties [1]	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] -
37:10, 80:9	serve [2] -	88:8, 88:11, 135:8	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] -	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] -	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] -	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23,	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] -	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] -
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] -	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18,	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4,
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] -	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16,	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] -	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6,	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] -	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4,	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] -
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] -	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] -	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17,
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] -	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] -	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2,	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8,	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3]	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1]
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] -	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4,	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2]	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1]	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] -	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15,	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] -
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] - 106:24	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] -	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15, 139:3	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23,
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21 Semitic [3] -	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] -	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] - 59:21, 87:23	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1, 105:2,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15,	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15 specifically	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23, 133:19
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21 Semitic [3] - 67:23, 131:7,	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] - 106:24 sexism [2] -	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] - 59:21, 87:23 similar [4] -	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1, 105:2, 110:17,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15, 139:3 Sometimes [1]	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15 specifically [20] - 21:18,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23, 133:19 staph [1] -
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21 Semitic [3] - 67:23, 131:7, 131:9	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] - 106:24 sexism [2] - 52:7, 138:21	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] - 59:21, 87:23 similar [4] - 17:2, 32:1,	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1, 105:2, 110:17, 110:22,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15, 139:3 Sometimes [1] - 17:10	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15 specifically [20] - 21:18, 23:6, 25:23,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23, 133:19 staph [1] - 128:13
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21 Semitic [3] - 67:23, 131:7, 131:9 send [1] -	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] - 106:24 sexism [2] - 52:7, 138:21 sexist [2] -	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] - 59:21, 87:23 similar [4] - 17:2, 32:1, 74:20, 128:3	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1, 105:2, 110:17, 110:22, 110:24,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15, 139:3 Sometimes [1] - 17:10 somewhat [4]	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15 specifically [20] - 21:18, 23:6, 25:23, 47:18, 48:2,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23, 133:19 staph [1] -
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21 Semitic [3] - 67:23, 131:7, 131:9 send [1] - 108:8	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] - 106:24 sexism [2] - 52:7, 138:21 sexist [2] - 52:5, 67:21	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] - 59:21, 87:23 similar [4] - 17:2, 32:1, 74:20, 128:3 simple [1] -	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1, 105:2, 110:17, 110:22, 110:24, 111:1, 111:3,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15, 139:3 Sometimes [1] - 17:10 somewhat [4] - 16:6,	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15 specifically [20] - 21:18, 23:6, 25:23, 47:18, 48:2, 50:22, 53:2,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23, 133:19 staph [1] - 128:13 start [3] - 6:19, 80:4, 113:21
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21 Semitic [3] - 67:23, 131:7, 131:9 send [1] - 108:8 sending [2] -	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] - 106:24 sexism [2] - 52:7, 138:21 sexist [2] - 52:5, 67:21 sexuality [1] -	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] - 59:21, 87:23 similar [4] - 17:2, 32:1, 74:20, 128:3 simple [1] - 66:5	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1, 105:2, 110:17, 110:22, 110:24, 111:1, 111:3, 118:4,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15, 139:3 Sometimes [1] - 17:10 somewhat [4] - 16:6, 39:24, 53:16,	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15 specifically [20] - 21:18, 23:6, 25:23, 47:18, 48:2, 50:22, 53:2, 54:12, 60:12, 61:14, 61:16, 64:5, 72:24,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23, 133:19 staph [1] - 128:13 start [3] - 6:19, 80:4, 113:21 started [9] -
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21 Semitic [3] - 67:23, 131:7, 131:9 send [1] - 108:8 sending [2] - 22:11, 89:25	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] - 106:24 sexism [2] - 52:7, 138:21 sexist [2] - 52:5, 67:21 sexuality [1] - 25:25	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] - 59:21, 87:23 similar [4] - 17:2, 32:1, 74:20, 128:3 simple [1] - 66:5 simply [2] -	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1, 105:2, 110:17, 110:22, 110:24, 111:1, 111:3, 118:4, 118:22,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15, 139:3 Sometimes [1] - 17:10 somewhat [4] - 16:6, 39:24, 53:16, 105:3	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15 specifically [20] - 21:18, 23:6, 25:23, 47:18, 48:2, 50:22, 53:2, 54:12, 60:12, 61:14, 61:16, 64:5, 72:24, 76:16, 77:24,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23, 133:19 staph [1] - 128:13 start [3] - 6:19, 80:4, 113:21
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21 Semitic [3] - 67:23, 131:7, 131:9 send [1] - 108:8 sending [2] - 22:11, 89:25 senior [2] - 18:16, 18:19 SENIOR [1] -	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] - 106:24 sexism [2] - 52:7, 138:21 sexist [2] - 52:5, 67:21 sexuality [1] - 25:25 Shall [1] -	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] - 59:21, 87:23 similar [4] - 17:2, 32:1, 74:20, 128:3 simple [1] - 66:5 simply [2] - 82:5, 110:7	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1, 105:2, 110:17, 110:22, 110:24, 111:1, 111:3, 118:4, 118:22, 126:1, 126:4,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15, 139:3 Sometimes [1] - 17:10 somewhat [4] - 16:6, 39:24, 53:16, 105:3 somewhere	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15 specifically [20] - 21:18, 23:6, 25:23, 47:18, 48:2, 50:22, 53:2, 54:12, 60:12, 61:14, 61:16, 64:5, 72:24, 76:16, 77:24, 105:10,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23, 133:19 staph [1] - 128:13 start [3] - 6:19, 80:4, 113:21 started [9] - 14:13, 44:24,
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21 Semitic [3] - 67:23, 131:7, 131:9 send [1] - 108:8 sending [2] - 22:11, 89:25 senior [2] - 18:16, 18:19	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] - 106:24 sexism [2] - 52:7, 138:21 sexist [2] - 52:5, 67:21 sexuality [1] - 25:25 Shall [1] - 113:19	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] - 59:21, 87:23 similar [4] - 17:2, 32:1, 74:20, 128:3 simple [1] - 66:5 simply [2] -	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1, 105:2, 110:17, 110:22, 110:24, 111:1, 111:3, 118:4, 118:22, 126:1, 126:4, 127:23, 128:7, 129:4, 129:7,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15, 139:3 Sometimes [1] - 17:10 somewhat [4] - 16:6, 39:24, 53:16, 105:3 somewhere [1] - 60:10	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15 specifically [20] - 21:18, 23:6, 25:23, 47:18, 48:2, 50:22, 53:2, 54:12, 60:12, 61:14, 61:16, 64:5, 72:24, 76:16, 77:24, 105:10, 109:2,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23, 133:19 staph [1] - 128:13 start [3] - 6:19, 80:4, 113:21 started [9] - 14:13, 44:24, 72:2, 92:24, 98:10, 108:10,
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21 Semitic [3] - 67:23, 131:7, 131:9 send [1] - 108:8 sending [2] - 22:11, 89:25 senior [2] - 18:16, 18:19 SENIOR [1] -	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] - 106:24 sexism [2] - 52:7, 138:21 sexist [2] - 52:5, 67:21 sexuality [1] - 25:25 Shall [1] - 113:19 shame [1] -	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] - 59:21, 87:23 similar [4] - 17:2, 32:1, 74:20, 128:3 simple [1] - 66:5 simply [2] - 82:5, 110:7 single [5] -	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1, 105:2, 110:17, 110:22, 110:24, 111:1, 111:3, 118:4, 118:22, 126:1, 126:4, 127:23, 128:7, 129:4,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15, 139:3 Sometimes [1] - 17:10 somewhat [4] - 16:6, 39:24, 53:16, 105:3 somewhere [1] - 60:10 soon [1] -	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15 specifically [20] - 21:18, 23:6, 25:23, 47:18, 48:2, 50:22, 53:2, 54:12, 60:12, 61:14, 61:16, 64:5, 72:24, 76:16, 77:24, 105:10,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23, 133:19 staph [1] - 128:13 start [3] - 6:19, 80:4, 113:21 started [9] - 14:13, 44:24, 72:2, 92:24, 98:10,
37:10, 80:9 SEGALL [1] - 12:8 Segall's [1] - 12:6 semester [3] - 34:8, 58:8, 83:22 semesters [1] - 121:21 Semitic [3] - 67:23, 131:7, 131:9 send [1] - 108:8 sending [2] - 22:11, 89:25 senior [2] - 18:16, 18:19 SENIOR [1] - 2:15	serve [2] - 88:16, 128:4 session [6] - 52:7, 52:16, 52:24, 58:4, 58:13, 58:14 sessions [2] - 58:16, 58:18 set [1] - 36:25 severe [1] - 106:24 sexism [2] - 52:7, 138:21 sexist [2] - 52:5, 67:21 sexuality [1] - 25:25 Shall [1] - 113:19 shame [1] - 86:24	88:8, 88:11, 135:8 signature [1] - 143:23 Signature [1] - 144:3 signed [1] - 94:12 significant [3] - 32:4, 32:11, 71:17 signing [2] - 59:21, 87:23 similar [4] - 17:2, 32:1, 74:20, 128:3 simple [1] - 66:5 simply [2] - 82:5, 110:7 single [5] - 87:4, 88:21,	SMT [36] - 8:7, 20:17, 20:18, 20:20, 31:2, 31:7, 32:6, 39:22, 44:18, 44:22, 46:24, 46:25, 47:24, 48:1, 49:4, 49:8, 49:11, 52:1, 57:10, 94:17, 100:1, 105:2, 110:17, 110:22, 110:24, 111:1, 111:3, 118:4, 118:22, 126:1, 126:4, 127:23, 128:7, 129:4, 129:7,	93:10, 93:14 someone [7] - 11:11, 28:23, 35:4, 48:18, 55:23, 87:6, 87:8 sometime [3] - 33:5, 35:2, 85:2 sometimes [2] - 12:15, 139:3 Sometimes [1] - 17:10 somewhat [4] - 16:6, 39:24, 53:16, 105:3 somewhere [1] - 60:10 soon [1] - 57:10	93:14 specialties [1] - 137:15 specific [12] - 14:4, 28:25, 33:3, 53:1, 69:22, 80:18, 82:16, 92:17, 131:14, 132:18, 140:12, 140:15 specifically [20] - 21:18, 23:6, 25:23, 47:18, 48:2, 50:22, 53:2, 54:12, 60:12, 61:14, 61:16, 64:5, 72:24, 76:16, 77:24, 105:10, 109:2,	32:4, 32:11 stamps [1] - 117:7 stand [3] - 35:23, 66:4, 72:9 standard [3] - 23:11, 47:17, 103:13 standpoint [1] - 40:24 stands [2] - 107:23, 133:19 staph [1] - 128:13 start [3] - 6:19, 80:4, 113:21 started [9] - 14:13, 44:24, 72:2, 92:24, 98:10, 108:10,

407.04	40.00.00.0	400.0	444 44	54.00	00.05.04.0	
137:21,	10:23, 32:2,	128:2,	111:11,	- 51:23,	60:25, 61:3,	system [1] -
138:3	32:23, 48:12,	129:14	132:9,	53:11, 72:20,	61:5, 79:7,	107:20
starting [2] -	48:13, 56:15,	string [3] -	132:11,	105:13	80:1, 124:18	-
111:12,	69:16,	101:7,	133:6,	substantive	supposed [6] -	Т
134:5	109:18,	117:11,	133:10,	[7] - 100:10,	39:7, 39:25,	TA 45.5
starts [5] -	116:4,	117:15	134:1	100:14,	82:15, 82:18,	TA [4] - 45:5,
19:23, 62:22,	124:11	struck [1] -	studies [7] -	102:17,	96:9, 115:22	45:8, 71:23,
113:25,	stepping [1] -	97:1	59:23,	105:1, 105:3,	supposedly	71:25
114:20,	92:20	structural [1] -	131:20,	105:17,	[1] - 84:15	TA-ship [2] -
129:10	Steven [1] -	128:5	132:7, 132:8,	105:22	supremacist	71:23, 71:25
Starts [1] -	16:20	structure [10] -			[1] - 53:18	talks [2] -
22:6	Stevens [1] -	28:18, 41:12,			Sustenance	90:24, 119:2
State [4] -	135:14	42:16, 79:8,	142:6	suddenly [1] -	[1] - 133:13	tap [1] - 58:25
1:21, 1:24,	stick [1] -	102:23,	study [9] -	39:23	sustenance	target [1] -
14:7, 143:16	115:9	106:19,	53:21, 58:24,	suffer [1] -	[1] - 133:14	108:11
state [8] - 5:16,	still [11] - 5:22,	106:24,	59:17, 72:13,	6:13	Suzanne [5] -	targeted [1] -
12:24, 12:25,	11:8, 18:22,	107:11,	82:21, 83:19,	suggest [1] -	97:6, 98:2,	108:13
13:1, 14:21,	35:23, 65:16,	107:17,	85:11,	92:7	98:8, 98:10,	task [1] - 53:13
68:4, 71:25,	66:3, 68:3,	108:1	121:19,	suggested [7]	98:14	tasks [1] - 91:1
77:23	72:9, 88:10,	structures [1]	121:21	- 42:11,	sworn [4] -	taught [1] -
statement [15]	89:7, 119:3	- 113:2	studying [4] -	42:12, 97:4,	1:19, 4:3,	14:19
- 25:7,	stipulate [1] -	stuck [1] - 56:3	86:22, 87:1,	106:12,	143:19,	teach [1] -
25:10, 25:14,	5:2	student [16] -	87:6, 87:8	127:25,	144:14	14:17
34:17, 34:20,	Stipulations	13:7, 15:4,	stuff [3] - 94:4,	141:13,	symposium	teaching [5] -
35:23, 39:12,		15:18, 19:3,	100:23,	141:20	[46] - 20:9,	14:10, 15:5,
65:16, 66:4,		34:12, 58:11,	138:15	suggesting [1]	20:10, 20:11,	15:6, 15:9,
72:9, 73:7,	[1] - 3:4	83:6, 83:15,	style [1] - 91:3	- 51:14	20:14, 20:16,	15:12
76:19,	stir [1] -	83:21, 83:24,	styled [1] -	suggestions	21:7, 24:20,	tech [1] - 111:2
111:10,	127:24	90:19, 92:19,	1:19	[1] - 121:14	27:3, 37:18,	technique [2] -
132:3	Stockton [1] -	95:10, 104:4,	subjective [1]	suggests [2] -	37:20, 37:25,	29:1, 29:2
statements [3]	14:23	104:7,	- 60:23	120:18,	38:5, 38:11,	tend [1] - 79:9
- 25:5,	stop [1] - 87:1	104:18	submission	122:17	40:12, 40:18,	tends [1] -
45.10,	stopped [4] -	student/	[4] - 115:1,	Suite [1] - 1:23	42:15, 46:2,	125:10
121:18	9:20, 11:16,	faculty [1] -	118:1, 118:3,	summarize [2]	46:13, 47:2,	tenure [7] -
states [1] -	42:23, 86:25	58:14	118:11	- 53:11,	47:10, 47:20,	18:22, 18:23,
35:19	stopping [2] -	students [17] -	submissions	53:12	48:5, 48:19,	19:1, 19:19,
States [11] -	11:17, 50:10	19:5, 58:14,	[3] - 116:5,	summarized	49:17, 50:22,	19:21, 19:25,
26:18, 27:7,	stories [1] -	76:7, 104:2,	117:24,	[2] - 54:4,	64:25, 66:21,	32:21
27:18, 27:20,	139:1	104:12,	118:8	55:5	67:1, 68:21,	tenured [1] -
28:2, 29:15,	storm [1] -	136:16,	submit [2] -	summarizing	69:7, 76:2,	56:18
30:12, 30:16,	23:2	136:17,	26:15, 49:2	[1] - 60:15	89:5, 99:24,	term [2] - 77:3,
30:19, 76:4,		137:1, 137:4,	submitted [2] -	summer [5] -	100:20,	87:18
139:18	STOWERS [5]	137:5, 137:6,	74:13, 117:8	92:25, 93:2,	102:4,	
STATES [2] -	- 2:15, 6:17,	137:11,	submitting [2]	92.25, 93.2, 93:6	110:16,	terminology
1:1, 143:1	85:19, 85:21,	137:11,	- 23:23,	superior [1] -	111:6,	[1] - 76:17
Station [1] -	116:21	137:14,	- 23.23, 110:5	107:20	112:15,	terms [20] -
2:12	straight [1] -	137:19,	Subpoena [1] -		112:16,	16:14, 28:10,
statistical [1] -	130:11	138:11	3:10	support [2] -	114:10,	29:7, 29:21,
139:17	straightforwa	Studies [27] -		38:13,	122:18,	29:25, 30:5,
statistics [3] -	rd [1] - 88:1	11:14, 11:18,	subpoena [5] - 7:6, 7:10,	106:12	124:23,	30:23, 31:5,
	strategically	15:11, 15:19,		supporter [1] -	126:9,	31:8, 51:8,
31:7, 78:1, 78:6	[1] - 39:15	15:23, 16:3,	7:15, 8:1,	39:1	126:11	52:11, 53:3,
	strategy [1] -	16:4, 16:5,	8:17	supporting [5]	synonym [2] -	57:21, 58:11,
status [1] -	121:18	16:4, 16:5, 16:10, 26:20,	Subscribed [1]	- 37:6,	104:1,	79:5, 81:3,
79:17	streets [1] -		- 144:14	37:13, 38:7,	104:1,	92:12, 107:5,
stayed [2] -	77:20	29:16, 36:5, 37:22, 46:16,	subscribed [1]	38:9, 65:21		131:8,
11:6, 128:14	strike [5] - 9:3,		- 110:18	suppose [14] -	synonymous [2] - 88:18,	138:20
step [2] -	78:24, 95:8,	55:1, 90:4,	subsequent	17:3, 20:23,	[2] - 66.16, 88:20	test [1] - 60:3
92:21, 132:8	121:16,	90:6, 90:13,	[2] - 23:13,	23:18, 30:24,		testified [2] -
Stephen [12] -	140:11	90:16, 90:20,	46:15	42:14, 50:1,	SYSTEM [1] - 2:16	4:3, 138:23
3:17, 3:24,	strikes [2] -	91:20,	substance [4]	53:15, 60:14,	۷.۱۷	testify [1] - 7:2

Testify [1] -	61:7, 75:13,	40:13, 40:14,	totalitarian [1]	6:3	[1] - 21:25	19:24, 21:5,
3:10	75:17, 75:23,	40:17	- 128:5	try [4] - 13:15,	under [5] -	35:16, 36:9,
testifying [1] -	87:3, 114:12,	TIMOTHY [3] -	toward [1] -	29:1, 66:7,	5:22, 13:15,	57:7, 74:19,
6:3	121:20,	1:3, 2:21,	106:6	107:5	88:16,	74:24, 76:7,
testimony [3] -	121:21,	143:3	towards [7] -	trying [6] -	134:18,	102:13
6:11, 6:15,	137:3	Timothy [32] -	43:12, 105:9,	17:11, 26:21,	134:19	unusual [7] -
143:20	Theory [4] -	4:7, 10:21,	105:18,	78:25, 79:3,	undergrad [1]	20:2, 23:25,
TEXAS [4] -	20:19, 30:20,	32:25, 33:13,	107:9,	123:14,	- 14:6	24:3, 24:4,
1:1, 2:11,	31:4, 99:22	34:4, 34:11,	130:11,	137:18	underneath [1]	70:2, 91:19,
2:16, 143:1	thereabouts	36:1, 56:13,	131:14,	Tuesday [1] -	- 31:23	132:14
Texas [12] -	[1] - 44:6	57:18, 60:13,	132:8	126:4	understood	up [42] - 7:13,
1:21, 1:24,	thereafter [1] -	61:11, 72:4,	track [10] -	turn [1] - 7:8	[5] - 15:25,	13:23, 27:12,
2:12, 2:17,	72:16	72:17, 76:20,	12:14, 14:4,	turned [2] -	38:3, 56:24,	29:19, 32:7,
13:6, 13:9,	therefor [1] -	76:24, 80:4,	18:22, 18:23,	23:9, 78:22	91:8, 106:1	36:1, 37:4,
14:11, 15:4,	144:4	80:5, 81:5,	18:25, 19:1,	twice [2] -	uneven [1] -	41:9, 44:17,
137:25,	therefore [2] -	85:9, 85:13,	32:21, 46:24,	9:16, 139:3	79:18	44:21, 45:14,
143:16,	76:6, 120:19	96:18,	48:1, 49:8	Twitter [6] -	unfortunately	47:2, 50:23,
144:18,	thinking [4] -	100:11,	training [5] -	22:9, 22:10,	[1] - 123:17	52:18, 52:21,
144:21	25:9, 30:24,	100:16,	18:7, 58:3,	23:1, 23:2,	unhappy [2] -	60:5, 60:11,
text [1] - 80:9	76:16,	108:24,	58:7, 58:12,	63:7, 63:8	44:16, 45:1	65:11, 66:13,
Text [2] - 3:11,	124:12	120:2, 120:5,	59:9	two [18] -	unimpeachab	69:17, 70:1,
3:11	third [3] - 7:9,	121:4, 121:9,	Training [1] -	14:12, 15:6,	ly [1] - 59:16	80:21, 83:2,
textbook [1] -	14:14,	121:13,	14:19	31:9, 31:19,	Union [1] -	84:10, 92:19,
124:5	111:10	126:14,	trainings [2] -	40:20, 41:16,	2:17	97:1, 105:4,
th0se [1] -	thoughtful [1]	126:17,	60:7, 60:13	66:25, 67:25,	UNITED [2] -	110:5,
29:23	- 54:13	128:17	transcript [2] -	70:12, 70:16,	1:1, 143:1	112:22,
THAD [1] - 2:4	thread [6] -	TO [6] - 6:9,	143:19,	111:24,	United [11] -	114:9,
THE [12] - 1:1,	33:25, 35:4,	50:12, 85:23,	144:2	121:20,	26:18, 27:7,	114:22,
1:1, 2:3, 2:9,	41:15, 80:9,	113:20,	transitioned	121:21,	27:18, 27:20,	118:16,
6:9, 50:12,	108:10,	117:1, 141:3	[2] - 71:23,	125:2,	28:2, 29:15,	121:12,
85:23,	111:21	today [5] - 6:3,	92:13	125:17,	30:12, 30:16,	123:16,
113:20,	threaten [2] -	6:11, 6:15,	transitioning	126:3, 126:5,	30:19, 76:4,	123:20,
117:1, 141:3,	44:2, 141:18	7:2, 8:4	[1] - 33:25	129:6	139:17	124:20,
143:1, 143:1	three [9] -	together [5] -	translates [1] -	type [2] -	University [9] -	125:7,
them/him [1] -	14:6, 14:7,	92:22, 93:20,	103:6	22:17, 28:18	7:20, 13:5,	125:12, 125:13,
35:16	20:14, 21:8,	100:20, 127:8,	transposing	typesetting [6]	13:9, 14:7,	126:11,
themselves [2]	21:13, 21:25,	127.6, 135:22	[1] - 44:12	- 41:10,	15:4, 59:23,	131:24,
- 23:22,	44:19, 53:11,		trial [6] - 4:12,	82:20, 83:18,	99:17, 99:20,	140:25
68:14	70:24	tomorrow [2] - 123:9,	5:1, 5:8,	83:22, 92:23,	137:25	upcoming [2] -
theoretical [1]	thrive [1] -	123:9,	5:13, 5:25,	100:22	UNIVERSITY	33:23, 50:22
- 53:1	134:4	tone [6] - 25:3,	142:4	typically [1] -	[1] - 2:16	updating [1] -
theoretical/	throughout [2]	42:22, 43:8,	tried [2] - 11:9,	9:17	university [10]	16:20
literary [2] -	- 30:12, 76:3	43:10, 54:14,	34:8	typos [2] - 41:11, 42:12	- 12:15,	useful [1] -
35:14, 35:20	throwing [1] - 132:1	107:13	trouble [5] -	71.11,42.12	12:20, 14:10,	132:3
theoretically	132.1 thrown [1] -	took [6] - 14:9,	12:14, 27:6, 68:16,	U	19:7, 36:11,	uses [1] -
[3] - 11:8, 47:25,	18:2	53:3, 97:16,	110:17,	U	36:13, 36:17, 59:20,	38:21
47:25, 107:13	Thursday [1] -	110:23,	141:21	U.S [1] - 77:23	59.20, 101:24,	ushered [1] -
theorist [6] -	129:11	126:2, 129:7	troubling [1] -	unacceptable	124:6	21:14
12:18, 12:20,	tilted [1] -	top [8] - 22:4,	131:2	[1] - 140:14	unless [2] -	utilizes [1] -
29:18, 102:2,	98:11	34:18, 94:15,	true [10] -	uncertain [1] -	11:5, 140:25	128:1
137:12,	Tim [3] - 3:24,	117:4, 117:5,	33:24, 45:25,	85:7	unsatisfactor	utilizing [1] -
138:3	32:1, 32:22	117:15,	47:23, 65:16,	unclarity [1] -	y [1] - 84:10	129:13
theorists [3] -	timeframe [3] -	119:25,	66:4, 67:10,	4:16	unsure [1] -	
30:11, 30:19,	96:13,	120:3	68:3, 72:9,	unclear [2] -	79:16	V
137:16	125:24,	topic [4] -	86:25,	4:14, 42:10	UNT [17] -	
theory [15] -	134:8	61:12, 69:23,	143:20	uncomfortabl	8:13, 12:22,	vague [7] -
13:2, 13:11,	timeline [1] -	70:1, 97:7	truth [3] - 59:3,	e [3] - 52:8,	12:23, 13:25,	16:6, 31:18,
14:12, 25:11,	115:15	total [1] -	59:12, 59:14	52:9, 141:22	14:12, 14:15,	54:6, 59:4,
29:2, 53:16,	timely [3] -	136:17	truthfully [1] -	unconnected	14:20, 19:18,	59:11, 78:21,

140:1	40:12, 40:13,	water [1] -	86:10, 103:6,	words [12] -	1:12, 1:17,
vaguely [1] -	40:12, 40:13,	113:16	125:10	39:16, 58:25,	3:5, 4:2,
125:3	46:14, 46:19,	wealth [2] -	whole [1] -	63:21, 65:25,	143:11,
valuable [1] -	47:2, 47:6,	79:12, 79:18	128:5	68:4, 68:20,	143:11,
86:23	63:9, 68:19,			69:24, 97:25,	145.10
		weather [1] -	widely [1] -	, ,	V
value [2] -	75:24, 92:22,	141:12	53:21	105:8, 121:3,	Y
107:25,	111:12,	web [1] - 16:25	wider [1] -	121:10	YAN [1] -
115:23	134:19	website [3] -	131:19	works [9] -	
venues [2] -	volume [3] -	16:11, 16:19,	Wiener [17] -	12:12, 12:14,	135:16
8:5, 70:20	33:8, 46:15,	17:8	26:6, 26:12,	12:20, 12:24,	Yap [5] -
version [3] -	91:18	week [1] -	26:16, 42:21,	19:20, 19:21,	34:21,
112:9,		126:5	72:22, 72:23,	36:11, 53:19,	102:12,
121:23,	W	weekend [1] -	95:16, 95:21,	101:25	127:2,
122:1		126:7	95:25, 96:2,	worried [11] -	133:23,
vetted [1] -	wait [2] - 69:3,	weekly [1] -	97:12, 97:15,	55:11, 55:16,	135:7
83:3	117:14	123:9	98:9, 98:17,	55:18, 55:20,	year [19] -
view [13] -	Walls [38] -	weeks [4] -	98:23, 98:25,	55:21, 55:22,	14:9, 14:11,
40:4, 40:12,	3:15, 3:19,	126:3, 126:5,	109:23	56:5, 57:2,	14:14, 14:18,
61:12, 73:23,	4:6, 6:1,	129:6, 130:2	Wiener's [4] -	63:12, 75:11,	15:6, 15:7,
74:20, 76:2,	6:22, 11:24,	welcome [2] -	24:25, 96:3,	77:10	15:9, 18:7,
83:20, 95:9,	28:7, 34:3,	33:19, 49:1	96:9, 110:3	worry [2] -	39:14, 39:22,
107:15,	36:24, 41:13,	well-	wife [8] - 7:24,	56:4, 77:6	40:21, 85:5,
121:22,	45:21, 50:13,	connected	7:25, 61:18,	worrying [1] -	92:25, 93:1,
129:21,	60:19, 62:22,	[1] - 80:6	61:20, 61:21,	63:10	137:6, 137:7,
129:21,	70:6, 78:25,	[1] - 60.6 well-	61:22, 62:1,	worthy [1] -	137:9,
140:17	85:17, 85:25,		62:8	76:8	137:13,
viewpoints [6]	87:14, 89:13,	respected [1]	William [2] -	wrap [1] -	138:4
- 60:14,	90:2, 94:1,	- 59:20	27:12, 66:18	wrap [ւ] - 140:25	years [7] -
- 60:14, 60:16, 89:4,	99:11, 101:7,	Wen [14] -	win [1] - 73:1	WRIGHT [2] -	10:17, 14:6,
	104:5, 104:8,	54:1, 54:15,			14:7, 14:12,
94:22, 109:1,	104:18,	66:15, 66:18,	winter [1] -	1:6, 143:6	15:6, 30:1,
122:23	105:14,	66:22, 67:7,	94:17	write [6] - 9:18,	79:20
views [4] -	108:5,	109:23,	withdraw [1] -	40:25, 43:23,	Yiyi [6] - 81:7,
35:15, 53:6,	110:13,	110:4, 124:1,	54:9	64:19, 67:9,	82:17, 84:18,
53:8, 73:19	113:7,	124:2, 124:3,	witness [7] -	111:5	84:20, 84:24,
virtue [1] -	113:21,	124:7,	1:18, 113:15,	writing [10] -	85:8
84:11	117:2,	124:12	140:2, 140:3,	9:18, 34:22,	
Virus [1] -	121:17,	western [6] -	142:2,	43:5, 49:24,	York [3] - 21:3, 77:8, 77:20
115:5	121.17,	103:17,	143:18,	87:16, 88:2,	•
vis [2] - 118:24	133:20,	103:19,	143:21	97:10,	yourself [2] -
vis-a-vis [1] -	135:20, 135:6, 141:4	103:20,	woeful [2] -	108:10,	19:5, 34:3
118:24		121:20,	76:25, 78:9	124:12,	_
Vista [1] -	WALLS [6] -	130:9,	woefully [1] -	130:21	Z
144:20	1:12, 1:17,	130:10	76:11	written [6] -	Zoom 'S
vitriolic [4] -	3:5, 4:2,	WHALEY [1] -	woman [1] -	24:17, 65:3,	Zoom [5] -
54:2, 54:16,	143:11,	144:19	125:3	73:25,	136:9,
54:22, 99:2	143:18	whatsoever	women [1] -	118:18,	136:11,
voice [2] -	Walls [1] -	[1] - 33:2	123:16	130:25,	136:14,
28:16, 92:10	3:10	Whereas [1] -	wondering [2]	140:20	137:17,
voiced [4] -	Walls85 [1] -	28:1	- 73:16,	wrote [11] -	138:10
21:6, 21:12,	3:16	whereas [3] -	117:25	34:25, 42:7,	
60:1, 64:23	Walls87 [1] -	29:11, 30:2,	woops [1] -	48:10, 54:5,	
voices [1] -	3:16	33:18	17:23	54:20, 63:23,	
125:11	wants [1] -	whistleblowi	word [8] -	95:16, 116:2,	
Volume [26] -	121:20	ng [3] - 71:5,	37:18, 53:15,	123:24,	
12:10, 18:6,	warranted [1] -	ng [3] - 71.5, 71:7, 71:10	61:1, 79:19,	140:16,	
18:8, 18:9,	77:5		133:11,	140:19	
18:10, 20:12,	watch [1] -	white [11] -	133:14,		
· ·	113:13	25:18, 26:1,	139:10	Х	
20:15, 21:7,	watched [1] -	38:25, 53:17,	wording [2] -		
32:5, 33:9, 37:21, 40:8	52:1	60:21, 60:23,	_	XENON [6] -	
37:21, 40:8,		60:24, 61:1,	42:10, 42:11	[-1	